# NETHERLANDS GEODETIC COMMISSION 

# QUATERNION ALGEBRA APPLIED TO POLYGON THEORY IN THREE DIMENSIONAL SPACE 

by<br>H. QUEE

## PREFACE

The present publication is the result of a study which started in 1969, and is based on a preliminary study made by Professor W. Baarda in the early sixties. It describes a functional model for the adjustment of spatial geodetic networks in which horizontal and vertical angles, distances and astronomical quantities (longitude, latitude and azimut) are measured.
I am not only very much indebted to Professor Baarda for the very stimulating and encouraging discussions we had already had during my student period, but especially in the later period when I had become a "practitoner", suffering from a growing distance between my daily environment and the field of science and research.
Furthermore, thanks are due to Mr. Brouwer and Dr. van Dalen, who gave many suggestions to improve the accessibility of the text to readers who are not familiar with quaternion equations, to Professor Alberda who was so kind to check and improve the translation, and of course to my wife who typed the manuscript, leaving exactly enough space for each of the about 1000 formulae.
H. Quee,

Utrecht, $8^{\text {th }}$ March 1983.
CONTENTS page
CHAPTER 0 INTRODUCTION ..... 1
0.1 Starting points ..... 1
0.2 Historical background of the theory ..... 2
0.3 Practical applications ..... 3
0.4 Suggestions for further research ..... 5
CHAPTER 1 QUATERNION ALGEBRA ..... 8
1.1 Units and definitions ..... 8
1.2 Addition, subtraction, multiplication and division ..... 9
1.3 A geometrical interpretation of quaternions ..... 11
1.3.1 The geometrical significance of the quaternion quotient ..... 11
1.3.2 Decomposition into orthogonal components ..... 14
1.3.3 Rotations ..... 15
1.3.4 Successive rotations ..... 18
1.4 Differentiation of quaternions ..... 20
1.4.1 The difference quantities of quaternion functions ..... 20
1.4.2 The difference quantity of rotation quaternions ..... 22
1.5 Isomorphism with matrices ..... 25
CHAPTER 2 THE INTRODUCTION OF GEODETIC AND ASTRONOMICAL OBSERVATION VARIATES ..... 29
2.1 Introduction ..... 29
2.2 The terrestrial-geodetic observation variates ..... 31
2.3 The geodetic-astronomical observation variates ..... 35
2.3.1 Definitions ..... 35
2.3.2 Difference quantities ..... 37
2.3.3 Networks with parallel k-unit-vectors ..... 38
CHAPTER 3 IMPORTANT DIFFERENCE EQUATIONS ..... 40
3.1 Chains of astronomical rotations ..... 40
3.1.1 The relative difference quantity ..... 40
3.1.2 The coefficients of the astronomical variates ..... 42
3.2 The vector transformation ..... 43
3.2.1 The difference equation for the vector transformation ..... 43
3.2.2 The difference quantity of $\Delta \pi_{j i k}$ ..... 45
3.3 Polar coordinate functions ..... 45
3.4 Units of length and orientations ..... 48
3.4.1 The computation of $\bar{\lambda}_{i}$ and $\theta_{i}$ ..... 48
3.4.2 The difference quantities of $\bar{\lambda}_{i}$ and $\theta_{i}$ ..... 50
3.4.3 The rank of $\left(q^{-1} \Delta q\right)_{i k}-\left(q^{-1} \Delta q\right)_{k i}$ ..... 52
3.4.4 Networks with parallel k-vectors ..... 53
CHAPTER 4 SIMILARITIES AND DIFFERENCES BETWEEN THE TWO-DIMENSIONAL AND THE THREE-DIMENSIONAL MODEL ..... 54
4.1 Introduction ..... 54
4.2 The first unit of length and the first orientation ..... 57
4.2.1 The first unit of length ..... 57
4.2.2 The first orientation ..... 57
4.2.3 The position of the first orientation in a network with parallel k-unit-vectors ..... 59
4.3 Estimators and weight coefficients of observation variates are invariant ..... 60
4.4 The introduction of $S$-coordinates ..... 63
4.5 Observation variates, conditions and unknowns ..... 70
CHAPTER 5 THE ADJUSTMENT MODEL ..... 75
5.1 Introduction ..... 75
5.2 Conditions ..... 77
5.3 Linearization of conditions ..... 82
5.4 Dependencies of condition equations ..... 84
5.4.1 The dependency between network and polygon conditions ..... 85
5.4.2 Dependencies within the R-condition ..... 85
5.4.3 The dependencies between the components of the $A-$ and the Z -conditions ..... 85
5.4.4 The scalar component of the coordinate conditions ..... 87
5.4.5 The k-component of the coordinate condition ..... 89
5.4.6 The NN - and the NV -model; rank of the system ..... 89
5.4.7 Selection of conditions ..... 91
5.5 Modification of the starting points ..... 93
5.5.1 Deviation from (5.8) ..... 93
5.5.2 Other types of observation variates ..... 94
5.5.3 More loops ..... 94
5.6 The adjustment model of observation equations ..... 96
5.6.1 Unknowns ..... 96
5.6.2 Observation equations ..... 97
5.6.3 Networks with parallel k-vectors ..... 101
APPENDIX

In this study a functional model for the adjustment of spatial geodetic networks is described. The model can be used both for terrestrial first order networks including astronomical observation variates and for engineering surveys, e.g. for the measurement of deformations, or for setting out high structures.

### 0.1 Starting points.

In this study, the use of quaternion algebra for the formulation of spatial functional relations and difference equations is of vital importance [14]. This choice is based on the historical background of the theory, and, in particular, on the way in which it could be linked with the methodological starting points formulated by W. Baarda within the scope of his two-dimensional polygon theory in the complex plane [2]. These starting points are used in the preceding theory and may be shortly summarized as follows :
-An exclusive use is made of operationally defined coordinate systems, or, in W. Baarda's terminology : S-coordinate systems [3].
-Observation variates are put together in so-called "form quantities", because the definition of a coordinate system has to be based on variables that are invariant in similarity-transformations. This means the use of distance-ratios, which requires an algebraic system in which division is defined.
-The model may not contain assumptions or artificial structures (e.g. an ellipsoid) necessitating "model-corrections" of observation variates.
-The adjustment model is set up primarily according to the method of condition equations, i.e. the "standard problem I" in J.M. Tienstra's terminology. It is true that the method of observation equations (standard problem II) is much more usual in international literature, but it is considered to be less satisfactory for the present theoretical investigation, because of the sometimes vague definition of unknown variates, the unsystematic way of introducing approximate values, and the greater risk of singularities slipping in [4].
In view of these starting points, quaternion algebra proves to be a magnificent and efficacious and indispensable tool. For vectors whose dimension is more than two, it is the only associative algebra in which division is defined and in which there are no zero-divisors (contrary to vector calculus).

It is true that the use of quaternion algebra for our purpose gives rise to some problems, but these are limited to the practical elaboration of the system of formulae :
-Because of the absence of the commutative property of multiplication, the formulae generally contain one extra factor (the relations themselves) or one extra term (the difference equations) in comparison with analogue formulae in the two-dimensional theory; for example, consider the transformation of system i to system $r$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
q^{(r)} & =\lambda_{r i} p_{r i} q^{(i)} p_{r i}^{-1} \\
\Delta q^{(r)} & =q^{(r)} \Delta \ln \lambda_{r i}+\left(\Delta p \cdot p^{-1}\right)_{r i} q^{(r)}-q^{(r)}\left(\Delta p \cdot p^{-1}\right)_{r i}+\lambda_{r i} p_{r i} \Delta q^{(i)} p_{r i}^{-1}
\end{aligned}
$$

-The vectors described are three-dimensional, the spatial rotation has three independent parameters, however, quaternions have four components (with basis-units 1, $i, j, k$ ). This means that the first component of a quaternion is equal to zero, if the quaternion represents a vector :

$$
q_{i k}=0+i x_{i k}+j y_{i k}+k z_{i k} \quad \text { (vector) }
$$

Chapter 3 shows that in dimensionless difference quantities (in which all four components $\neq 0$ !) there is, both for observation variates and coordinate quantities a linear dependence between the components of the relative difference-variate :

$$
\left(q^{-1} \Delta q\right)_{i k}
$$

For the rotation-quaternion :

$$
p_{r i}=d_{r i}+i a_{r i}+j b_{r i}+k c_{r i}
$$

in which all four components $\neq 0$, the situation is even slightly more complicated. This is discussed in Chapter 1.
-In quaternion algebra, there is no complete "function theory", as is the case with complex numbers. Quaternion functions cannot be integrated, though they can be differentiated. This is sufficient for the purpose of this study.

In addition to the theoretical considerations concerning the choice of quaternion algebra, it is of great importance that here we have an elegant methodical structure for geodetic methods in all three dimensions : onedimensional levelling, two-dimensional "plane surveying" and three-dimensional first order networks and networks for the construction of high buildings.

In [3] it has already been shown that a one-dimensional network is a "special case" of a two-dimensional network, as far as the structure of the adjustment model, and especially the description of the precision, are concerned. In the present study (see Chapter 4) it is shown that the two-dimensional structure is, in turn, a special case of the three-dimensional one. This uniformity of structure means that the overall system developed by the "Delft school" for the description of stochastic aspects is universally applicable to three-dimensional problems (internal and external reliability ; S-transformations and criterion matrices; the $\bar{\lambda}$-theory [5] , [6] , [3] , [7].
0.2 Historical background of the theory.

As early as 1960, hence a considerable time before the finalisation of the theory pertaining to the "polygon theory in the complex plane", Baarda concluded that quaternion algebra would be the most appropriate tool for the
function model of three-dimensional polygon networks. Furthermore, he made an initial exploration of the practical elaboration [8] ; in these manuscripts some cardinal pcints of the system of formulae are solved, such as the use of isomorphy between quaternions and matrices, the definition of a spatial analogue for the two-dimensional II -quantity, and, closely connected with it, the three-dimensional coordinate condition and its difference equation. Rotations are also briefly described: this aspect was worked out by E.Vermat some years later [23]. In his graduation paper the present author worked out these studies to a provisional termination [18]. The model described there displays a number of "grey" spots: for example, the linear dependences within the condition model have not been obtained from algebraic analysis, but from computer-aided determination of the rank of matrices. Furthermore, the interpretation of a number of concepts and auxiliary quantities is "geometric" rather than "algebraic" in nature. The gravest shortcoming was the total absence of the transfer to S-coordinates. In the period elapsed since then, the theory has been completed and perfected. The main points studied were :
-the transfer to S-coordinates after adjustment by the method of condition equations, and the links between the transformation designed for this purpose and the general three-dimensional S-transformation, developed in the same period by W. Baarda and later by M. Molenaar [17].
-the analysis of the linear dependendes in the condition model.
-the analysis of the special position accorded to the first azimuth (see section 4.2).
As time went by, the progress of the investigation was slowed down more and more by the exigencies of the author's daily work, where he was, at first, mainly occupied with the implementation of the two-dimensional polygon theory, as developed by Baarda, in cartographic measurements and in engineering survey networks. Nevertheless, this practical environment and the study in the three-dimensional theory have had positive effects on each other. For example, there turned out to be a strong sinilarity between, on the one hand, the way in which horizontal orientation unknowns $\theta_{i}$ in networks with non-parallel first axes are transferred via observation variates from one side of the network to the next :

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \theta_{1}=A_{12}-r_{12} \\
& \theta_{2}=\epsilon\left(\theta_{1}, r_{12}, J_{12}, \varphi_{1}, \lambda_{12}, \varphi_{2}\right)-r_{21} \\
& \theta_{3}=\theta\left(\theta_{2}, r_{23}, J_{23}, \varphi_{2}, \lambda_{23}, \varphi_{3}\right)-r_{32}
\end{aligned}
$$

etc. (see 3.30 and 3.40).
On the other hand, the way in which the initial arguments $\varphi_{i}$ of interlinked alignment elements (i) of a track depends upon the initial argument $\varphi_{A}$ and the angles $\phi$ of alignment elements :

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \varphi_{1}=\varphi_{A} \\
& \varphi_{2}=\varphi_{1}+\phi\left(\cdots p_{1}^{j} \cdots\right) \\
& \varphi_{3}=\varphi_{2}+\phi\left(\cdots p_{2}^{j} \cdots\right) \\
& c . \\
&\left(p_{i}^{j}\right.=\text { parameters of the alignment element } i, \text { stc; } \\
& \phi=0 \text { for straight element })
\end{aligned}
$$

etc.

The recognition of this agreement led, in 1974, to the development of an original practical algorithm for the automated solution of alignment equations from conditions, such as the constraint condition [19] . In addition, a profound study in the years 1977-1978, leading to a geodetic system for the control of automatic track maintenance machines, clearly showed that particularly the theory of the S-transformations is indispensable in the formulation of purpose dependent standards for practical geodetic activities [20].
This example refers to the complex plane; as soon as a three-dimensional measuring process is used in the case of setting out, or deformation-measurements of high civil engineering structures the same applies there, and a good functional model is indispensable.

### 0.3 Practical applications.

The model described here is the missing link in an operational theory for terrestrial-geodetic networks. Here, we must make a distinction between two fields of application, each with its own theoretical and practical problems: the first order geodetic networks (slightly inclined "plane" networks of which the points are more than 10 km spaced apart, and with astronomical orientation of local systems) and the networks for the determination of deformation and for setting out of high buildings and bridges (small networks with great differences in height; the direction of the local gravity and thus the first axis of the theodolite are considered to be parallel). The problems involved in the conventional procedures in the first order networks are clearly outlined in some papers by W. Baarda [9] : the necessary corrections of observation variates; the regional adaptation of ellipsoids; the units of length, which cannot be equal to the instrumental units of length; the problems encountered during the connection of these networks; the vague determination of the third dimension and the inaccurate definition of the so-called Laplace equation.
It would seem possible to solve part of these problems by the addition of zenith angles and the determination of longitude and latitude in all (or most of the) stations, and also by the measurement of distances; in accordance with this procedure some test networks have been measured since 1965, particularly in mountainous areas in Germany and Switzerland [22], [21], [12].
However, with regard to these test networks, it becomes apparent from publications that the procedure chosen does not comply with the starting points formulated in this introduction: the adjustment is not done in a "S-system", only the method of observation equations is used, and the use of distance ratios is left out of consideration altogether.

Regarding the second field of application, that of small networks for civil engineering problems, only a small number of publications is available. This may well be caused by the fact that in practical geodesy, confronted with "spatial objects", no three-dimensional measurement procedure is chosen, (one might choose spatial radius vectors, possibly supplemented by measurement of some height-differences per floor or storey), but the problem is split up into a two-dimensional procedure for the planimetry and a one-dimensional procedure for the differences in height. This may lead to very complicated problems in the implementation of the measurements, the horizontal position
of the higher storeys being defined very poorly. An example illustrating this is described in [11].

### 0.4 Suggestions for further research.

This study only covers the description of a functional model for threedimensional terrestrial networks, in which optional astronomieal observation variates are admitted.
Further studies, focused on practical applications are required on, inter alia, the following problems :
0.4.1.

After the model has been programmed, it will be possible, with the aid of other computer programs of the Department of Geodesy of the Delft University of Technology, designated by the collective name SCAN, to study the optimal construction of networks for the two fields of application. 0.4.2.

The interaction between zenith angles and the astronomical observation variates and also the effects of all these observation variates on precision and on the internal and external reliability.
0.4 .3.

What is the relation between vertical refraction and the so-called $Z$-conditions in the sides of the network, arising from the direct and reverse measurement of zenith angles ?
(see also [13], [10], [1] for the problems encountered in measuring zenith angles).
0.4 .4 .

In chapter 2.3 is suggested, to choose a measuring procedure in which astronomical longitudes (and possibly latitudes) are measured simultaneously in each pair of stations, in order to eliminate the influence of star coordinates and polar motion, and to reduce the influence of time. This has to be elaborated further, both practically and theoretically.
0.4 .5 .

In "engineering survey networks", the direction of the vertical (the first axis of the theodolite) is not determined by astronomical observations. In which cases it is to be preferred to introduce two unknowns for the direction of the vertical in every networkpoint; in which cases is it possible to start from the assumption that these are all parallel to each other ? How should the network be designed in these various situations?

In all these problems, the purpose of the network, and especially the question whether the "vertical component" (perpendicular to the earth surface) is by itself significant or only serves to improve the "horizontal component", play an important role.

### 0.5 Guide lines for the reader.

[^0]observation variates is described.
In Chapter 3 the fundamental quantities described in Chapter 2, are linked to more complex structures: successive rotations, vector rotations. Subsequently, the first linear dependency is derived and inverse functions are established (differences of observation variates, expressed in differences of coordinate quantities). Finally the transfer of orientation unknowns $\theta_{i}$ is discussed, and, simultaneously, that of the length factors. Chapter 4 deals with three important differences between the three-dimensional and the two-dimensional model; these differences are caused by the fact that the quaternion quotient :
$$
G_{j i k}=q_{i k} q_{i j}^{-1}
$$
is not fully invariant in similarity transformations, contrary to the analogue quantity :
$$
\Delta \pi_{j i k}=\frac{\ln z_{i k}}{\ln z_{i j}}
$$
in the two-dimensional model. The differences referred to concern :
-The role of the orientation unknowns and the first azimuth.
-The fact that the relations must be established in one of the local systems, and the effects thereof on estimators and weight coefficients of observation variates.
-The introduction of S -coordinates by the inclusion of the stochastic "basis transformation" $\mathrm{Q}_{\mathrm{Rr}}$; $\overline{\boldsymbol{\lambda}}_{\mathrm{Rr}}$
When using the adjustment method of condition equations, this transformation is $\mathcal{R}_{R}$ ntered in the formula by which, after adjustment, coordinate quantities $\underline{q}^{R}$ are computed from the estimators $\underline{X}^{1}$ of observation variates :
$$
q^{(R)}=\bar{\lambda}_{R r} \underline{P}_{R r} q^{(r)} P_{R r}^{-1}
$$
with :
$\underline{q}^{(R)}: " S$-coordinates"
\[

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \underline{q}^{(r)}=q\left(\cdots, \underline{x}_{i}, \ldots\right) . \\
& \bar{\lambda}_{R r}=\bar{\lambda}_{R r}\left(\cdots, \underline{x}_{i}, \ldots\right) . \\
& \underline{p}_{R r}=p_{R r}\left(\ldots, \underline{x}_{i}, \ldots\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$
\]

When using the method of observation equations, the basis transformation, in the form of four unknowns, is entered in the correction equations.

Regarding this chapter, the method of observation equations seems to be less sensible for these complications, so it may be once more concluded that this method is theoretically weaker than the method of condition equations, because it may be applied on the basis of a much more superficial analysis, thus involving the risk that the model is incomplete or incorrect. This chapter also considers the numbers of quantities and condition equations in the adjustment model for a closed polygon.
Finally in Chapter 5 the condition model is given, starting from W. Baarda's theory for the complex plane and building on the conclusions in the Chapters 3 and 4. It becomes apparent that the structure remains strongly affiliated
with that in the complex plane, be it that there are more types of observation variates and more types of conditions in it and that the relations between the conditions are considerably more complicated. Finally, the correction equations for the adjustment model of observation equations are established.

Chapter 1
QUATERNION ALGEBRA.
1.1 Units and definitions.

Quaternion algebra was formulated about 1843 by W.R.Hamilton [14].
It is a hypercomplex algebra with four base elements
$1, i, j, k$.

As in algebra with complex numbers, the following applies :
$11=1$
$i i=-1$
$j \mathrm{j}=-1$
$k k=-1$

The scalar unit 1 is an inactive operand in multiplications by the three others :
$1 i=i 1=i$
$1 j=j 1=j$
$1 k=k i=k$
The three "imaginary" units generate each other in accordance with cyclic multiplication rules :
$i j=k$
$j k=i$
$k i=j$
They are non-commutative :
$j i=-k$
$k j=-i$
$i k=-j$
A quaternion $C$ has four base components, e.g. $w, x, y$ and $z$ :
$\varphi=w+i x+j y+k z$

We introduce the following terms and notations :


Hence :

$$
\begin{align*}
\varphi & =S_{c}\{\varphi\}+V_{c}\{\varphi\}= \\
& =S_{c}\{\varphi\}+i V_{i}\{\varphi\}+j V_{j}\{\varphi\}+k V^{\prime}\{\varphi\} . \tag{1.7}
\end{align*}
$$

1.2 Addition, subtraction, multiplication and division.

We consider two quaternions :

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \varphi_{1}=w_{1}+i x_{1}+j y_{1}+k z_{1} \\
& \varphi_{2}=w_{2}+i x_{2}+j y_{2}+k z_{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

The sum and the difference of $C_{1}$ and $C_{2}$ are then defined as :

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \varphi \varphi_{1}+\varphi_{2}=w_{1}+w_{2}+i\left[x_{1}+x_{2}\right]+j\left[y_{1}+y_{2}\right]+k\left[z_{1}+z_{2}\right] . \\
& \varphi_{1}-\varphi_{2}=w_{1}-w_{2}+i\left[x_{1}-x_{2}\right]+j\left[y_{1}-y_{2}\right]+k\left[z_{1}-z_{2}\right] .
\end{aligned}
$$

Multiplication by a scalar a gives :

$$
a \varphi_{1}=a w_{1}+i a x_{1}+j a y_{1}+k a z_{1} .
$$

Applying the rules of multiplication (1.1), (1.2) and (1.3), the product of $Q_{1}$ and $\mathcal{Q}_{2}$ becomes :

$$
\begin{align*}
\varphi_{1} \varphi_{2}= & w_{1} w_{2}-x_{1} x_{2}-y_{1} y_{2}-z_{1} z_{2}+ \\
& +i\left[w_{1} x_{2}+x_{1} w_{2}+y_{1} z_{2}-z_{1} y_{2}\right]+ \\
& +j\left[w_{1} y_{2}+y_{1} w_{2}+z_{1} x_{2}-x_{1} z_{2}\right]+ \\
& +k\left[w_{1} z_{2}+z_{1} w_{2}+x_{1} y_{2}-y_{1} x_{2}\right] \tag{1.8}
\end{align*}
$$

Now it becomes directly apparent that the product is non-commutative :
$\varphi_{1} \varphi_{2} \neq \varphi_{2} \varphi_{1}$.

However, the following still applies :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Sc}\left\{\varphi_{1} \varphi_{2}\right\}=\operatorname{Sc}\left\{\varphi_{2} \varphi_{1}\right\} \tag{1.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

The product is commutative, if the vector components of $Q_{1}$ and $\varphi_{2}$ are "parallel", or if : (with a and b being scalars)

$$
\begin{equation*}
a V_{c}\left\{\varphi_{1}\right\}+b V_{c}\left\{\varphi_{2}\right\}=0 \quad \text { than: } \varphi_{1} \varphi_{2}=\varphi_{2} \varphi_{1} \tag{1.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

We define the "conjugate" of $\hat{\mathscr{q}}$ as :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varphi^{\top}=\operatorname{Sc}\{\varphi\}-V_{c}\{\varphi\} \tag{1.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

therefore :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\varphi^{\top}\right)^{\top}=\varphi . \tag{1.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

It also follows from (1.8) ;

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\varphi_{1} \varphi_{2}\right)^{\top}=\varphi_{2}^{\top} \varphi_{1}^{\top} \tag{1.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

Further, see (1.5) and (1.6) :

$$
\begin{align*}
\varphi_{\varphi} \varphi^{\top}=\varphi^{\top} \varphi & =\left[\operatorname{Sc}\{\varphi\}+V_{c}\{\varphi\}\right]\left[\operatorname{Sc}\{\varphi\}-V_{c}\{\varphi\}\right]= \\
& =\operatorname{Sc}^{2}\{\varphi\}-V_{c}^{2}\{\varphi\}= \\
& =w^{2}+x^{2}+y^{2}+z^{2}= \\
& =N\{\varphi\} \tag{1.14}
\end{align*}
$$

This means :

$$
\frac{C_{Q} \varphi^{\top}}{N\left\{C_{Q}\right\}}=\frac{Q_{Q}{ }^{\top} \varphi}{N\{Q\}}=1
$$

so, by definition, the inverse of $\mathcal{Q}$ reads :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varphi_{\varphi}^{-1}=\frac{C_{Q}}{N\{\varphi\}} \rightarrow C_{Q} \varphi^{-1}=\varphi_{Q}^{-1} \varphi=1 . \tag{1.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

or :

$$
Q^{-1}=\frac{1}{w^{2}+x^{2}+y^{2}+z^{2}}[w-i x-j y-k z]
$$

Remark :
Because of (1.6), it follows from $N\{\varrho\}=0$ that $\varphi=0$; therefore the inverse of $Q$ is always defined, except when $Q=0$. Consequently, in quaternion algebra there occur no zero divisors.

Because furthermore, with (1.15) :

$$
C_{1} C_{2} C_{2}^{-1} C_{1}^{-1}=1
$$

the following applies by definition :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varphi_{2}^{-1} \varphi_{1}^{-1}=\left[\varphi_{1} \varphi_{2}\right]^{-1} \tag{1.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

This can be extended to products with more than two factors ; suppose :

$$
R=C_{1} C_{2} C_{3}
$$

then, with (1.16):

$$
\begin{align*}
R^{-1} & =\left\{\left[\varphi_{1} \varphi_{2}\right] \varphi_{3}\right\}^{-1}= \\
& =C_{3}^{-1}\left[\varphi_{1} \varphi_{2}\right]^{-1}= \\
& =C_{3}^{-1} C_{2}^{-1} \varphi_{1}^{-1} . \tag{1.17}
\end{align*}
$$

### 1.3 A geometrical interpretation of quaternions.

The imaginary units $i$, $j$ and $k$ may be regarded as unit vectors in $R_{3}$, composing together a right-handed trirectangular trihedral (see fig.1) ${ }^{3}$

fig. 1

Then a quaternion $q$ with scalar part :

$$
\operatorname{Sc}\{9\}=0
$$

becomes a vector in $R_{3}$ :
$q=0+i x+j y+k z$
From (1.6) it follows that :

$$
\begin{align*}
\sqrt{N\{9\}} & =\sqrt{x^{2}+y^{2}+z^{2}}= \\
& =\ell_{q}: \quad \text { "length" of } q \tag{1.18}
\end{align*}
$$

1.3 .1

The geometrical significance of the quaternion quotient.
We consider two quaternions $q_{1}$ and $q_{2}$ whose scalar parts vanish :

$$
\begin{aligned}
& q_{1}=0+i x_{1}+j y_{1}+k z_{1} \\
& q_{2}=0+i x_{2}+j y_{2}+k z_{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

Then, according to (1.8) the product of $q_{1}$ and $q_{2}$ is:

$$
\begin{aligned}
q_{1} q_{2}= & -x_{1} x_{2}-y_{1} y_{2}-z_{1} z_{2}+i\left[y_{1} z_{2}-z_{1} y_{2}\right]+ \\
& +j\left[z_{1} x_{2}-x_{1} z_{2}\right]+\left[x_{1} y_{2}-y_{1} x_{2}\right]=
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
=-x_{1} x_{2}-y_{1} y_{2}-z_{1} z_{2}+\left|\begin{array}{ccc}
i & j & k  \tag{1.19}\\
x_{1} & y_{1} & z_{1} \\
x_{2} & y_{2} & z_{2}
\end{array}\right|
$$

Because we consider $x_{1}, y_{1}$, and $z_{1}$, respectively $x_{2}, y_{2}$ and $z_{2}$ as components of two vectors in a rectangular cartesian coordinaEe system in $R_{3}$, whe laws of "vector analysis" can be applied to (1.19), so :
"scalar product" :

$$
x_{1} x_{2}+y_{1} y_{2}+z_{1} z_{2}=\underline{q}_{1} \cdot \underline{q}_{2}=l_{1} l_{2} \cos \alpha
$$

"vector product" :

$$
\left|\begin{array}{lll}
i & j & k  \tag{1.20}\\
x_{1} & y_{1} & z_{1} \\
x_{2} & y_{2} & z_{2}
\end{array}\right|=q_{1} \times q_{2}=\text { e } l_{1} \ell_{2} \sin \bar{\alpha}
$$

Here $\bar{\alpha}$ is the angle between two vectors and $e$ is the unit normal vector on the plane through the two vectors, which, because the $x-, y-, z-s y s t e m$ is a right-handed trihedral system, fits in with the sense of rotation of $q_{1}$ to $q_{2}$.


In view of $(1.19)$ and $(1.20)$, the product of $q_{1}$ and $q_{2}$ is :

$$
\begin{align*}
& q_{1} q_{2}=-l_{1} l_{2} \cos \bar{\alpha}^{a}+e^{a} l_{1} l_{2} \sin \bar{\alpha}^{a}= \\
&=-l_{1} l_{2} \cos \bar{\alpha}^{b}+e^{b} l_{1} l_{2} \sin \bar{\alpha}^{b}  \tag{1.21}\\
& N\left\{e^{a}\right\}=N\left\{e^{b}\right\}=1
\end{align*}
$$

According to (1.15) and (1.11) :

$$
q_{2}^{-1}=\frac{q_{2}^{\top}}{N\left\{q_{2}\right\}}=\frac{-q_{2}}{l_{2} l_{2}}
$$

If, in (1.21) $q_{2}$ is replaced by $q_{2}^{-1}$, (1.21) passes consequently into :

fig. 3

$$
\begin{equation*}
q_{1} q_{2}^{-1}=\frac{l_{1}}{l_{2}} \cos \bar{\alpha}^{a}-e^{a} \frac{l_{1}}{l_{2}} \sin \bar{\alpha}^{a} \tag{1.22}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here the length-ratio

$$
v=\frac{l_{1}}{l_{2}}
$$

comes into play, consequently :

$$
\ln v=\ln l_{1}-\ln l_{2}
$$

We now follow the pattern of Baarda's "Polygon theory in the complex plane" [2] and define the angle $\alpha$ in the horizontal plane as the difference of two directions $r$ :

$$
\alpha=r_{1}-r_{2}
$$

The angle $\bar{\alpha}$ (in the plane of $q_{1}$ and $q_{2}$ ) that fits with this choice is :

$$
\bar{\alpha}=\bar{\alpha}^{a}
$$

Since the graduations of the horizontal circle of a theodolite are numbered clockwise (seen from above), a positive rotation on this circle is righthanded and fits in with $e^{b}$. Replacing in (1.22) $e^{a}$ by $e^{b}$ one obtains :

$$
\begin{align*}
& q_{1} q_{2}^{-1}=v \cos \bar{\alpha}+e^{\ell} v \sin \bar{\alpha}  \tag{1.23}\\
& \text { with: } \quad v=\frac{l_{1}}{l_{2}} ; \bar{\alpha} \approx r_{1}-r_{2}
\end{align*}
$$

The approximate equality for $\bar{\alpha}$ in (1.23) is only valid when $q_{1}$ and $q_{2}$ are near-horizontal.

From (1.23) it becomes apparent that the four components of the quaternion quotient $q_{1} q_{2}^{-1}$ determine the shape of a triangle and also describe the spatial position of the plane of that triangle;

Suppose :

$$
\begin{aligned}
q_{1} q_{2}^{-1} & =D+i A+j B+k C \\
e & =0+i n_{1}+j n_{2}+k n_{3} \quad ; \quad n_{1}^{2}+n_{2}^{2}+n_{3}^{2}=1
\end{aligned}
$$

consequently :
$\left.\begin{array}{l}A \\ B \\ C\end{array}\right\}$ govern $\left\{\begin{array}{l}v \\ \bar{\alpha} \\ n_{2} \\ n_{3}\end{array}\right\}$ the "shape" of the triangle
The computation rules for $v, \bar{\alpha}, n_{2}$ en $n_{3}$ are :

$$
V=\sqrt{D^{2}+A^{2}+B^{2}+C^{2}}
$$


$\cos \bar{\alpha}=\frac{D}{V}$
If $q_{1}$ and $q_{2}$ lie approximately in the $1-, j-$ plane of the system of coordinates and $e$ "points downwards" (i.e. the theodolite is not upside down) the following applies : (see fig. 4)
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$$
n_{3} \approx+1
$$

then $\bar{\alpha}$ must be chosen such that :

$$
\operatorname{sign}\{\sin \bar{\alpha}\}=\operatorname{sign}\{c\}
$$

$$
n_{1}=\frac{A}{v \sin \bar{\alpha}} ; \quad n_{2}=\frac{B}{V \sin \bar{\alpha}} ; \quad n_{3}=\frac{c}{v \sin \bar{\alpha}}
$$

1.3 .2

Decomposition into orthogonal components.
We consider the quaternions :

fig. 5
$q ; \operatorname{Sc}\{q\}=0 ; N\{q\}=l_{q}^{2}$
$e ; \operatorname{Sc}\{e\}=0 ; N\{e\}=1$
According to (1.23) we obtain : (see fig. 5)

$$
e q^{-1}=\frac{1}{l_{q}}[\cos \bar{\alpha}+f \sin \bar{\alpha}]
$$

Here, $f$ is the unit normal vector on the plane through $e$ and $q$, so :

$$
N\{f\}=1 ; \operatorname{Sc}\{f\}=0 ; \rightarrow f^{-1}=-f
$$

therefore :

$$
\begin{aligned}
{\left[e q^{-1}\right]^{-1} } & =l_{q}[\cos \bar{\alpha}-f \sin \bar{\alpha}] \rightarrow \\
e\left[e q^{-1}\right]^{-1} & =l_{q}[e \cos \bar{\alpha}-e f \sin \bar{\alpha}]= \\
& =l_{q}\left[e \cos \bar{\alpha}+e f^{-1} \sin \bar{\alpha}\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

According to (1.23) :

$$
\begin{aligned}
e f^{-1} & =\cos \frac{\pi}{2}+g \sin \frac{\pi}{2}= \\
& =g .
\end{aligned}
$$

Here $g$ is the unit normal vector on the plane through e and f, so gies in the plane of $e$ and $q$ (see fig. 6);


Consequently :

$$
e\left[e q^{-1}\right]^{-1}=\ell[e \cos \bar{\alpha}+g \sin \bar{\alpha}]
$$

or :

$$
\begin{aligned}
\text { eq } e^{-1}= & l_{q}[e \cos \bar{\alpha}+g \sin \bar{\alpha}] \\
& \text { is the "mirror image" of } q \\
& \text { in relation to } e
\end{aligned}
$$

Remark : eqe ${ }^{-1}=e^{-1}$ qe
This means : (see fig. 7)

$$
\begin{align*}
& \bar{q}=1 / 2\left[q-e^{-1} q e\right] \text { : is the component of } q \\
& \text { perpendicular to e } \\
& \left.\overline{\bar{q}}=1 / 2\left[q+e^{-1} q e\right]: \text { is the component of } q\right\}  \tag{1.25}\\
& \text { parallel to } e
\end{align*}
$$

Remark :
Instead of the unit normal vector e used here, a vector $d$ with $N\{d\} \neq 1$ can also be used in (1.24) and (1.25).
1.3.3

Rotations.
We wish to rotate a quaternion (vector) $q$ with $S q\{q\}=0$ over an angle e about an axis (vector) e; is a right-handed rotation with respect to $e$.

fig. 8

Assume : (see fig. 8)
$q^{r}$ is the vector after rotation
$q^{\prime}$ is the component of $q \perp e$
$q^{\prime \prime}$ is the component of $q^{r} \perp e$

From (1.25) it follows that :

$$
\begin{align*}
& q^{\prime}=1 / 2\left[q-e^{-1} q e\right]  \tag{1.26}\\
& q^{\prime \prime}=1 / 2\left[q^{r}-e^{-1} q^{r} e\right] \tag{1.27}
\end{align*}
$$

According to (1.23) and because $N\left\{q^{\prime}\right\}=N\left\{q^{\prime \prime}\right\}$, so $v=1$, it applies that :

$$
\begin{aligned}
& q^{\prime \prime} q^{\prime-1}=\cos \theta+e \sin \theta \\
& \downarrow \\
& q^{\prime \prime}=[\cos \theta+e \sin \theta] q^{\prime}
\end{aligned}
$$

We substitute (1.26) in the right-hand member and (1.27) in the left-hand member of this equation :

$$
1 / 2\left[q^{r}-e^{-1} q^{r} e\right]=[\cos \theta+e \sin \theta] 1 / 2\left[q-e^{-1} q e\right] \quad I
$$

The components of $q$ and $q^{r}$ parallel to $e$ are equal to each other; therefore, see (1.25) :

$$
1 / 2\left[q^{r}+e^{-1} q^{r} e\right]=1 / 2\left[q+e^{-1} q e\right] \quad \text { II }
$$

The addition of the equations $I$ and II now leads to :

$$
q^{r}=[\cos 1 / 2 \theta+e \sin 1 / 2 \theta] q[\cos 1 / 2 \theta-e \sin 1 / 2 \theta] \quad \text { III }
$$

Now assume that the "rotation quaternion" $p$ is defined as :

$$
\begin{equation*}
p=\cos 1 / 2 \theta+e \sin 1 / 2 \theta \tag{1.28}
\end{equation*}
$$

then III becomes the general rotation formula of quaternion algebra :

$$
\begin{equation*}
q^{r}=p q p^{-1} \tag{1.29}
\end{equation*}
$$

Two important properties apply here :

$$
\begin{align*}
& N\left\{q^{r}\right\}=N\{q\} .  \tag{1.30}\\
& \operatorname{Se}\left\{q^{r}\right\}=\operatorname{Sc}\{q\} .
\end{align*}
$$

In (1.29) the norm of a rotation quaternion need not equal unity. To show this, let $h$ be a scalar, let $N\{p\}=1$ and define :

$$
\begin{align*}
\bar{p} & =h p \\
\overline{\bar{p}}^{-1} & =\frac{1}{h} p^{-1} \\
q^{r} & =\bar{p} q \bar{p}^{-1}= \\
& =p q p^{-1} . \tag{1.31}
\end{align*}
$$

From the derivation of the rotation quaternion it follows that the four components comply with the following "form-rule" :

$$
\begin{gather*}
\bar{p}=h[d+i s a+j s b+k s c] \\
\text { with: } \quad a^{2}+b^{2}+c^{2}=1 .  \tag{1.32}\\
d^{2}+s^{2}=1
\end{gather*}
$$

This will prove important for the differentiation of rotation quaternions, because also

$$
p+\Delta p
$$

must of course comply with (1.32).
The expression (1.29) can also be used for the description of a rotation of the coordinate system over an angle $\theta$ about an axis $e$ :

$$
\left[i^{1}, j^{1}, k^{1}\right] \xrightarrow[e]{\theta}\left[i^{2}, j^{2}, k^{2}\right]
$$

A rotation of the coordinate system over an angle $\theta$ about an axis $e$ is, in fact, equivalent to the rotation of the vectors over $-\theta$ about $e$, so, with the following rotation quaternion :
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$$
\begin{equation*}
p=\cos 1 / 2 \theta-e \sin 1 / 2 \theta \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let the vector $q$ be described on two different systems (1) and (2) : (see fig. 9)

$$
q^{(1)}=w^{1}+i^{1} x^{1}+j^{1} y^{1}+k^{1} z^{1}
$$

$$
q^{(2)}=w^{2}+i^{2} x^{2}+j^{2} y^{2}+k^{2} z^{2}
$$

Then, introducing the notation $p=p_{21}$ for the rotation quaternion transforming $q^{(1)}$ into $q^{(2)}$, the rotation formula is :

$$
\begin{align*}
& q^{(2)}=p_{21} q^{(1)} p_{21}^{-1}  \tag{1.33}\\
& \text { with: } p_{21}=\cos 1 / 2 \theta-e \sin 1 / 2 \theta
\end{align*}
$$

The coordinate system rotates about e; therefore :

$$
e^{(1)}=e^{(2)}
$$

which means that the rotation quaternion $p_{21}$ itself is invariant relative to the rotation of system (1) to system (2\}. This also becomes apparent from:

$$
\begin{align*}
p_{21} p_{21}^{(1)} p_{21}^{-1} & =p_{21}^{(2)}= \\
& =p_{21} \tag{1.34}
\end{align*}
$$

And, since from the definition of the rotation quaternion it directly follows that

$$
\begin{equation*}
p_{12}=p_{21}^{-1} ; p_{21}=p_{12}^{-1} \tag{1.35}
\end{equation*}
$$

it also applies that :

$$
\begin{align*}
p_{12} p_{21}^{(2)} p_{12}^{-1} & =p_{21}^{-1} p_{21}^{(2)} p_{i 2}^{-1}= \\
& =p_{12}^{-1}= \\
& =p_{21}^{(1)} . \tag{1.36}
\end{align*}
$$

We can combine (1.34) and (1.36) to :

$$
\begin{align*}
& p_{21}^{(1)}=p_{21}^{(2)} \\
& p_{12}^{(1)}=p_{12}^{(2)} \tag{1.37}
\end{align*}
$$

This implies that the components of a rotation quaternion apply to both systems, whose rotation relative to each other is described by that quateranion.
1.3 .4

Successive rotations.
On what system should the rotation quaternion be described in the case of successive rotations of the system ?
We first consider two successive vector rotations of the vector $q$ : (see fig. 10)

fig. 10
$q_{1}-\left(\bar{b} \rightarrow q_{2}-\left(\overline{\bar{p}} \rightarrow q_{3}\right.\right.$

The vectors $q_{1}, q_{2}$ and $q_{3}$ and the rotations $\bar{p}$ and $\overline{\bar{p}}$ are all described Relative to the system:

$$
\left[i^{1}, j^{1}, k^{1}\right]
$$

According to (1.28) and (1.29) the rotation formulae are:
First step: $q_{2}^{(1)}=\bar{p} q_{1}^{(1)} \bar{p}^{-1}$
with: $\bar{p}=\cos 1 / 2 \bar{\theta}+\bar{e} \sin 1 / 2 \bar{\theta}$. $\}$
Second step : $q_{3}^{(1)}=\overline{\bar{p}} q_{2}^{(1)} \overline{\bar{p}}^{-1}$

$$
\left.\begin{array}{l}
q_{3}^{(1)}=\overline{\bar{p}} q_{2}^{(1)} \overline{\bar{p}}^{-1}  \tag{1.39}\\
\text { with: } \overline{\bar{p}}=\cos 1 / 2 \overline{\bar{\theta}}+\overline{\bar{e}} \sin 1 / 2 \overline{\bar{\theta}}
\end{array}\right\}
$$

Subsequently, we consider the two opposite rotations of the system :

$$
\left[i^{1}, j^{1}, k^{1}\right]-P_{21} \rightarrow\left[i^{2}, j^{2}, k^{2}\right] \rightarrow P_{32} \rightarrow\left[i^{3}, j^{3}, k^{3}\right] .
$$

Now the following must apply :

$$
\begin{equation*}
q_{3}^{(3)}=q_{2}^{(2)}=q_{1}^{(1)} \tag{1.40}
\end{equation*}
$$

According to (1.33) the rotation formula for the first step reads :

$$
\begin{aligned}
q_{1}^{(2)}=p_{21} & q_{1}^{(1)} p_{21}^{-1} \\
\text { with: } p_{21} & =\cos 1 / 2 \bar{\theta}-\bar{e}^{(1) \operatorname{or}(2)} \sin 1 / 2 \bar{\theta}= \\
& =\bar{p}^{-1}
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence :

$$
\begin{equation*}
q_{1}^{(2)}=\bar{p}^{-1} q_{1}^{(1)} \bar{p} \tag{1.42}
\end{equation*}
$$

Subsequently, for the second step :

$$
q_{1}^{(3)}=p_{32} q_{1}^{(2)} p_{32}^{-1}
$$

In this formula, $p_{32}$ is, however, according to (1.37), described on system (2) or system (3):

$$
\begin{aligned}
p_{32} & =p_{32}^{(2)}{ }^{(3)}= \\
& =p_{21} p_{32}^{(1)} p_{21}^{-1}
\end{aligned}
$$

In this expression $p_{32}{ }^{(1)}$ represents the opposite rotation of $\overline{\bar{p}}$, so :

$$
\begin{align*}
P_{32}^{(1)} & =\cos 1 / 2 \overline{\bar{\theta}}-\overline{\bar{e}} \sin 1 / 2 \overline{\bar{e}}= \\
& =\overline{\bar{p}}^{-1} \tag{1.43}
\end{align*}
$$

Therefore :

$$
q_{1}^{(3)}=\bar{p}^{-1} \overline{\bar{p}}^{-1} \bar{p} q_{1}^{(2)} \bar{p}^{-1} \overline{\bar{p}} \bar{p}
$$

From (1.42) it follows :

$$
\bar{p} q_{1}^{(2)} \bar{p}^{-1}=q_{1}^{(1)}
$$

Hence :

$$
\begin{equation*}
q_{1}^{(3)}=\bar{p}^{-1} \overline{\bar{p}}^{-1} q_{1}^{(1)} \overline{\bar{p}} \bar{p} \tag{1.44}
\end{equation*}
$$

To verify this result, we apply (1.42) to $q_{2}$ instead of $q_{1}$ :

$$
q_{2}^{(2)}=\bar{p}^{-1} q_{2}^{(1)} \bar{p} ;
$$

$b y(1.38):=\bar{p}^{-4} \bar{p} q_{1}^{(1)} \bar{p}^{-1} \bar{p}=$

$$
\begin{equation*}
=q_{1}^{(1)} \tag{a}
\end{equation*}
$$

and, subsequently (1.44) to $q_{3}$ instead of $q_{1}$ :

$$
q_{3}^{(3)}=\bar{p}^{-1} \overline{\bar{p}}^{-1} q_{3}^{(1)} \overline{\bar{p}} \bar{p} ;
$$

by (1.39): $=\bar{p}^{-1} q_{2}^{(1)} \bar{p}=$
by $(1.38):=q_{1}^{(1)}$.
by ( $1.45^{\mathrm{a}}$ ) and $\left(1.45^{\mathrm{b}}\right),(1.40)$ has been verified.
Finally, we convert (1.44) to the form with system rotations instead of vector rotations :

$$
\begin{aligned}
(1.41): \quad \overline{\bar{p}}^{-1} & =p_{21}^{(1) \operatorname{or}(2)} \\
(1.42): \quad \overline{\bar{p}}^{-1} & =p_{32}^{(2) \operatorname{or}(3)}= \\
& =p_{21}^{-1} p_{32}^{(2)} p_{21}
\end{aligned}
$$

Substitution in (1.44) leads to :

$$
\begin{aligned}
q_{i}^{(3)} & =p_{21} p_{21}^{-1} p_{21}^{-1} p_{32}^{(2)} p_{21} q_{i}^{(1)} p_{21}^{-1} p_{32}^{(2)^{-1}} p_{21} p_{21}^{-1}= \\
& =p_{32}^{(2)} p_{21} q_{i}^{(1)} p_{21}^{-1} p_{32}^{(2)}
\end{aligned}
$$

In view of (1.37) this may be read as :

$$
q_{i}^{(3)}=p_{32}^{(3) \operatorname{or}(2)} p_{21}^{(2) \operatorname{or}(1)} q_{i}^{(1)} p_{21}^{(2) \operatorname{or}(1)^{-1}} p_{32}^{(1) \operatorname{or}(2)^{-1}} .
$$

It being agreed that rotation quaternions are always described on one of their own systems, the top-indices may be omitted; thus the general rotation formula for system rotations becomes :

$$
\begin{equation*}
q_{i}^{(3)}=p_{32} p_{21} q_{i}^{(1)} p_{21}^{-1} p_{32}^{-1} \tag{1.46}
\end{equation*}
$$

or, in view of (1.35) :

$$
\begin{equation*}
q_{i}^{(3)}=p_{32} p_{21} q_{i}^{(1)} p_{12} p_{23} \tag{1.47}
\end{equation*}
$$

### 1.4 Differentiation of quaternions.

1.4 .1

The difference quantities of quaternion functions.
We consider the quaternion $Q$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varphi=w+i x+j y+k z \tag{1.48}
\end{equation*}
$$

Suppose the components $w, x, y$ and $z$ are functions of (scalar) quantities $a_{i}$ :

$$
\begin{align*}
& w=w\left(\ldots, a_{i}, \ldots\right) . \\
& x=k\left(\ldots, a_{i}, \ldots\right) . \\
& y=y\left(\ldots, a_{i}, \ldots\right) .  \tag{1.49}\\
& z=z\left(\ldots, a_{i}, \ldots\right) .
\end{align*}
$$

so that :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varphi=w\left(\cdots, a_{i}, \ldots\right)+i x\left(\ldots, a_{i}, \ldots\right)+j y\left(\ldots, a_{i}, \ldots\right)+k z\left(\ldots, a_{i}, \ldots\right) . \tag{1.50}
\end{equation*}
$$

The introduction of the difference quantities $\Delta a_{i}$ then leads to the difference quantity $\Delta \varphi$ of the quaternion, according to :

$$
\varphi+\Delta G=w\left(\ldots, a_{i}+\Delta a_{i}, \ldots\right)+i x\left(\ldots, a_{i}+\Delta a_{i}, \ldots\right)+\text { ete. }
$$

Expanding the four functions $w, x, y$ and $z$ in Taylor's series, and neglecting terms of the second and higher orders, we obtain :

$$
\begin{aligned}
Q+\Delta Q= & w\left(\ldots, a_{i}, \ldots\right)+\sum_{i} \frac{\partial w}{\partial a_{i}} \Delta a_{i}+ \\
& +i\left[k\left(. ., a_{i}, \ldots\right)+\sum_{i} \frac{\partial x}{\partial a_{i}} \Delta a_{i}\right]+ \\
& +j\left[y\left(. ., a_{i}, \ldots\right)+\sum_{i} \frac{\partial y}{\partial a_{i}} \Delta a_{i}\right]+ \\
& +k\left[z\left(\ldots, a_{i}, \ldots\right)+\sum_{i} \frac{\partial z}{\partial a_{i}} \Delta a_{i}\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

In view of (1.50) we thus obtain :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta \varphi=\sum_{i} \frac{\partial w}{\partial a_{i}} \Delta a_{i}+i \sum_{i} \frac{\partial k}{\partial a_{i}} \Delta a_{i}+j \sum_{i} \frac{\partial y}{\partial a_{i}} \Delta a_{i}+\sum_{i} \frac{\partial z}{\partial a_{i}} \Delta a_{i} \tag{1.51}
\end{equation*}
$$

Subsequently, we consider the quaternion function $R$ of several quaternions $\varphi_{i}$ :

$$
R=R\left(\ldots, \varphi_{i}, \ldots\right)
$$

The introduction of quaternion differences $\Delta Q_{i}$, see (1.51), then leads to the difference $\Delta R$ of a quaternion function :

$$
\begin{equation*}
R+\Delta R=R\left(\ldots, \varphi_{i}+\Delta \varphi_{i}, \ldots\right) \tag{1.52}
\end{equation*}
$$

In this formula, too, the right-hand member can be expanded in Taylor's series. But, because of the non-commutativity of multiplication, it is essential to take $a^{c} c o u n t$ of the sequence of the factors.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& R=\varphi_{1} \varphi_{2} \\
& R+\Delta R=\left[\varphi_{1}+\Delta \varphi_{1}\right]\left[\varphi_{2}+\Delta \varphi_{2}\right]= \\
&=\varphi_{1} \varphi_{2}+\varphi \Delta \varphi_{2}+\Delta \varphi_{1} \varphi_{2}+
\end{aligned}
$$

Let :

$$
R=C_{Q}^{-1}
$$

and :

$$
R+\Delta R=\left[G+\Delta C_{Q}\right]^{-1}
$$

so, multiplied by $Q+\Delta \varphi$ :
$[R+\Delta R][\varphi+\Delta \varphi]=1$
hence $: R \varphi_{Q}+R \Delta C_{P}+\Delta R C_{P}=1$.
and, since $R \varphi=1$ :
$\Delta R G=-R \Delta C=-C^{-1} \Delta C$
hence :
$\Delta R=-G^{-1} \Delta \varphi G^{-1}$
or :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta[G]^{-1}=-G^{-1} \Delta \varphi Q^{-1} \tag{1.53}
\end{equation*}
$$

1.4.2.

The difference quantity of rotation quaternions
We consider the rotation quaternion $p$ for the system rotation; see (1.33) :

$$
p=\cos 1 / 2 \theta-e \sin 1 / 2 \theta
$$

According to (1.52) :

$$
\begin{equation*}
p+\Delta p=\cos 1 / 2[\theta+\Delta \theta]-[e+\Delta e] \sin 1 / 2[\theta+\Delta \theta] \tag{1.54}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $p=p_{12}$, the following applies in this expression :
$e=e^{(1) \text { or (2) }}$
Of course this does not apply to the difference quantity $\Delta$ e; suppose :
$\Delta e=\Delta e^{(4)}$
is defined only on the (1)-system; then :

$$
\Delta e^{(2)}=p_{21} \Delta e^{(1)} p_{21}^{-1} \neq \Delta e^{(1)} .
$$

We will now consider the meaning of this for $p+\Delta p ; p+\Delta p$ must comply with the "form-rule" (1.32). This means :

I: $N\{e+\Delta e\}=1$.
II: $\cos ^{2} 1 / 2[\theta+\Delta \theta]+\sin ^{2} 1 / 2[\theta+\Delta \theta]=1$
II has been complied with.

> either : $\Delta e=0$
> or $: \Delta e \perp e \quad(N\{\Delta e\} \ll N\{e\})$
(1.56 ${ }^{\text {b }}$ )
$\left(1.56^{\mathrm{a}}\right)$ is complied with, if the axis of rotation is, for example, defined as one of the three unit vectors of the system :

$$
e=i \text { or } e=j \text { or } e=k
$$

From section 2.3 it will become apparent that this situation applies to the five steps into which an "astronomical" rotation is split up.
$\left(1.56^{b}\right)$ is complied with, if $\operatorname{Sc}\{e \Delta e\}=0$.
When : $e=0+i a+j b+k c$,
this is the case if :

$$
a \Delta a+b \Delta b+c \Delta c=0
$$

This is the case if $c$ is defined as a function of $a$ and $b:$

$$
\begin{gathered}
c=\sqrt{1-a^{2}-b^{2}} \\
\quad \downarrow \\
\Delta c=\frac{-a}{c} \Delta a-\frac{b}{c} \Delta b
\end{gathered}
$$

This means that in a rotation quaternion, a maximum number of three independent variables can occur :
the angle of rotation $\theta$ and two out of three components of the axis of rotation $e$. This is in agreement with the function of the rotation quaternion. Further elaboration of (1.54), using :

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \cos 1 / 2 \Delta \theta=1 . \\
& \sin 1 / 2 \Delta \theta=1 / 2 \Delta \theta
\end{aligned}
$$

results in :

$$
p+\Delta p=\cos 1 / 2 \theta-1 / 2 \sin 1 / 2 \theta \Delta \theta-[e+\Delta e][\sin 1 / 2 \theta+1 / 2 \cos 1 / 2 \theta \Delta \theta]
$$

Premultiplication by :

$$
p^{-1}=\cos 1 / 2 \theta+e \sin 1 / 2 \theta
$$

then results in :

$$
\begin{equation*}
p^{-1} \Delta p=-1 / 2 e \Delta \theta-\sin 1 / 2 \theta \cos 1 / 2 \theta \Delta e-e \Delta e \sin ^{2} 1 / 2 \theta \tag{1.57}
\end{equation*}
$$

From this it becomes apparent that, if (1.56) has been fulfilled :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Sc}\left\{p^{-1} \Delta p\right\}=-\sin ^{2} 1 / 2 \theta \cdot \operatorname{Sc}\{e \Delta e\}=0 \tag{1.58}
\end{equation*}
$$

This also applies to a rotation composed of several steps, for example :

$$
p_{r c}=p_{r a} p_{a b} p_{b c}
$$

Then we obtain, according to (1.52), etc. :

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \Delta p_{r c}=\Delta p_{r a} p_{a b} p_{b c}+p_{r a} \Delta p_{a b} p_{b c}+p_{r a} p_{a b} \Delta p_{b c} \\
& p_{r c}^{-1}=p_{b c}^{-1} p_{a b}^{-1} p_{r a}^{-1}
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence :

$$
\left(p^{-1} \Delta p\right)_{r c}=p_{l c}^{-1} p_{a b}^{-1}\left(p^{-1} \Delta p\right)_{r a} p_{a b} p_{b c}+p_{f c}^{-1}\left(p^{-1} \Delta p\right)_{a b} p_{b c}^{-1}+\left(p^{-1} \Delta p\right)_{l c}
$$

In this expression we find, according to (1.58) :

$$
\operatorname{Sc}\left\{\left(p^{-1} \Delta p\right)_{r a}\right\}=\operatorname{Sc}\left\{\left(p^{-1} \Delta p\right)_{a \ell}\right\}=\operatorname{Sc}\left\{\left(p^{-1} \Delta p\right)_{\ell_{c}}\right\}=0 .
$$

therefore, in view of $\left(1.30^{\mathrm{b}}\right)$, it also applies that :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Sc}\left\{\left(p^{-1} \Delta p\right)_{r c}\right\}=0 \tag{1.59}
\end{equation*}
$$

The structure of the quantity $\left(p^{-1} \Delta p\right)$ is discussed in greater detail in section 2.3 .

The coordinate system in which $\left(p^{-1} \Delta p\right)$ is defined.
In (1.55) it was already found that $\Delta e$ and therefore also $\Delta p$, in contrast with $p$, are defined on one of the two systems; therefore this also applies to $\left(p^{-1} \Delta p\right)$ :

$$
\begin{align*}
\left(p^{-1} \Delta p\right)_{r a} & =\cos 1 / 2[\theta+\Delta \theta]_{r a}+\left[e^{(r) \operatorname{rr}(a)}+\Delta e^{(a)}\right]_{r a} \sin [\theta+\Delta \theta]_{r a}= \\
& =\left(p^{-1} \Delta p\right)_{r a}^{(a)} \tag{1.60}
\end{align*}
$$

Now, by definition :

$$
\left(p^{-1} \Delta p\right)_{r a}^{(r)}=p_{r a}\left(p^{-1} \Delta p\right)_{r a}^{(a)} p_{r a}^{-1}
$$

hence :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(p^{-1} \Delta p\right)_{r a}^{(r)}=\left(\Delta p p^{-1}\right)_{r a}^{(a)} \tag{1.61}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $p$ is of the type $\left(1.56^{a}\right)$, i.e. $\Delta e=0:$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& V_{c}\{p\}=-e \sin 1 / 2 \theta . \\
& V_{c}\{\Delta p\}=-e 1 / 2 \cos 1 / 2 \theta \Delta \theta .
\end{aligned}
$$

so : (a is a scalar)

$$
V c\{p\}=a V c\{\Delta p\} \text { if } \Delta e=0
$$

In view of (1.10) $\Delta p$ and $p$ are now indeed commutative :
this means :

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Delta p_{r a}^{(r)} & =p_{r a} \Delta p_{r a}^{(a)} p_{r a}^{-1}= \\
& =\Delta p_{r a}^{(a)} p_{r a} p_{r a}^{-1} .
\end{aligned}
$$

hence :

$$
\Delta p_{r a}^{(r)}=\Delta p_{r a}^{(a)} \quad \text { if } \Delta e=0 .
$$

therefore also :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(p^{-1} \Delta p\right)_{r a}^{(r)}=\left(p^{-1} \Delta p\right)_{r a}^{(a)} \text { if } \Delta e=0 \tag{1.62}
\end{equation*}
$$

This formula too, will turn out to be important in section 2.3, where astronomical rotations, for which $\Delta e=0$, are discussed.

### 1.5 Isomorphism with matrices.

In the manuscript [8] W. Baarda has already developed the basic thoughts used in this section.
The partial isomorphism between quaternions and matrices of the order 4 discussed here is very important in two respects :
-It constitutes the basis for the application of the present theory by means of computer programmes,
-The notation of quaternion equations, especially difference equations, in isomorphic matrices is easier interpreted than the notation in quaternions; this may be an advantage in the study of theory and in the analysis of linear dependencies.
A matrix which is isomorphic with quaternions with respect to the quater-nion-product, may be derived in a "natural way", i.e. directly from the rules of multiplication (1.8).
We consider the quaternion product :

$$
\begin{align*}
& Q=q_{1} q_{2}  \tag{1.63}\\
& q_{1}=w_{1}+i x_{1}+j y_{1}+k z_{1} . \\
& q_{2}=w_{2}+i x_{2}+j y_{2}+k z_{2} \\
& Q=W+i X+j Y+k Z
\end{align*}
$$

The components $W, X, Y, Z$ of the quaternion product $\varphi$ are composed according to (1.8). On the basis of Baarda's idea, we now arrange these terms according to the components of $q_{2}$ and $q_{1}$; so :

$$
\begin{aligned}
& W=w_{1} w_{2}-x_{1} x_{2}-y_{1} y_{2}-z_{1} z_{2}=w_{2} w_{1}-x_{2} x_{1}-y_{2} y_{1}-z_{2} z_{1} . \\
& X=x_{1} w_{2}+w_{1} x_{2}-z_{1} y_{2}+y_{1} z_{2}=x_{2} w_{1}+w_{2} x_{1}+z_{2} y_{1}-y_{2} z_{1} \\
& Y=y_{1} w_{2}+z_{1} x_{2}+w_{1} y_{2}-x_{1} z_{2}=y_{2} w_{1}-z_{2} x_{1}+w_{2} y_{1}+x_{2} z_{1} \\
& Z=z_{1} w_{2}-y_{1} x_{2}+x_{1} y_{2}+w_{1} z_{2}=z_{2} w_{1}+y_{2} x_{1}-x_{2} y_{1}+w_{2} z_{1}
\end{aligned}
$$

This can be represented by matrices in the following two ways :

$$
\left(\begin{array}{l}
W  \tag{1.64}\\
X \\
Y \\
Z
\end{array}\right)=\left(\begin{array}{cccc}
w_{1} & -x_{1} & -y_{1} & -z_{1} \\
x_{1} & w_{1} & -z_{1} & y_{1} \\
y_{1} & z_{1} & w_{1} & -x_{1} \\
z_{1} & -y_{1} & x_{1} & w_{1}
\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{l}
w_{2} \\
x_{2} \\
y_{2} \\
z_{2}
\end{array}\right)=\left(\begin{array}{cccc}
w_{2} & -x_{2} & -y_{2} & -z_{2} \\
x_{2} & w_{2} & z_{2} & -y_{2} \\
y_{2} & -z_{2} & w_{2} & x_{2} \\
z_{2} & y_{2} & -x_{2} & w_{2}
\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{l}
w_{1} \\
x_{1} \\
y_{1} \\
z_{1}
\end{array}\right)
$$

To denote these matrices we choose :
$(\overrightarrow{)}$ for the column matrices
() for the square matrix, showing the original sequence $\left(q_{1}, q_{2}\right)$
$\left({ }^{-}\right)$for the square matrix, showing the inverted sequence $\left(q_{2}, q_{1}\right)$
As basic letter we take, of course, the letter used as symbol for the quaternions in (1.63); thus (1.64) will become :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\overrightarrow{C_{q}}\right)=\left(q_{1}\right)\left(\overrightarrow{q_{2}}\right)=\left(\vec{q}_{2}\right)\left(\overrightarrow{q_{1}}\right) \tag{1.65}
\end{equation*}
$$

This can be extended to products of square matrices ; from (1.64) it follows directly that :

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\left(C_{Q}\right)=\left(q_{1}\right)\left(q_{2}\right) . & \text { a) } .  \tag{1.66}\\
\left(\overline{Q_{q}}\right)=\left(\overline{q_{2}}\right)\left(\overline{q_{1}}\right) .
\end{array}
$$

Furthermore, it becomes directly apparent that both the matrix in (1.66 ${ }^{\mathrm{a}}$ ) (normal sequence) and that in ( $9.66^{\circ}$ ) (inverted sequence) can be applied for the sum and the difference of quaternions.

Because of (1.15) the inverse of $q_{1}$ is :

$$
q_{1}^{-1}=\frac{1}{N\left\{q_{1}\right\}}\left[w_{1}-i x_{1}-j y_{1}-k z_{1}\right]
$$

The isomorphic matrix for $q^{-1}$ can thus be obtained by inverting in (1.64) the signs of the components $x, y$ and $z$, and by leaving those of $w$ unchanged. In doing so, the transposed matrix is obtained !
Hence : (* transpose of matrix)

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left(q^{-1}\right)=\frac{1}{N\{q\}}(q)^{*}  \tag{1.67}\\
& \left(\overline{q^{-1}}\right)=\frac{1}{N\{q\}}(\bar{q})^{*}
\end{align*}
$$

Furthermore, it now becomes apparent that :

$$
\left(q^{-1}\right)(q)=\frac{1}{N\{q\}}(q)^{*}(q)=\left(\begin{array}{llll}
1 & 0 & 0 & 0  \tag{1.68}\\
0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 1
\end{array}\right)
$$

Through post-multiplication of (1.68) by the inverse matrix $(q)^{-1}$, we obtain:

$$
\left(q^{-1}\right)=(q)^{-1}
$$

There are consequently two types of isomorphic matrices for quaternions, viz. one for the normal sequence and one for the inverted sequence of the factors of a quaternion product. This will prove to be very important, because owing to this, the awkward non-commutativity can be avoided ; in the column version (see 1.65) of the matrix product the two types can, in fact, be used in mixed form.
Let :

$$
C Q=q_{1} q_{2} q_{3}
$$

then, according to (1.65):

```
\(\left(\overrightarrow{c_{\varphi}}\right)=\left(q_{1}\right)\left(q_{2}\right)\left(\overrightarrow{q_{3}}\right)\)
but also : (notation : \(\left(q_{1} q_{2}\right)=\left(q_{1}\right)\left(q_{2}\right)\), etc.)
\(\left(\overrightarrow{c_{\rho}}\right)=\left(\bar{q}_{3}\right)\left(q_{1}\right)\left(\overrightarrow{q_{2}}\right)\)
and also, see also \(\left(1.66^{b}\right)\) :
\[
\left(\overrightarrow{c_{q}}\right)=\left(\overline{q_{2} q_{3}}\right)\left(\overrightarrow{q_{1}}\right)=\left(\overline{q_{3}}\right)\left(\overline{q_{2}}\right)\left(\overrightarrow{q_{1}}\right) .
\]

Each of the factors of a quaternion product can therefore be entered as last factor in the isomorphic matrix product. With reference to difference equations, this affords the possibility of placing the difference quaternion at the end.

\section*{Rotations.}

The general rotation formula (1.33) :
\[
q^{(2)}=p_{24} q^{(1)} p_{21}^{-1}
\]
therefore reads in isomorphic matrices : (see \(1.66^{\mathrm{a}}\) )
\[
\begin{aligned}
&\left(q^{(2)}\right)=\left(p_{21}\right)\left(q^{(1)}\right)\left(p_{21}\right)^{*} \\
& N\left\{p_{21}\right\}=1!
\end{aligned}
\]

Here, too, the sequence may be changed, by passing to the "column" version (1.65); we place the factor \(q^{(1)}\) at the end of the right hand member :
\[
\begin{equation*}
\left(\overrightarrow{q^{(2)}}\right)=\left(\overrightarrow{p_{21}}\right)^{*}\left(p_{21}\right)\left(\overrightarrow{q^{(1)}}\right) \tag{1.71}
\end{equation*}
\]

If here :
\[
\begin{aligned}
& p=d+i a+j b+k c \\
& q^{(1)}=w+i x+j y+k z \\
& q^{(2)}=w+i X+j Y+k Z
\end{aligned}
\]
this becomes, in accordance with (1.64) :
\[
\left(\begin{array}{l}
W  \tag{1.72}\\
X \\
Y \\
Z
\end{array}\right)=\left(\begin{array}{cccc}
1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & d^{2}+a^{2}-b^{2}-c^{2} & 2[-d c+a b] & 2[d b+a \epsilon] \\
0 & 2[d c+a b] & d^{2}-a^{2}+b^{2}-c^{2} & 2[-d a+b c] \\
0 & 2[-d b+a c] & 2[d a+b c] & d^{2}-a^{2}-b^{2}+c^{2}
\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{l}
w \\
x \\
y \\
z
\end{array}\right)
\]

THE INTRODUCTION OF GEODETIC AND ASTRONOMICAL OBSERVATION VARIATES.

\subsection*{2.1 Introduction}

In this section we shall consider how the geodetic and geodetic-astronomical observation variates can be linked with the set of concepts developed in Chapter 1.
The basic considerations are given in the present section ; it deals, in particular, with the introduction of length units.

Starting from the formulation by Baarda [8], this is worked out, in greater detail, in section 2.2 for the three types of "terrestrial" observation variates, which are combined for each side of a network \(P_{i} P_{k}\) in the quaternion :
\[
q_{i k}
\]
and in section 2.3 for the astronomical observation variates, with which, for each couple of local systems ( \(m\) ) and \((\mathrm{n})\) a rotation quaternion :
\[
\mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{mn}}
\]

is established.
The relation between the astronomical quantities "longitude" \(\lambda\) and "latitude" \(\varphi\) on the one hand and the zenith angle on the other is established by the k-vectors of the local systems, to be defined as the direction of local gravity, i.e. as "zero direction" for longitude, latitude and zenith angle. The astronomical orientation unknowns (azimuth) and the terrestrial directions \(r\) are then defined in the plane of the i- and j-vectors.

Rotations.
Let an orthogonal trihedral of unit vectors be defined in each station \(\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{m}}\) :
\[
\begin{equation*}
i_{m}, j_{m}, k_{m}:(m) \text {-system } \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
\]

These "local systems" can pass into each other through a rotation (similarity transformation without translation and scale). We consider the rotation of the ( \(m\) )-system to the ( \(n\) )-system, in the first place as vector rotation of the unit vectors according to (1.28) and (1.29) :
\[
\begin{align*}
& i_{n}^{(m)}=p i_{m}^{(m)} p^{-1}  \tag{2.2}\\
& j_{n}^{(m)}=p j_{m}^{(m)} p^{-1} \\
& k_{n}^{(m)}=p k_{m}^{(m)} p^{-1}
\end{align*}
\]
with the rotation quaternion :
\[
\begin{equation*}
p=\cos 1 / 2 \theta_{n m}+e_{n m} \sin 1 / 2 \theta_{n m} \tag{2.2'}
\end{equation*}
\]

Furtheron we shall use the system rotation according to (1.33); so :
\[
\begin{align*}
& q^{(n)}=p_{n m} q^{(m)} p_{n m}^{-1}  \tag{2.3}\\
& \text { with: } p_{n m}=\cos 1 / 2 \theta_{n m}-e_{n m} \sin 1 / 2 \theta_{n m}
\end{align*}
\]

\section*{Units of length}

From (2.2) it follows that the "lengths" of the unit vectors of all systems are equal to each other :
\[
N\left\{i_{n}^{(m)}\right\}=N\left\{i_{m}^{(m)}\right\}=1 \text {; etc. }
\]
"algebraic unit of length"

The way of introducing geometry thus means that the "algebraic" unit of length ( \(=\) length of the unit vectors) acts as unit of length of the computation system. The question of its "magnitude" is, in principle, not important; on this subject, one could imagine the metre, or any other artificial unit. From section 4.2 it will appear that, for reasons connected with computation, it will be wise to choose this unit such that the lengths of the sides of the network in the computation system are of the order of magnitude 1. (For example, it is possible to choose a multiple of the metre, viz. 500 or 1.000 or 10.000 m .)
In addition, we must define an instrumental unit of length for each local system. Though it will appear from section 2.2 that the computation model may be established, using quotients of these instrumental units of length, we shall explicitely define the relation between the instrumental units and the algebraic unit by the quantities \(\bar{\lambda}_{m}\) :
\(\bar{\lambda}_{m}\) is the length(magnitude) of the instrumental unit of length of
the \((\mathrm{m})\)-system, expressed in the algebraic unit \((=\) length of the
unit vectors)


From section 3.4 it will appear that the instrumental units of length must be considered as derived variates. In section 4.4 they are linked, as a group, to the length of one of the sides of the network \(P_{r} P_{s}\), which, for this purpose, is considered as non-stochastic and thus acts, in fact, as the "absolute" unit of length for the network; this is an aspect of the theory of the "S-transformations" (Dutch : Schrankingstransformaties).

Summarizing, we may thus recognize three "types" of length units : (see fig. 13)
1. the "algebraic" unit of length ; an aid for computation, its magnitude being approximately equal to the average lengths of the sides : \(f\) metre.
2. The group of instrumental length units; stochastic realisations of the metre, therefore :
\[
\bar{\lambda}_{i} \approx 1 / f
\]
3. The "unit of the S-system"; the non-stochastic length of the side \(\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{r}} \mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{s}}\)


All notations of vectors, units of length and length factors used in the following chapters are summarized in next scheme : (stochastic variates are underlined)
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Vectors } q \\
& 0 \\
& p_{i}=P_{p_{k}}
\end{aligned}
\] & \(N\{9\}\) & Units of length
\[
\stackrel{-1}{\longrightarrow} \text { all } i, j, k
\] & Length factors \\
\hline \(9^{(i)}\) & \(\underline{S}\) ik & \(\bar{\lambda}_{i} \approx \frac{1}{f}(\approx 1 \mathrm{~m}\). & \(\bar{\lambda}^{\prime}=\overline{\bar{\lambda}}_{i}-\underline{\underline{s}}_{\underline{j}} \underline{\underline{s}}_{\mathbf{j i}}\) \\
\hline \(q_{i k}^{(r)}\) & \(\overline{\underline{\lambda}}_{r i} \underline{s}_{i k}\) & \(\bar{\lambda}_{r} \approx \frac{1}{f}\) & \(\underline{\underline{r}}_{r i}=\frac{\lambda_{i}}{\bar{\lambda}_{r}}=\frac{s_{j r}}{\underline{S}_{j}} \underline{\underline{S}_{i j}}\) \\
\hline \(\bar{q}^{(\stackrel{r}{*}}\) & \(\bar{\lambda}^{0} \underline{\underline{\lambda}}_{r i} \underline{\underline{S}}_{i k}\) & \(\bar{\lambda}^{0}=\frac{1}{f}\) & \\
\hline \(\overline{\overline{\underline{q}}}_{i k}^{(r)}\) & \(\bar{\lambda}^{0}\left[\bar{\lambda}_{r i}+\varepsilon\right]\left[\underline{s}_{i k}+\underline{\varepsilon}\right]\) & & \\
\hline \(\underline{q}_{i k}^{(R)}\) &  & & \[
\bar{\lambda}_{\bar{X}_{r}}=\frac{S_{r s}}{S_{r s}+\underline{\varepsilon}}
\] \\
\hline \(\underline{q}_{\text {rs }}^{(R)}\) & \(\frac{S_{r s}}{\underline{S}_{r s}+\underline{\varepsilon}} \frac{1}{f} \underline{\lambda}_{r r}\left[\underline{S}_{r s}+\underline{\varepsilon}\right]=\frac{S_{r s}}{f}\) & \(\leftarrow\) "S-transfo & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
2.2 The terrestrial-geodetic observation variates.

Remark : In the following sections, the observation variables will always be considered as stochastic variates and will therefore be underlined.

Definitions.
From station \(P_{i}\), the distances \(\underline{s}_{i k}\) to stations \(P_{k}\) are measured :
\(\underline{s}_{i k}\) is the length of side \(P_{i} P_{k}\) expressed in the
instrumental length unit \(\bar{\lambda}_{i}\left(\bar{\lambda}_{i}\right.\) is approximately
1 metre)
"distance measure".

By means of a theodolite established in \(P_{i}\), the two "polar coordinates" of the spatial vector \(P_{i} P_{k}\) can be measured :
the zenith angle \(\underline{I}_{i k}\) and the direction \(\underline{r}_{i k}\) :
Jik is the angle between the vector \(P_{i} P_{k}\) and
the upward direction of the first axis of the
theodolite set up in \(P_{i}\);
\(\underline{r}_{i k}\) is the angle between the zero direction of the horizontal circle and the projection of the vector \(P_{i} P_{k}\) on the plane of that circle.

Since the first axis of the theodolite is perpendicular to the horizontal circle, the local system (orthogonal trihedral \(i, j, k\) ) can be defined by linking it up as follows with the theodolite : (see fig. 14)
-the i-vector lies in the plane of the horizontal circle, in the "zero direction"
-the k-vector lies in the part of the first axis "pointing downwards"
-the j-vector completes a right-handed system i, j, k.


By (1.18), the spatial vector \(P_{i} P_{k}\) can now be expressed as quaternion \(q_{i k}\) with a scalar part \(=0\), by conversion of the rectangular coordinate differences \(x, y, z\) to polar coordinates \(s, r, j:\)
\[
\begin{align*}
& \underline{q}_{i k}^{(i)}=0+i \underline{s}_{i k} \cos \underline{r}_{i k} \sin \underline{I}_{i k}+j \underline{s}_{i k} \sin \underline{r}_{i k} \sin \underline{\underline{I}}_{i k}-k \underline{s}_{i k} \cos \underline{\underline{I}}_{i k}  \tag{2.9}\\
& \sqrt{N\left\{\underline{q}_{i k}^{(i)}\right\}}=\underline{s}_{i k}
\end{align*}
\]

We can pass to the "algebraic" unit of length through multiplication by \(\bar{\lambda}_{i}:(=\) approx. \(1 / f)\)
\[
\begin{equation*}
\underline{\underline{q}}_{i k}^{(i)}=\bar{\lambda}_{i} \underline{q}_{i k}^{(i)} ; \sqrt{N\left\{\underline{q}_{i k}^{(i)}\right\}}=\bar{\lambda}_{i} \underline{s}_{i k} \tag{2.10}
\end{equation*}
\]

The rotation to another local system ( \(r\) ) is made, using the rotation quaternion \(\mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{ri}}\); see (2.3):
\[
\begin{equation*}
\underline{\underline{q}}_{i k}^{(r)}=\underline{p}_{r i} \underline{q}_{i k}^{(i)} \underline{p}_{r i}^{-1} \tag{2.11}
\end{equation*}
\]

On the analogy of \((2.10)\), we now pass to :
\[
\begin{equation*}
\underline{\bar{q}}_{i k}^{(r)}=\bar{\lambda}_{r} \underline{q}_{i k}^{(r)} \tag{2.12}
\end{equation*}
\]

The substitution of (2.10) and (2.12) in (2.11) then gives the transformation between the two local systems (i) and ( \(r\) ) :
\[
\begin{equation*}
\bar{\lambda}_{r} \underline{q}_{i k}^{(r)}=\bar{\lambda}_{i} \underline{p}_{r i} \underline{q}_{i k}^{(i)} \underline{p}_{r i}^{-1} \tag{2.12'}
\end{equation*}
\]

In order to obtain a more logical arrangement of the observation variates on the one hand and the transformation variates on the other, we elaborate this as follows :
\[
\underline{q}_{i k}^{(r)}=\frac{\bar{\lambda}_{i}}{\bar{\lambda}_{r}} p_{r i} \underline{q}_{i k}^{(i)} \underline{p}_{r i}^{-1}
\]

Finally, the introduction of the "length factor"
\[
\begin{equation*}
\bar{\lambda}_{r i}=\frac{\bar{\lambda}_{i}}{\bar{\lambda}_{r}} \tag{2.13}
\end{equation*}
\]
leads to the general transformation formula for local instrumental systems :
\[
\left\lvert\, \begin{align*}
\underline{q}_{i k}^{(r)}= & \bar{\lambda}_{r i} p_{r i} \underline{q}_{i k}^{(i)} \underline{p}_{r i}^{-1}  \tag{2.14}\\
& \text { is the vector } p_{i} p_{k}, \text { described relative to the unit vectors } \\
& \text { and the unit of length of the }(r) \text {-syst,em }
\end{align*}\right.
\]

Remark : The algebraic unit of length is no longer involved here.
Subsequently, we consider, similar to Baarda, [8] the left-division of two vectors measured from \(P_{i}\), viz. \(P_{i} P_{k}\) and \(P_{i} P_{j}\); see fig. 15 :
\[
\begin{equation*}
\underline{Q}_{j i k}^{(i)}=\underline{q}_{i k}^{(i)} \underline{q}_{i j}^{(i)^{-1}} \tag{2.15}
\end{equation*}
\]

In view of (1.23) this is :
\[
\begin{align*}
& \quad \underline{\underline{C}}_{j i k}^{(i)}=\underline{v}_{j i k}\left[\cos \underline{\sigma}_{j i k}+\underline{e}_{j i k} \sin \underline{\underline{q}}_{j i k}\right] \\
& \text { with }: \quad \underline{v}_{j i k}=\frac{\underline{S}_{i k}}{\underline{S}_{i j}} \tag{2.16}
\end{align*}
\]

fig. 15

Therefore, also the unit of length of the local (i)-system does not occur in (2.15).

The variate \(Q_{j i k}\) is therefore dimensionless, covering all the terrestrial observation variates of station \(\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{i}}\); it describes the form of a triangle \(P_{j} P_{i} P_{k}\) and also the position of the spatial plane of that triangle relative to the (i)-system.
The properties of Qjik thus agree, to a high extent, with those of the \(\Pi\)-quantity in Baarda's polygon theory in the complex plane.

\section*{Difference quantities.}

By means of ( 1.50 etc.), (2.9) can be differentiated to the three observation variates :
\[
\begin{align*}
\Delta g_{i k}^{(i)}= & 0+i[\cos r \sin J \Delta s-s \sin r \sin J \Delta r+s \cos r \cos J \Delta J]_{i k}+ \\
& +j[\sin r \sin J \Delta s+s \cos r \sin J \Delta r+s \sin r \cos J \Delta J]_{i k}+  \tag{2.17}\\
& +k[-\cos J \Delta s+s \sin J \Delta J]_{i k}
\end{align*}
\]

Premultiplication by :
\[
q_{i k}^{-1(i)}=0+\frac{1}{5}[-i \cos r \sin J-j \sin r \sin J+k \cos J]_{i k}
\]
then gives the dimensionless variate :
\[
\begin{align*}
\left(q^{-1} \Delta g\right)_{i k}^{(i)}=\frac{1}{s} \Delta S & +i[-\cos r \sin J \cos J \Delta r-\sin r \Delta I]_{i k}+ \\
& +j[-\sin r \sin J \cos J r+\cos r \Delta J]_{i k}+  \tag{2.18}\\
& +k\left[-\sin ^{2} J \Delta r\right]_{i k} .
\end{align*}
\]

In view of (1.64), the quantity \(\left(q^{-1} \Delta q\right)\) can be represented as an isomorphic column matrix, by splitting up the right-hand member into a coefficient matrix and a column matrix of differences :
or, introducing \(\Delta{\underline{l n} s_{i k}}\) and \(\operatorname{sinj} \Delta r i k\) as difference quantities :
\[
\left(\begin{array}{lll}
S c\left\{\left(q^{-1} \Delta q\right)_{i k}^{(i)}\right\}  \tag{2.20}\\
v_{i}\{ & \cdot & \} \\
v_{j}\{ & . & \} \\
v_{k}\{ & \prime & \}
\end{array}\right)=\left(\begin{array}{lll}
1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & -\cos r \cos J & -\sin r \\
0 & -\sin r \cos J & \cos r \\
0 & -\sin J & 0
\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{l}
\Delta \ln s_{i k} \\
\sin J \Delta r_{i k} \\
\Delta J_{i k}
\end{array}\right)
\]

Subsequently, (2.15) is differentiated; applying the rules established in (1.50) etc.:
\[
\Delta G_{j i k}^{(i)}=\Delta q_{i k} q_{i j}^{-1}-q_{i k} q_{i j}^{-1} \Delta q_{i j} q_{i j}^{-1}
\]

Through premultiplication by \(q_{i k}^{-1}\) and post multiplication by \(q_{i j}\), this passes
into :
\[
\begin{equation*}
q_{i k}^{-1} \Delta Q_{j i k} q_{i j}=\left(q^{-1} \Delta q\right)_{i k}-\left(q^{-1} \Delta q\right)_{i j} \tag{2.21}
\end{equation*}
\]

This formula bears a strong resemblance to a formula known from Baarda's polygon theory in the complex plane, (2.2.13) in [2]:
\[
\begin{equation*}
\Delta \Pi_{j i k}=\Delta \Lambda_{i k}-\Delta \Lambda_{i j} \tag{2.22}
\end{equation*}
\]

In the latter formula, moreover :
\[
\Delta \Lambda_{i k}=\Delta \underline{\ln }^{s_{i k}}+i \Delta r_{i k}
\]

The symbol i is now the imaginary unit of the complex numbers.
Furthermore, applying the properties of a network in the complex plane :
a) : \(J=\pi / 2\) : all the points lie in one horizontal plane
\[
\left(q^{-1} \Delta g\right)_{i k}^{(i)}=\Delta \ln s_{i k}-k \Delta r_{i k}+[-i \sin r+j \cos r] \Delta \Xi_{i k}
\]
b) \(: \Delta \underline{J}=0\) : the zenith angles are not observation variates.
\[
\begin{equation*}
\left(q^{-1} \Delta q\right)_{i k}^{(i)}=\Delta \ln s_{i k}-k \Delta r_{i k} \tag{2.23}
\end{equation*}
\]

Compare (2.22)
2.3 The geodetic-astronomical observation variates.
2.3.1

Definitions
In section 2.2, the local systems of the stations \(P_{m}\) are defined as follows : (2.8)
```

-the unit vector }\mp@subsup{k}{m}{}\mathrm{ points in the direction of the "first axis" of the
theodolite established in P}\mp@subsup{P}{m}{}\mathrm{ ; this is an instrumental realisation of the
vertical ;
-the unit vector im
to the zero direction of the horizontal circle.
-the unit vector jm completes a right handed system of orthogonal unit
vectors im}\mp@subsup{i}{m}{\prime}\mp@subsup{j}{m}{\prime},\mp@subsup{k}{m}{\prime

```

The unit vectors \(k\) of various stations can be interrelated by describing the spatial direction of each k-vector by means of two polar coordinates ; for this, we choose the system of the astronomical longitude \(\lambda\) and latitude \(\varphi\), measurable through astronomical observations ; see fig. 16 :


The angle in the plane of the horizon between \(i_{m}\) and the astronomical north of \(P_{m}\) :
\[
\begin{equation*}
\underline{\theta}_{m} \tag{2.24}
\end{equation*}
\]
is the "astronomical orientation" of the (m)system.

We shall now describe the rotation of the (m)system to another local system, the ( \(n\) )-system, as a rotation in steps, according to (1.46).

For this, we define two ancillary systems by (m) :
```

-the (m')-system :
the k-vector of the (m')-system is parallel to km
the i-vector of (m') lies in the direction of the
astronomical north in P .
the j-vector completes a right-handed system im', jom, km
astronomical north in P .
the $j$-vector completes a right-handed system $i_{m^{\prime}}, j_{m^{\prime}}, k_{m}$,

```
the \(k\)-vector of ( \(\mathrm{m}^{\prime \prime}\) ) lies in the polar direction of the \(\varphi, \lambda\)-system
the \(i\)-vector completes a right handed system \(i_{m "}, j_{m "}, k_{m "}\).
```

```
-the (m")-system :
```

-the (m")-system :

```
-the (m")-system :
    the j-vector of the (m")-system is parallel to jm
    the j-vector of the (m")-system is parallel to jm
    the j-vector of the (m")-system is parallel to jm
    the k-vector of (m") lies in the polar direction of the
    the k-vector of (m") lies in the polar direction of the
    the k-vector of (m") lies in the polar direction of the
    \varphi,\lambda -system
    \varphi,\lambda -system
    \varphi,\lambda -system
    the i-vector completes a right handed system im" , jm", km"
```

    the i-vector completes a right handed system im" , jm", km"
    ```
    the i-vector completes a right handed system im" , jm", km"
```

    保
    The rotation from ( $m$ ) to ( $n$ ) can now be described in five successive steps with, consecutively, $\underline{\theta}_{m}, \varphi_{m}, \lambda_{m n}, \varphi_{n}$ and $\underline{\theta}_{n}$ as angle of rotation :

$$
\begin{align*}
& 1: \quad \underline{\underline{P}}_{m^{\prime} m}=\cos 1 / 2 \underline{\theta}_{m}+k \sin 1 / 2 \underline{\theta}_{m} \\
& 2: \quad \underline{P}_{m^{\prime} m^{\prime \prime}}=\cos 1 / 2\left[\pi / 2-\underline{\varphi}_{m}\right]+j \sin 1 / 2\left[\pi / 2-\underline{\varphi}_{m}\right] \\
& 3: \quad \underline{P}_{m^{\prime \prime} n^{\prime \prime}}=\cos 1 / 2\left[\lambda_{m}-\lambda_{n}\right]-k \sin 1 / 2\left[\lambda_{m}-\underline{\lambda}_{n}\right]  \tag{2.27}\\
& 4: \quad \underline{P}_{n^{\prime \prime} n^{\prime}}=\cos 1 / 2\left[\pi / 2-\underline{\varphi}_{n}\right]-j \sin 1 / 2\left[\pi / 2-\underline{\varphi}_{n}\right] \\
& 5: \quad \underline{p}_{n^{\prime} n}=\cos 1 / 2 \underline{\theta}_{n}-k \sin 1 / 2 \underline{\theta}_{n} .
\end{align*}
$$

## Remarks :

-the choice of the sign in $\mathrm{p}_{m^{\prime} m}$ and $\mathrm{p}_{n n^{\prime}}$ means that $\underline{\theta}$ turns clockwise
(as seen from above) ;
-the sign in $p_{m^{\prime \prime} m^{\prime}}$ and $p_{n^{\prime} n^{\prime \prime}}$ means that $\underline{\varphi}$ is positive on the northern hemisphere ;
-the sign in $\mathrm{p}_{n^{\prime \prime} m^{\prime}}$ means that $\underline{\lambda}$ is counted positive towards the east.
The five steps of (2.27) are all described relative to their "own" systems, and, according to (1.46), they can all be directly multiplied to :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\underline{P}_{n m}=\underline{P}_{n n^{\prime}} \underline{P}_{n^{\prime} n^{\prime \prime}} \underline{\underline{n}}_{n^{\prime \prime} m^{\prime \prime}} \underline{P}_{m^{\prime \prime} m^{\prime}} \underline{P}_{m^{\prime} m}=\underline{\underline{p}}_{n^{\prime} n}^{-1} \underline{p}_{n^{\prime \prime} n^{\prime}}^{-1} \underline{P}_{m^{\prime \prime} n^{\prime \prime}}^{-1} \underline{m}_{m^{\prime} m^{\prime \prime}}^{-1} \underline{m}_{m^{\prime} m}^{-1} \tag{2.28}
\end{equation*}
$$

This leads, introducing $\lambda_{n m}=\lambda_{m}-\lambda_{n}$, to :

$$
\begin{align*}
\underline{\varphi}_{n m} & =\cos 1 / 2 \lambda \cos 1 / 2\left[\varphi_{m}-\varphi_{n}\right] \cos 1 / 2\left[\theta_{m}-\theta_{-}\right]+\sin 1 / 2 \lambda_{n m} \sin 1 / 2\left[\varphi_{m}+\varphi_{n}\right] \sin 1 / 2\left[\theta_{m}-\theta_{n}\right]+ \\
& +i\left[-\cos 1 / 2 \lambda_{n m} \sin 1 / 2\left[\varphi_{m}-\varphi_{n}\right] \sin 1 / 2\left[\theta_{m}+\theta_{n}\right]+\sin 1 / 2 \lambda_{n m} \cos 1 / 2\left[\varphi_{m}+\varphi_{n}\right] \cos 1 / 2\left[\theta_{m}+\theta_{n}\right]\right]+ \\
& +j\left[-\cos 1 / 2 \lambda \sin 1 / 2\left[\varphi_{m}-\varphi_{n}\right] \cos 1 / 2\left[\theta_{m}+\theta_{n}\right]-\sin 1 / 2 \lambda_{n m} \cos 1 / 2\left[\varphi_{m}+\varphi_{n}\right] \sin 1 / 2\left[\theta_{m}+\theta_{n}\right]\right]+ \\
& +k\left[\cos 1 / 2 \lambda_{n m} \cos 1 / 2\left[\varphi_{m}-\varphi_{n}\right] \sin 1 / 2\left[\theta_{m}-\theta_{n}\right]-\sin 1 / 2 \lambda_{n m} \sin 1 / 2\left[\varphi_{m}+\varphi_{n}\right] \cos 1 / 2\left[\theta_{m}-\theta_{n}\right]\right] . \tag{2.29}
\end{align*}
$$

In (2.29), the astronomical longitudes $\lambda$ only occur as difference quantities, contrary to the latitudes $\underline{\varphi}$ and the orientation variates $\underline{\theta}$. It would be
possible to choose a corresponding measuring procedure, i.e. (approximately) simultaneous measurement of the longitudes at both ends of each network side ; beside the fact that time would play a less decisive role, also the influence of polar motion and the definition of "star-coordinates" would be deminished.
In addition, it will appear from the difference quantities (2.34) that in networks covering a limited part of the surface of the earth (which means that the $k$-vectors are all approximately parallel and the differences in longitude and latitude are small), also with respect to the astronomical latitudes $\varphi$ chiefly the differences are important.

### 2.3.2. <br> Difference quantities

In section 1.4 rules have been deduced for the differentiation of rotation quaternions; within the scope of these "form rules", see (1.56), they can be differentiated in two ways :
a) according to $\left(1.56^{a}\right): \Delta e=0$

The five steps of (2.27) comply with this, because here the unit vectors act as axis of rotation $e$ :

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
(2.27 / 1 \text { and } 3 \text { and } 5): & e=k \\
(2.27 / 2 \text { and } 4): & e=j
\end{array}
$$

b) according to $\left(1.56^{b}\right)$ : $\mathrm{Sc}\{\mathrm{e} \Delta \mathrm{e}\}=0$

Method b :
If (2.29) is differentiated directly to all astronomical variates, and subsequently multiplied on the left by $\mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{nm}}^{-1}$, it becomes apparent that :

$$
\operatorname{Sc}\left\{\left(p^{-1} \Delta p\right)\right\}=0
$$

In view of (1.57), it follows from this that :

$$
\operatorname{Sc}\left\{\left(e^{-1} \Delta e\right)\right\}=0
$$

We now use method a) by differentiating the five steps of (2.27) :

$$
\begin{align*}
& 1:\left(p^{-1} \Delta p\right)_{m^{\prime} m}^{\left(m^{\prime}\right) \operatorname{or}(m)}=0+i 0+j 0+k 1 / 2 \Delta \theta_{m} \\
& 2:\left(p^{-1} \Delta p\right)_{m^{\prime \prime} m^{\prime}}^{\left(m^{\prime \prime}\right) \operatorname{mon}\left(m^{\prime}\right)}=0+i 0-j 1 / 2 \Delta \varphi_{m}+k 0 \\
& 3:\left(p^{-1} \Delta p\right)_{n^{\prime \prime} m^{\prime \prime}}^{\left(n^{\prime \prime}\right) \ln \left(n^{\prime \prime}\right)}=0+i 0+j 0-k 1 / 2 \Delta \lambda_{n m}  \tag{2.30}\\
& 4:\left(p^{-1} \Delta p\right)_{n^{\prime} n^{\prime \prime}\left(n^{\prime \prime}\right)}^{\left(n^{\prime}\right)}=0+i 0+j 1 / 2 \Delta \varphi_{n}+k 0 \\
& 5:\left(p^{-1} \Delta p\right)_{n n^{\prime}}^{(n) \text { or }\left(n^{\prime}\right)}=0+i 0+j 0-k 1 / 2 \Delta \theta_{n}
\end{align*}
$$

The difference equation of (2.28) is :

$$
\begin{align*}
\left(p^{-1} \Delta p\right)_{n m}^{(m)} & =p_{m n^{\prime}}\left(p^{-1} \Delta p\right)_{n n^{\prime}}^{\left(n^{\prime}\right)} p_{m n^{\prime}}^{-1}+p_{m n^{\prime \prime}}\left(p^{-1} \Delta p\right)_{n^{\prime} n^{\prime \prime}}^{\left(n^{\prime \prime}\right)} p_{m n^{\prime \prime}}^{-1}+ \\
& +p_{m m^{\prime \prime}}\left(p^{-1} \Delta p\right)_{n^{\prime \prime} m^{\prime \prime}}^{\left(m^{\prime \prime}\right)} p_{m m^{\prime \prime}}^{-1}+p_{m m^{\prime}}\left(p^{-1} \Delta p\right)_{m^{\prime \prime} m^{\prime}}^{\left(m^{\prime}\right)} p_{m m^{\prime}}^{-1}+\left(p^{-1} \Delta p\right)_{m^{\prime} m} \tag{2.31}
\end{align*}
$$

The substitution of (2.30) in (2.31) then gives :

$$
\begin{align*}
\left(P^{-1} \Delta p\right)_{n m}^{(m)}=0+ & {\left[i\left[\cos \theta_{m}\left(\sin \varphi_{n} \cos \varphi_{m}-\cos \lambda_{n m} \cos \varphi_{n} \sin \varphi_{m}\right)-\sin \theta_{m} \sin \lambda_{n m} \cos \varphi_{n}\right]+\right.} \\
& +j\left[-\sin \theta_{m}\left(\sin \varphi_{m} \cos \varphi_{m}-\cos \lambda_{n m} \cos \varphi_{n} \sin \varphi_{m}\right)-\cos \theta_{m} \sin \lambda_{n m} \cos \varphi_{n}\right]+ \\
& \left.+k\left[-\cos \lambda_{n m} \cos \varphi_{n} \cos \varphi_{m}-\sin \varphi_{n} \sin \varphi_{m}\right]\right] 1 / 2 \Delta \theta_{n}+ \\
+ & {\left[i\left[\cos \lambda_{n m} \sin \theta_{m}-\sin \lambda_{n m} \sin \varphi_{m} \cos \theta_{m}\right]+\right.} \\
& +j\left[\cos \lambda_{n m} \cos \theta_{m}+\sin \lambda_{n m} \sin \varphi_{m} \sin \theta_{m}\right]+ \\
& \left.-k \sin \lambda_{n m} \cos \varphi_{m}\right] 1 / 2 \Delta \varphi_{n} \\
+ & {\left[i \cos \varphi_{m} \cos \theta_{m}-j \cos \varphi_{m} \sin \theta_{m}-k \sin \varphi_{m}\right] 1 / 2 \Delta \lambda_{n m} } \\
+ & {\left[-i \sin \theta_{m}-j \cos \theta_{m}\right] 1 / 2 \Delta \varphi_{m}+k 1 / 2 \Delta \theta_{m} } \tag{2.32}
\end{align*}
$$

or, expressed in an isomorphic column matrix, with symbolic indication of the coefficients in the first and second columns of the coefficient matrix :

$$
\left(\begin{array}{lll}
S c\left\{\left(\rho^{-1} \Delta \varphi\right)_{m}^{(m)}\right.  \tag{2.33}\\
V_{i}\{ & , & \} \\
V_{j}\{ & , & \} \\
V_{k}\{ & , & \}
\end{array}\right\}=\left(\begin{array}{ccccc}
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
\frac{\partial V_{i}}{\partial \theta_{n}} & \frac{\partial V_{i}}{\partial \varphi_{n}} & \cos \varphi_{m} \cos \theta_{m} & -\sin \theta_{m} & 0 \\
\frac{\partial V_{j}}{\partial \theta_{n}} & \frac{\partial V_{j}}{\partial \varphi_{n}} & -\cos \varphi_{m} \sin \theta_{m} & -\cos \theta_{m} & 0 \\
\frac{\partial V k}{\partial \theta_{n}} & \frac{\partial V k}{\partial \varphi_{n}} & -\sin \varphi_{m} & 0 & 1
\end{array}\right) \cdot\left(\begin{array}{l}
1 / 2 \Delta \theta_{n} \\
1 / 2 \Delta \varphi_{n} \\
1 / 2 \Delta \lambda_{n m} \\
1 / 2 \Delta \varphi_{m} \\
1 / 2 \Delta \theta_{m}
\end{array}\right)
$$

## 2.3 .3

Networks with parallel k-unit-vectors
In a network with parallel k-vectors, we obtain :

$$
\varphi_{m}=\varphi_{n} \quad \text { and } \quad \lambda_{m}=\lambda_{n} \quad\left(\rightarrow \lambda_{n m}=0\right)
$$

Then, the coefficients in (2.33) become :

$$
\left(\begin{array}{lll}
S c\left\{\left(p^{-1} \Delta p\right)_{n m}^{(m)}\right\}  \tag{2.34}\\
V_{i}\{ & , & \} \\
V_{j}\{ & , & \} \\
V_{k}\{ & , & \}
\end{array}\right)=\left(\begin{array}{ccccc}
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & \sin \theta_{m} & \cos \varphi_{m} \cos \theta_{m} & -\sin \theta_{m} & 0 \\
0 & \cos \theta_{m} & -\cos \varphi_{m} \sin \theta_{m} & -\cos \theta_{m} & 0 \\
-1 & 0 & -\sin \varphi_{m} & 0 & 1
\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{l}
1 / 2 \Delta \theta_{n} \\
1 / 2 \Delta \varphi_{n} \\
1 / 2 \Delta \lambda_{n m} \\
1 / 2 \Delta \varphi_{m} \\
1 / 2 \Delta \theta_{m}
\end{array}\right)
$$

From the coefficients in the first matrix of the right-hand member it appears that in a network with parallel k-vectors also the astronomical latitudes $\varphi$ and the orientation variates $\theta$ only act as difference quantities (for the longitudes $\lambda$ this is always the case).

Chapter 3.
IMPORTANT DIFFERENCE EQUATIONS.
3.1 Chains of astronomical rotations.

In section 2.3, the internal structure of the astronomical rotation quaternion has been analysed as a rotation in five consecutive steps (2.27). What happens, if we connect several astrcnomical rotations ?
We consider four local systems (r), (a), (b), and (i), of which three mutual rotations are known :

$$
p_{r a}, p_{a b}, p_{b i}
$$

The rotation from (i) to ( $r$ ) is then : see (1.46)

$p_{r i}^{(r)}=p_{r a} p_{a b} p_{b i}$
3.1 .1

The relative difference quantity
The difference equation of (3.1) reads :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(p^{-1} \Delta p\right)_{r i}^{(i)}=p_{i a}\left(p^{-1} \Delta p\right)_{r a}^{(a)} p_{i a}^{-1}+p_{i b}\left(p^{-1} \Delta p\right)_{a b}^{(b)} p_{i b}^{-1}+\left(p^{-1} \Delta p\right)_{b i}^{(i)} \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

By means of (2.27), each of the three rotations in (3.1) can be split up into five component factors :

$$
\begin{equation*}
p_{r i}=\underbrace{}_{=p_{r a} \overline{p_{r r^{\prime}} p_{r^{\prime} r^{\prime \prime}}} p_{r^{\prime \prime} a^{\prime \prime}} \overbrace{a_{a^{\prime \prime} a^{\prime}} \stackrel{p_{a^{\prime} a}}{ } p_{a a^{\prime}} p_{a^{\prime} a^{\prime \prime}}} p_{a^{\prime \prime} b^{\prime \prime}} p_{b^{\prime \prime} b^{\prime}} p_{b^{\prime} b} p_{b b^{\prime}} p_{b^{\prime} b^{\prime \prime}} p_{b^{\prime \prime} i^{\prime \prime}} p_{i^{\prime \prime} i^{\prime}} p_{i^{\prime} i}} \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

In view of (1.46), all factors are described in this formula relative to their "own" systems.
Now, the following applies :

$$
\begin{aligned}
& (2.27 / 1): \quad p_{a^{\prime} a}=\cos 1 / 2 \theta_{a}+k \sin 1 / 2 \theta_{a} \\
& (2.27 / 5): \quad P_{a a^{\prime}}=\cos 1 / 2 \theta_{a}-k \sin 1 / 2 \theta_{a}
\end{aligned}
$$

hence :

$$
p_{a^{\prime} a} p_{a a^{\prime}}=1 ; \quad p_{b^{\prime} b} p_{b b^{\prime}}=1
$$

Likewise, with (2.27/2) and (2.27/4) :

$$
p_{a^{\prime \prime} a^{\prime}} p_{a^{\prime} a^{\prime \prime}}=1 ; \quad p_{b^{\prime \prime} b^{\prime}} p_{b^{\prime} b^{\prime \prime}}=1
$$

With this (3.3) passes into the simple form :
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$$
\begin{equation*}
p_{r i}=p_{r r^{\prime}} p_{r^{\prime} r^{\prime \prime}} p_{r^{\prime \prime} a^{\prime \prime}} p_{a^{\prime \prime} b^{\prime \prime}} p_{b^{\prime \prime} i^{\prime \prime}} p_{i^{\prime \prime} i^{\prime}} p_{i^{\prime} i} \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

or, as a function of astronomical quantities :

$$
p_{r i}=\varphi_{r \ldots i}=p\left(\theta_{r}, \varphi_{r}, \lambda_{r \ldots i}, \varphi_{i}, \theta_{i}\right) .
$$

The terms with $\varphi_{a}, \theta_{a}, \varphi_{l}$, and $\theta_{b}$ will therefore also disappear from the difference formula (3.2) ; we substitute (2.31) in (3.2), with successively $(n \rightarrow r ; m \rightarrow a) ;(n \rightarrow a ; m \rightarrow b)$ and $(n \rightarrow b ; m \rightarrow i)$ :

$$
\begin{align*}
&\left(p^{-1} \Delta p\right)_{r i}^{(i)}=p_{i a}\left[p_{a r^{\prime}}\left(p^{-1} \Delta p\right)_{r r^{\prime}} p_{a r^{\prime}}^{-1}\right.+p_{a r^{\prime \prime}}\left(p^{-1} \Delta p\right)_{r^{\prime} r^{\prime \prime}} p_{a r^{\prime \prime}}^{-1}+p_{a a^{\prime \prime}}\left(p^{-1} \Delta p\right)_{r^{\prime \prime} a^{\prime \prime}} p_{a a^{\prime \prime}}^{-1}+ \\
&\left.+p_{a a^{\prime}}\left(p^{-1} \Delta p\right)_{a^{\prime \prime} a^{\prime}} p_{a a^{\prime}}^{-1}+\left(p^{-1} \Delta p\right)_{a^{\prime} a}\right] p_{i a}^{-1}+ \\
&+ p_{i b}\left[p_{b a^{\prime}}\left(p^{-1} \Delta p\right)_{a a^{\prime}} p_{b a^{\prime}}^{-1}\right. \\
&+p_{b a^{\prime \prime}}\left(p^{-1} \Delta p\right)_{a^{\prime} a^{\prime \prime}} p_{b a^{\prime \prime}}^{-1}+p_{b b^{\prime \prime}}\left(p^{-1} \Delta p\right)_{a^{\prime \prime} b^{\prime \prime}} p_{b b^{\prime \prime}}^{-1}+ \\
&\left.+p_{b b^{\prime}}\left(p^{-1} \Delta p\right)_{b^{\prime \prime} b^{\prime}} p_{b b^{\prime}}^{-1}+\left(p^{-1} \Delta p\right)_{b^{\prime} b}\right] p_{i b}^{-1}+ \\
&+p_{i b^{\prime \prime}}\left(p^{-1} \Delta p\right)_{b^{\prime} b^{\prime \prime}} p_{i b^{\prime \prime}}^{-1}+p_{i i}\left(p^{-1} \Delta p\right)_{b^{\prime \prime} i^{\prime \prime}} p_{i i^{\prime \prime}}^{-1}+  \tag{3.5}\\
&+p_{i i^{\prime}}\left(p^{-1} \Delta p\right)_{i^{\prime \prime} i^{\prime}} p_{i i^{\prime}}^{-1}+\left(p^{-1} \Delta p\right)_{i^{\prime} i}
\end{align*}
$$

In this formula, the terms containing $\Delta \theta_{a}$ are $:$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& p_{i a}\left(p^{-1} \Delta p\right)_{a^{\prime} a} p_{i a}^{-1}+p_{i b} p_{b a^{\prime}}\left(p^{-1} \Delta p\right)_{a a^{\prime}} p_{b a^{\prime}}^{-1} p_{i b}^{-1}= \\
& =p_{i a}\left(p^{-1} \Delta p\right)_{a^{\prime} a} p_{i a}^{-1}+p_{i a} p_{a a^{\prime}}\left(p^{-1} \Delta p\right)_{a a^{\prime}}^{\left(a^{\prime}\right)} p_{a a^{\prime}}^{-1} p_{i a}^{-1}= \\
& =p_{i a}\left[\left(p^{-1} \Delta p\right)_{a^{\prime} a}+\left(p^{-1} \Delta p\right)_{a a^{\prime}}^{\left(a^{\prime}\right)}\right] p_{i a}^{-1} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Here, according to (2.30/1) the following applies :

$$
\left(p^{-1} \Delta p\right)_{a_{a}^{\prime}}=0+k 1 / 2 \Delta \theta_{a}
$$

The rotation $p_{a a}$, is of the type $\Delta e=0\left(1.56^{a}\right)$, therefore (1.62) applies to

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left(p^{-1} \Delta p\right)_{a a^{\prime}}^{(a)} & =\left(p^{-1} \Delta p\right)_{a a^{\prime}}^{\left(a^{\prime}\right)}= \\
& =0-k 1 / 2 \Delta \theta_{a}
\end{aligned}
$$

therefore, in (3.5), the two terms with $\Delta \Theta_{a}$ eliminate each other. It can be demonstrated in a similar way that the terms with $\Delta \varphi_{a}, \Delta \varphi_{b}$ and $\Delta \varphi_{b}$ disappear ; thus $(3.5)$ passes into :

$$
\begin{align*}
\left(p^{-1} \Delta p\right)_{r i}^{(i)}=p_{i a}[ & p_{a r^{\prime}}\left(p^{-1} \Delta p\right)_{r r^{\prime}} p_{a r^{\prime}}^{-1}+p_{a r^{\prime \prime}}\left(p^{-1} \Delta p\right)_{r^{\prime} r^{\prime \prime}} p_{a r^{\prime \prime}}^{-1}+ \\
& \left.+p_{a a^{\prime \prime}}\left(p^{-1} \Delta p\right)_{r^{\prime \prime} a^{\prime \prime}} p_{a a^{\prime \prime}}^{-1}\right] p_{i a}^{-1}+p_{i b} p_{b b^{\prime \prime}}\left(p^{-1} \Delta p\right)_{a^{\prime \prime} b^{\prime \prime}} p_{b b^{\prime \prime}}^{-1} p_{i b}^{-1}+ \\
& +p_{i i^{\prime \prime}}\left(p^{-1} \Delta p\right)_{b^{\prime \prime} i^{\prime \prime}} p_{i i^{\prime \prime}}^{-1}+p_{i i^{\prime}}\left(p^{-1} \Delta p\right)_{i^{\prime \prime} i^{\prime}} p_{i i^{\prime}}^{-1}+\left(p^{-1} \Delta p\right)_{i^{\prime} i} \tag{3.6}
\end{align*}
$$

By placing the factors $p_{a} \alpha^{\prime \prime} \cdots p_{a a^{\prime \prime}}^{-1}$ of the third, fourth and fifth terms outside brackets, they become:

$$
P_{a a^{\prime \prime}}\left[\left(p^{-1} \Delta p\right)_{r^{\prime \prime} a^{\prime \prime}}+p_{a^{\prime \prime} b^{\prime \prime}}\left(p^{-1} \Delta p\right)_{a^{\prime \prime} b^{\prime \prime}} P_{a^{\prime \prime} b^{\prime \prime}}^{-1}+p_{a^{\prime \prime} i^{\prime \prime}}\left(p^{-1} \Delta p\right)_{b^{\prime \prime} i^{\prime \prime}} p_{a^{\prime \prime} i^{\prime \prime}}^{-1}\right] p_{a a^{\prime \prime}}^{-1}
$$

these are the terms containing $\Delta \lambda_{r a}, \Delta \lambda_{a b}, \Delta \lambda_{b i}$.
According to 2.30/3, the following applies in this formula :

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left(p^{-1} \Delta p\right)_{r^{\prime \prime} a^{\prime \prime}}=0-k 1 / 2 \Delta \lambda_{r a} \\
& \left(p^{-1} \Delta p\right)_{a^{\prime \prime} b^{\prime \prime}}=0-k 1 / 2 \Delta \lambda_{a b} \\
& \left(p^{-1} \Delta p\right)_{b^{\prime \prime} i^{\prime \prime}}=0-k 1 / 2 \Delta \lambda_{b i}
\end{aligned}
$$

and, according to (2.27/3) :

$$
\begin{aligned}
& p_{a^{\prime \prime} b^{\prime \prime}}=\cos 1 / 2 \lambda_{a b}-k \sin 1 / 2 \lambda_{a b} \\
& p_{a^{\prime \prime} i^{\prime \prime}}=p_{a^{\prime \prime} b^{\prime \prime}} p_{b^{\prime \prime} i "}=p_{a^{\prime \prime} b^{\prime \prime}}\left[\cos 1 / 2 \lambda_{b i}-k \sin 1 / 2 \lambda_{b i}\right]
\end{aligned}
$$
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therefore, the term (3.6') becomes :

$$
-p_{a a^{\prime \prime}} k p_{a a^{\prime \prime}}^{-1}\left[1 / 2 \Delta \lambda_{r a}+1 / 2 \Delta \lambda_{a b}+1 / 2 \Delta \lambda_{b i}\right]
$$

In (3.6) this is pre- and post multiplied by $p_{i a} \cdots \cdots p_{i a}^{-1}$; therefore, the coefficients of the differences of longitudes become :

$$
\left.\begin{array}{rl}
-p_{i a} p_{a a^{\prime \prime}} k p_{a a^{\prime \prime}}^{-1} p_{i a}^{-1} & =-p_{i i^{\prime}} p_{i^{\prime} i \prime \prime} p_{i^{\prime \prime} b^{\prime \prime}} p_{b^{\prime \prime} a^{\prime \prime}} k p_{b^{\prime \prime} a^{\prime \prime}}^{-1} p_{i^{\prime \prime} b^{\prime \prime}}^{-1} p_{i^{\prime} i \prime \prime}^{-1} p_{i i^{\prime}}^{-1}= \\
p_{i^{\prime \prime} b^{\prime \prime}} / / k \\
p_{b^{\prime \prime} a^{\prime \prime}} / / k
\end{array}\right\} \rightarrow-p_{i i^{\prime}} p_{i^{\prime} i^{\prime \prime}} k p_{i_{i^{\prime \prime} i^{\prime \prime}}^{-1} p_{i i^{\prime}}^{-1}=}=0+i \cos \theta_{i} \cos \varphi_{i}-j \sin \theta_{i} \cos \varphi_{i}-k \sin \varphi_{i} .
$$

Thus (3.5) finally becomes :

$$
\begin{align*}
\left(p^{-1} \Delta p\right)_{r i}^{(i)}= & p_{i r^{\prime}}\left(p^{-1} \Delta p\right)_{r r^{\prime}} p_{i r^{\prime}}^{-1}+p_{i r^{\prime \prime}}\left(p^{-1} \Delta p\right)_{r^{\prime} r^{\prime \prime}} p_{i r^{\prime \prime}}^{-1}+ \\
& -p_{i a^{\prime \prime}} k p_{i a^{\prime \prime}}^{-1} 1 / 2\left[\Delta \lambda_{r a}+\Delta \lambda_{a b}+\Delta \lambda_{b i}\right]+ \\
& +p_{i i^{\prime}}\left(p^{-1} \Delta p\right)_{i^{\prime \prime} i^{\prime}} p_{i i^{\prime}}^{-1}+\left(p^{-1} \Delta p\right)_{i^{\prime} i} \tag{3.7}
\end{align*}
$$

with : $\left(p^{-1} \Delta p\right)_{r r^{\prime}}=-k 1 / 2 \Delta \theta_{r}$.
$\left(p^{-1} \Delta p\right)_{r^{\prime} r^{\prime \prime}}=j 1 / 2 \Delta \varphi_{r}$
$\left(p^{-1} \Delta p\right)_{i^{\prime \prime} i^{\prime}}=-j 1 / 2 \Delta \varphi_{i}$
$\left(p^{-1} \Delta p\right)_{i^{\prime} i}=k 1 / 2 \Delta \theta_{i}$
3.1 .2

The coefficients of the astronomical variates
From (3.7) some conclusions may be directly drawn concerning the coefficients of the differences of astronomical variates :

1. In the coefficients of $\Delta \theta_{r}, \Delta \varphi_{r}, \Delta \varphi_{i}$ and $\Delta \theta_{i}$ the longitudes and latitudes of the "intermediate" systems (a) and (b) do not occur. This means that these coefficients are independent of the "route" chosen, from the (i)- to the (r)-system.
2. The coefficients of all longitude differences $\Delta \lambda$ are equal to each other. Here, only the quantities $\theta_{i}$ and $\varphi_{i}$ occur.
3. If the systems ( $r$ ) and (i) lie on a small part of the earth's surface (i.e. $k_{i}$ is approximately parallel to $k_{r}$ ), the coefficients of $\Delta \varphi_{i}$ and $\Delta \varphi_{r}$ are approximately equal to each other (with opposite signs). The same applies to $\Delta \theta_{i}$ and $\Delta \theta_{r}$.

### 3.2 The vector transformation

## 3.2 .1

The difference equation for the vector transformation
We consider (2.14) :

$$
\begin{equation*}
q_{i k}^{(r)}=\bar{\lambda}_{r i} p_{r i} q_{i k}^{(i)} p_{r i}^{-1} \tag{3.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

The difference equation of this formula is :

$$
\Delta q_{i k}^{(r)}=q_{i k}^{(r)} \frac{\Delta \bar{\lambda}_{r i}}{\bar{\lambda}_{r i}}+p_{r i}\left(p^{-1} \Delta p\right)_{r i} p_{r i}^{-1} q_{i k}^{(r)}-q_{i k}^{(r)} p_{r i}\left(p^{-1} \Delta p\right)_{r i} p_{r i}^{-1}+
$$

Premultiplication by : $\quad+\bar{\lambda}_{r i} p_{r i} \Delta q_{i k}^{(i)} p_{r i}^{-1}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
q_{i k}^{-1^{(r)}}=\frac{1}{\bar{\lambda}_{r i}} p_{r i}^{-1} q_{i k}^{-1(i)} p_{r i} \tag{3.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

then results in :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[\left(q^{-1} \Delta q\right)_{i k}^{(r)}=\Delta \ln \bar{\lambda}_{r i}+q_{i k}^{-1}(r)\left(p^{-1} \Delta p\right)_{r i}^{(r)} q_{i k}^{(r)}-\left(p^{-1} \Delta p\right)_{r i}^{(r)}+p_{r i}\left(q^{-1} \Delta q\right)_{i k}^{(i)} p_{r i}^{-1}\right] \tag{3.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

If the transformation quantities $\bar{\lambda}_{r i}$ and $p_{r i}$ consist of a number of factors, as described for $P_{r i}$ in section 3.1, the quantities in the right-hand member of (3.11) are functions of the following differences :

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { (2.13): } \Delta \ln \bar{\lambda}_{r i} \text { is the sum of } \Delta \ln \bar{\lambda}_{r} \ldots \ldots \Delta \ln \bar{\lambda}_{. . i} \text { (length factors) } \\
& \text { (3.7): }\left(p^{-1} \Delta p\right)_{r i}: \Delta \theta_{r}, \Delta \varphi_{r}, \Delta \lambda_{r \ldots i}, \Delta \varphi_{i}, \Delta \theta_{i}
\end{aligned}
$$

(astronomical observation variates).

$$
\text { (2.20): }\left(9^{-1} \Delta q\right)_{i k}^{(i)}: \Delta \ln s_{i k}, \Delta r_{i k}, \Delta J_{i k} \quad \text { (geodetic observation variates). }
$$

Subsequently, we consider a variant of (3.11), by placing the factors $P_{r i} \cdots \cdots \rho_{r i}^{-1}$ outside brackets :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(q^{-1} \Delta q\right)_{i k}^{(r)}=p_{r i}\left[\Delta \ln \bar{\lambda}_{r i}+q_{i k}^{(i)^{-1}}\left(p^{-1} \Delta p\right)_{r i} q_{i k}^{(i)}-\left(p^{-1} \Delta p\right)_{r i}+\left(q^{-1} \Delta q\right)_{i k}^{(i)}\right] p_{r i}^{-1} \tag{3.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

By means of (1.65) - (1.71), this equation can be put in isomorphic matrices :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.\left.\left(\left(q^{-1} \Delta q\right)_{i k}^{(r)}\right)=\left(\overrightarrow{p_{r i}}\right)\right)_{\left(p_{r i}\right)}^{*}\right)\left[\left(\overrightarrow{\ln \bar{\lambda}_{r i}}\right)+\left[\frac{1}{N\left\{q_{i k}\right\}}\left(\overline{q_{i k}}\right)\left(q_{i k}\right)^{*}-(\delta)\right]\left(\left(\vec{p}^{-1 \Delta} \Delta\right)_{r i}^{(i)}\right)+\left(\left(q^{-1} \Delta q\right)_{i k}^{(i)}\right)\right] p_{r i}^{-1} \tag{3.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

## Suppose :

$$
q_{i k}^{(i)}=0+i x+j y+k z ; N\left\{q_{i k}^{(i)}\right\}=S_{i k}^{2} ;
$$

Now (3.13) becomes :

$$
\left(\begin{array}{lll}
\operatorname{Sc}\left\{\left(q^{-1} \Delta q\right)_{i k}^{(i)}\right\} \\
V_{i}\{ & \prime & \} \\
V_{j}\{ & \prime & \} \\
V_{k}\{ & , & \}
\end{array}\right)=\left(\begin{array}{cccc}
1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 1 & \text { see } & \vdots \\
0 & (1.72) & \vdots \\
0 & & & \vdots
\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{l}
\Delta \ln \bar{\lambda}_{r i} \\
0 \\
0 \\
0
\end{array}\right)+
$$

$$
\left(\begin{array}{cccc}
0 & 0 & 0 & 0  \tag{3.14}\\
0 & \frac{-2\left(y^{2}+z^{2}\right)}{s^{2}} & \frac{2 x y}{s^{2}} & \frac{2 x z}{s^{2}} \\
0 & \frac{2 x y}{s^{2}} & \frac{-2\left(x^{2}+z^{2}\right)}{s^{2}} & \frac{2 y z}{s^{2}} \\
0 & \frac{2 x z}{s^{2}} & \frac{2 y z}{s^{2}} & \frac{-2\left(x^{2}+y^{2}\right)}{s^{2}}
\end{array}\right) \cdot\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
0 & & \left(\begin{array}{ccc}
(i) \\
v_{i}\left\{\left(p^{-1} \Delta p\right)_{r i}\right\} \\
v_{j}\{ & \prime & \} \\
v_{k}\{ & , & \}
\end{array}\right)+\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
\operatorname{sc}\left\{\left(q^{-1} \Delta q\right)_{i k}^{(i)}\right\} \\
v_{i}\{ & n & \} \\
v_{j}\{ & n & \} \\
v_{k} \mid & n & \}
\end{array}\right)
\end{array}\right]
$$

In view of (2.33) here is :

$$
\left(\begin{array}{lll}
0 & & \\
V_{i}\left\{\left(p^{-1} \Delta p\right)_{r i}^{(i)}\right\} \\
V_{j}\{ & & \} \\
V k\{ & & \}
\end{array}\right)=\text { terms with } \Delta \theta_{r}, \Delta \varphi_{r}, \Delta \lambda_{r \ldots i}, \Delta \varphi_{i} \ldots+\left(\begin{array}{l}
0 \\
0 \\
0 \\
1 / 2
\end{array}\right) \Delta \theta_{i}
$$

so the coefficients of $\Delta \boldsymbol{\theta}_{i}$ in (3.14) are :

$$
\cdots+\left(\begin{array}{l}
0 \\
\frac{-2 x z}{s^{2}} \\
\frac{-2 y z}{s^{2}} \\
\frac{-2\left(x^{2}+y^{2}\right)}{s^{2}}
\end{array}\right) 1 / 2 \Delta \theta_{i}+\cdots
$$

In view of (2.19), the following applies in (3.14), conversing the polar coordinates $r_{i k}$ and $J_{i k}$ in rectangular coordinate differences $x, y$ and $z$ :

$$
\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
S c\left\{\left(q^{-1} \Delta q\right)_{i k}^{(i)}\right\} \\
V_{i}\{ & . & \} \\
V_{j}\{ & . & \} \\
V k\{ & . & \}
\end{array}\right)=\left(\begin{array}{l}
1 \\
0 \\
0 \\
0
\end{array}\right) \Delta \ln s_{i k}+\left(\begin{array}{c}
0 \\
\frac{x z}{s^{2}} \\
\frac{y z}{s^{2}} \\
\frac{-\left(x^{2}+y^{2}\right)}{s^{2}}
\end{array}\right) \Delta r_{i k}+\left(\begin{array}{c}
0 \\
\frac{-y}{\sqrt{x^{2}+y^{2}}} \\
\frac{x}{\sqrt{x^{2}+y^{2}}} \\
0
\end{array}\right) \Delta J_{i k}
$$



Furthermore, it appears directly from (3.14) that :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Sc}\left\{\left(q^{-1} \Delta q\right)\right\}=\Delta \ln \bar{\lambda}_{r i}+\Delta \ln s_{i k} . \tag{3.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

## 3.2 .2

The difference equation of the vector transformation
We apply (3.11) to two vectors measured from $P_{i}$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left(q^{-1} \Delta q\right)_{i k}^{(r)}=\Delta \ln \bar{\lambda}_{r i}+q_{i k}^{-1(r)}\left(p^{-1} \Delta p\right)_{r i}^{(r)} q_{i k}^{(r)}-\left(p^{-1} \Delta p\right)_{r i}^{(r)}+p_{r i}\left(q^{-1} \Delta q\right)_{i k}^{(i)} p_{r i}^{-1} \\
& \left(q^{-1} \Delta q\right)_{i j}^{(r)}=\Delta \ln \bar{\lambda}_{r i}+q_{i j}^{-(r)}\left(p^{-1} \Delta p\right)_{r i}^{(r)} q_{i}^{(r)}-\left(p^{-1} \Delta p\right)_{r i}^{(r)}+p_{r i}\left(q^{-1} \Delta q\right)_{i j}^{(i)} p_{r i}^{-1}
\end{aligned}
$$

By subtracting these two equations from each other, the transformation formula for the spatial $\Delta \Pi$-quantity, defined in (2.21), is obtained :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta \pi_{j i k}^{(r)}=q_{i k}^{-(r)}\left(p^{-1} \Delta p\right)_{r i}^{(r)} q_{i k}^{(r)}-q_{i j}^{-1(r)}\left(p^{-1} \Delta p\right)_{r i} q_{i j}^{(r)}+p_{r i} \Delta \pi_{j i k}^{(i)} p_{r i}^{-1} \tag{3.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

### 3.3 Polar coordinate functions.

We consider (3.11): (in this section stochastic variates are underlined).

$$
\left(q^{-1} \Delta q\right)_{i k}^{(r)}=\Delta \ln \bar{\lambda}_{r i}+q_{i k}^{-1}(r)\left(p^{-1} \Delta p\right)_{r i}^{(r)} q_{i k}^{(r)}-\left(p^{-1} \Delta p\right)_{r i}+p_{r i}\left(q^{-1} \Delta q\right)_{i k}^{(i)} p_{r i}^{-1}
$$

In view of (2.20) here :

$$
\left.\begin{array}{c}
\left(q^{-1} \Delta q\right)_{i k}^{(i)}=\Delta \ln s_{i k}+e_{i k}^{\prime}[\sin 3 \Delta r]_{i k}+e_{i k}^{\prime \prime} \Delta 3_{i k}  \tag{3.18}\\
\text { with: } \quad e_{i k}^{\prime}=0-i \cos r \cos j-j \sin r \cos j-k \sin 3 \\
e_{i k}^{\prime \prime}=0-i \sin r+j \cos r+0
\end{array}\right\}
$$

Because :
$a_{i k}^{(i)}=0+i s \cos r \sin J+j s \sin r \sin J-k s \cos J$
applies :

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \operatorname{Sc}\left\{e_{i k}^{\prime} q_{i k}\right\}=0 . \\
& S c\left\{e_{i k}^{\prime \prime} q_{i k}\right\}=0 .
\end{aligned}
$$



$$
\operatorname{Sc}\left\{e_{i k}^{\prime} e_{i k}^{\prime \prime}\right\}=0 .
$$

The vectors $q_{i k}, e_{i k}^{\prime}$ and $e_{i k}^{\prime \prime}$ thus constitute a rectangular trihedral ; $e^{\prime \prime}{ }_{i k}$ lies in the plane $\perp k_{i}$.

Furthermore, according to (1.25) :

$$
q_{i k}^{-1}\left(p^{-1} \Delta p\right)_{r i} q_{i k}-\left(p^{-1} \Delta p\right)_{r i}=-2\left[\text { the component of }\left(p^{-1} \Delta p\right)_{r i} \perp q_{i k}\right]
$$

Summarizing, the following therefore applies :

$$
\begin{aligned}
& S c\left\{\left(q^{-1} \Delta q\right)_{i k}^{(r)}\right\}=\Delta{\underline{\ln } \bar{\lambda}_{r i}+\Delta \ln s_{i k}}_{V c\left\{\left(q^{-1} \Delta q\right)_{i k}^{(r)}\right\} \perp q_{i k}} .
\end{aligned}
$$

The vector part of $\left(q^{-1} \Delta q\right)_{i k}^{(r)}$ can therefore be decomposed into any pair of vectors, perpendicular to $q_{i k}$.

Now assume that :

$$
\begin{array}{r}
r \\
r_{i k}
\end{array} \text { and } z_{i k}^{r}
$$

are the polar coordinates of the vector $P_{i} P_{k}$, relative to the unit vectors of the ( $r$ )-system :

$$
q_{i k}^{(r)}=0+i s \cos r^{r} \sin J^{r}+j s \sin r^{r} \sin J^{r}-k s \cos J^{r}
$$



$e_{r ; i k}^{\prime}=0-i \cos r^{r} \cos J^{r}-j \sin r^{r} \cos J^{r}-k \sin J^{r}$
$e_{r ; i k}^{\prime \prime}=0-i \sin r^{r}+j \cos r^{r}$
then the following applies again :
$\left.\begin{array}{l}\operatorname{Sc}\left\{e_{r ; i k}^{\prime} q_{i k}\right\}=0 . \\ \operatorname{Sc}\left\{e_{r ; i k}^{\prime \prime} q_{i k}\right\}=0 . \\ \operatorname{Sc}\left\{e^{\prime} \quad e^{\prime \prime}\right\}=0 .\end{array}\right\}$
Remark : in general : $e_{r ; i k}^{\prime} \neq e_{i k}^{\prime}$ and $e_{r ; i k}^{\prime \prime} \neq e_{i k}^{\prime \prime}$
because : $\quad e_{r ; i k}^{\prime \prime}$ lies in the plane $\perp k_{r}$.
$e_{i k}^{\prime \prime}$ lies in the plane $\perp k_{i}$
Therefore, the vectors $e_{r ; i k}^{\prime}$ and $e^{n} r_{i j k}$ constitute together with $q_{i k}$ a rectangular trihedral. In view of (3.19) this means that $V c\left\{\left(q_{\Delta}^{-1} q_{i k}\right)_{i k}\right\}$ can be decomposed into :

$$
\begin{equation*}
V_{c}\left\{\left(q^{-1} \Delta g\right)_{i k}^{(r)}\right\}=e_{r ; i k}^{\prime} \underline{R}+e_{r ; i k}^{\prime \prime} \underline{z} \tag{3.21}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here $\underline{R}$ and $\underline{Z}$ are scalar functions of the difference quantities, occuring in the vector part of $\left(q^{-1} \Delta q\right)_{i k}$; in order to obtain an expression analogous to (3.18), we use the following designations :


$$
\left.\begin{array}{l}
\underline{R}=\sin J_{i k}^{r} \Delta r_{i k}^{r} \\
\underline{Z}=\Delta \underline{J}_{i k}^{r}
\end{array}\right\} \begin{aligned}
& \text { "polar coordinate } \\
& \text { functions". }
\end{aligned}
$$

so that :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(q^{-1} \Delta q\right)_{i k}^{(r)}=\Delta \ln \bar{\lambda}_{r i}+\Delta \ln s_{i k}+e_{r ; i k}^{\prime(r)} \sin J_{i k}^{r} \Delta r_{i k}^{r}+e_{r ; i k}^{\prime \prime(r)} \Delta I_{i k}^{r} . \tag{3.23}
\end{equation*}
$$

This quaternion equation gives the relationships between the four components of $\left.\left(q^{-1} \Delta g\right)\right)_{i k}^{(r)}$ on the one hand, and the three "polar coordinate functions" ( $\Delta \underline{\ln } s_{i k}+\Delta \ln \lambda_{r i}$ being the third) on the other. Using isomorphic matrices this becomes :

$$
\left(\begin{array}{lll}
\operatorname{Sc}\left\{\left(q^{-1} \Delta q\right)_{i k}^{(r)}\right\}  \tag{3.24}\\
V_{i}\{ & n & \} \\
V_{j}\{ & n & \} \\
V k\{ & n & \}
\end{array}\right)=\left(\begin{array}{lll}
1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & -\cos r^{r} \cos 3^{r} & -\sin r^{r} \\
0 & -\sin r^{r} \cos 3^{r} & \cos r^{r} \\
0 & -\sin 3^{r} & 0
\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{l}
\Delta{\underline{\ln } S_{i k}+\Delta \ln \bar{x}_{r i}}_{\sin J^{r} \Delta r_{i k}^{r}}^{\Delta J_{i k}^{r}}
\end{array}\right)
$$

From this, the definitions of the three polar coordinate functions can be derived :

$$
\left\lvert\, \begin{array}{rlr}
\Delta \ln \bar{\lambda}_{r i}+\Delta \ln s_{i k} & =\operatorname{Sc}\left\{\left(q^{-1} \Delta q\right)_{i k}^{(r)}\right\} . & 1  \tag{3.24'}\\
{[\sin J \Delta r]_{i k}^{r}} & =\frac{-\cos r^{r}}{\cos 3^{r}} V_{i}\left\{\left(q^{-1} \Delta g\right)_{i k}^{(r)}\right\}-\frac{\sin r^{r}}{\cos J^{r}} V_{j}\left\{\left(q^{-1} \Delta q\right)_{i k}^{(r)}\right\} & \underline{2} \\
\Delta I_{i k}^{r} & =-\sin r^{r} V_{i}\left\{\left(q^{-1} \Delta q\right)_{i k}^{(r)}\right\}+\cos r^{r} V_{j}\left\{\left(q^{-1} \Delta q\right)_{i k}^{(r)}\right\} \cdot & \underline{3}
\end{array}\right.
$$

It also follows from (3.24) that there is a linear dependency between the three vector components of $\left(q^{-1} \Delta q\right)^{(r)}$ ak :

$$
\cos r^{r} \sin J^{r} \underline{V_{i}}+\sin r^{r} \sin J^{r} V_{j}-\cos J^{r} \underline{V_{k}}=0 .
$$

or, after conversion of $r_{i k}^{r}$ and $\mathcal{J}_{i k}^{r}$ into rectangular coordinate differences $X_{i k}^{r}, y_{i k}^{r}$ and $z_{i k}^{r}$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
X_{i k}^{r} V_{i}\left\{\left(q^{-1} \Delta q\right)_{i k}^{(r)}\right\}+Y_{i k}^{r} V_{j}\left\{\left(q^{-1} \Delta q\right)_{i k}^{(r)}\right\}+Z_{i k}^{r} V k\left\{\left(q^{-1} \Delta q\right)_{i k}^{(r)}\right\}=0 \tag{3.25}
\end{equation*}
$$

Remark : (3.25) can also be written as :
$S c\left\{q_{i k}^{(r)}\left(q^{-1} \Delta g\right)_{i k}^{(r)}\right\}=0$
or: $\operatorname{Sc}\left\{\Delta g_{i k}^{(r)}\right\}=0$.
which results directly from the definition of $\mathrm{q}_{\mathrm{ik}}$.

### 3.4 Units of length and orientations.

3.4 .1

The computation of $\bar{\lambda}_{k}$ and $\theta_{k}$
We consider the side $P_{i} P_{k}$ of a network ; suppose the following observation variates are measured :

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { "terrestrial" }: s_{i k}, r_{i k}, J_{i k}, s_{k i}, r_{k i}, J_{k i} \\
& \text { "astronomical" }: \varphi_{i}, \lambda_{i k}, \varphi_{k}
\end{aligned}
$$

Also assume that the transformation quantities :
$\bar{\lambda}_{i}:$ unit of length of the i-system

$$
\theta_{i}: \underset{i-s y s t e m}{\text { horizontal orientation of the }}
$$

are somehow known.


From (2.12') it follows immediately :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bar{\lambda}_{k} q_{i k}^{\left(k^{\prime}\right)}=\bar{\lambda}_{i} p_{k^{\prime} i} q_{i k}^{(i)} p_{k^{\prime} i}^{-4} \tag{3.26}
\end{equation*}
$$

with : $p_{k^{\prime} i}=p\left(\theta_{k}=0, \varphi_{k}, \lambda_{i k}, \varphi_{i}, \theta_{i}\right)$

$$
q_{i k}=q\left(s_{i k}, r_{i k}, J_{i k}\right) .
$$

The vector in the opposite direction is :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bar{\lambda}_{k} q_{k i}^{\left(k^{\prime}\right)}=\bar{\lambda}_{k} p_{k^{\prime} k} q_{k i}^{(k)} p_{k^{\prime} k}^{-1} \tag{3.27}
\end{equation*}
$$

with, see $\left(2.27^{\prime}\right): p_{k^{\prime} k}=k \sin 1 / 2 \theta_{k}$
therefore :

$$
\left.\left.\bar{\lambda}_{k} q_{k i}^{\left(k^{\prime}\right)}=\bar{\lambda}_{k} s_{k i}\left[i \cos \left[r_{k i}+\theta_{k}\right] \sin \right]_{k i}+j \sin \left[r_{k i}+\theta_{k}\right] \sin \right]_{k i} k \cos J_{k i}\right]
$$

In (3.26) and (3.27) the left-hand members only differ in sign ; therefore the quaternion equation can be established by means of the right-hand members :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bar{\lambda}_{i} p_{k^{\prime} i} q_{i k}^{(i)} p_{k^{\prime} i}^{-1}=-\bar{\lambda}_{k} p_{k^{\prime} k} q_{k i}^{\left(k^{\prime}\right)} p_{k^{\prime} k}^{-1} \tag{3.28}
\end{equation*}
$$

the rank of which in view of (3.25), is three.
From (3.28) we deduce two scalar equations, in order to determine, from this, the unknown quantities $\theta_{k}$ and $\bar{\lambda}_{k}$ (whilst underlining the stochastic quantities)
a): $\sqrt{N\{\text { left hand member }\}}=\sqrt{N\{\text { right hand member }\}}$

$$
\begin{align*}
& \text { hence: } \quad \bar{\lambda}_{i} \underline{S}_{i k}=\bar{\lambda}_{k} \underline{S}_{k i} \\
& \text { or: } \quad \overline{\bar{\lambda}}_{k}=\frac{\underline{S}_{i k}}{\underline{\underline{S}}_{k i}} \tag{3.29}
\end{align*}
$$

b) : $\arctan \frac{V_{j}\left\{{ }^{\prime \prime} \mid e f t^{\prime \prime}\right\}}{V_{i}\left\{\left\{^{\prime \prime} \mid \mathrm{eft} t^{\prime \prime}\right\}\right.}=\arctan \frac{V_{j}\left\{\left\{^{\prime \prime} \text { right }^{\prime \prime}\right\}\right.}{V_{i}\left\{^{\prime r i g h t "\}}\right.}=$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& =r_{k i}+\theta_{k} \quad\left(\text { if } v_{i}>0\right) . \\
& =r_{k i}+\theta_{k}+\pi\left(\text { if } v_{i}<0\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

therefore :

$$
\underline{\theta}_{k}=\arctan \frac{V_{i}\left\{\underline{p}_{k^{\prime} i} \underline{q}_{i k}^{(i)} \underline{p}_{k^{\prime} i}^{-1}\right\}}{V_{j}\{ } \quad \cdots \quad \underline{r}_{k i} .\left[\begin{array}{llll}
+\pi & \text { if } & 1 / i<0 \tag{3.30}
\end{array}\right]
$$

The units of length and the orientations of the local systems can be successively computed from (3.29) and (3.30), provided that a sufficient number of observation quantities have been measured. For this purpose, one unit of length, $\bar{\lambda}^{\circ}$, and one orientation must be known (the nature of these quantities is discussed in greater detail in section 4.2).
3.4 .2

Difference quantities of $\bar{\lambda}_{k}$ and $\theta_{k}$.
The expressions $(3.29)$ and $(3.30)$ for $\bar{\lambda}_{k}$ and $\underline{\theta}_{k}$ respectively can be differentiated in two ways :
a) direct differentiation of (3.29) and (3.30) ; from this follows :

$$
\begin{align*}
& \Delta \ln \bar{\lambda}_{k}=\Delta \underline{\ln } \bar{\lambda}_{i}+\Delta \underline{\ln }_{i k}-\Delta \ln s_{k i}  \tag{3.31}\\
& \Delta \theta_{k}=\Delta\left[\arctan \frac{\left.v_{j\left\{\underline{q}_{i k}^{\left(k^{\prime}\right)}\right\}}^{v_{i}\{n\}}\right]-\Delta r_{k i}}{}\right. \tag{3.32}
\end{align*}
$$

b) first differentiating (3.28); from the difference equation, the difference quantities $\Delta \theta_{k}$ and $\Delta \underline{\ln \bar{\lambda}_{k}}$ can then be solved.

We now apply method b) and start on the left-hand members of (3.26) and (3.27) ; they are equal to each other with opposite signs :

$$
\bar{\lambda}_{k} \underline{q}_{i k}^{\left(k^{\prime}\right)}=-\bar{\lambda}_{k} \underline{q}_{k i}^{\left(k^{\prime}\right)}
$$

The difference equation deduced from this reads, after division by $\bar{\lambda}_{k}$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta q_{i k}^{\left(k^{\prime}\right)}=-\Delta q_{k i}^{\left(k^{\prime}\right)} \tag{3.33}
\end{equation*}
$$

and this passes, after premultiplication by $q_{i k}^{-1}$ and $-q_{k i}^{-1}$ respectively, into :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(q^{-1} \Delta q\right)_{i k}^{\left(k^{\prime}\right)}=\left(q^{-1} \Delta q\right)_{k i}^{\left(k^{\prime}\right)} \tag{3.34}
\end{equation*}
$$

The left-hand member is, according to (3.23) :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(q^{-1} \Delta q\right)_{i k}^{\left(k^{\prime}\right)}=\Delta \ln \bar{\lambda}_{k i}+\Delta \ln \underline{s}_{i k}+e_{k^{\prime} ; i k}^{\prime\left(k^{\prime}\right)}[\sin J \Delta r]_{i k}^{k^{\prime}}+e_{k ; i k}^{\prime \prime\left(k^{\prime}\right)} \Delta \underline{I}_{i k}^{k^{\prime}} \tag{3.35}
\end{equation*}
$$

With, according to the definition (2.13) :

$$
\Delta \underline{\ln }_{k i}=\Delta \ln \bar{\lambda}_{i}-\Delta \ln \bar{\lambda}_{k}
$$

The right-hand member of (3.34) is, see also (3.11) :

$$
\left(q^{-1} \Delta q\right)_{k i}^{\left(k^{\prime}\right)}=p_{k^{\prime} k}\left[q_{k i}^{-1(k)}\left(p^{-1} \Delta p\right)_{k^{\prime} k} q_{k i}^{(k)}-\left(p^{-1} \Delta p\right)_{k^{\prime} k}+\left(q^{-1} \Delta q\right)_{k i}^{(k)}\right] p_{k^{\prime} k}^{-1}
$$

According to $\left(2.30^{1}\right)$ here :

$$
\left(p^{-1} \Delta p\right)_{k^{\prime} k}=k 1 / 2 \Delta \theta_{k} \cdot ; \quad\left(\Delta \ln _{k^{k^{\prime} k}} \equiv 0\right) .
$$

so, also taking into consideration (3.14) and (3.15) this becomes :

$$
=p_{k^{\prime} k}\left[\left(q^{-1} \Delta q\right)_{k i}^{(k)} \text { in which } \Delta r_{k i} \text { is replaced by } \Delta r_{k i}+\Delta \theta_{k}\right] p_{k^{\prime} k}^{-1}
$$

In accordance with (3.18), this may be written as :

$$
\begin{align*}
& =P_{k^{\prime} k}\left[\Delta \ln s_{k i}+e_{k i}^{\prime(k)} \sin J_{k i}\left[\Delta r_{k i}+\theta_{k}\right]+e_{k i}^{\prime \prime(k)} \Delta \Xi_{k i}\right] P_{k k^{\prime} k}^{-1} \\
& =\Delta \ln s_{i k}+e_{k i}^{\prime\left(k^{\prime}\right)} \sin J_{k i}^{k}\left[\Delta r_{k i}+\Delta \theta_{k}\right]+e_{k i}^{\prime \prime\left(k^{\prime}\right)} \Delta \Xi_{k i} \tag{3.36}
\end{align*}
$$

Since the $k$-vectors of the systems ( $k$ ) and (k') are equal to each other, the following applies according to the definitions (3.20) :

$$
\begin{aligned}
& e_{k^{\prime} ; i k}^{\prime}(\text { in 3.35 })=e_{i k}^{\prime}=e_{k i}^{\prime}(\text { in } 3.36) . \\
& e_{k^{\prime} ; i k}^{\prime \prime}(\text { in 3.35 })=e_{i k}^{\prime \prime}=-e_{k i}^{\prime \prime}(\text { in 3.36) } .
\end{aligned}
$$

and also :

$$
\sin J_{i k}^{k^{\prime}}(\ln 3.35)=\sin J_{i k}^{k}=\sin J_{k i}^{k}(\operatorname{in} 3.36)
$$



With this (3.36), which is the right-hand member of (3.34), can be converted into :

By now substracting the left-hand member of (3.34), see (3.35), and the right-hand member of (3.34), see (3.37), from each other, we obtain a zero-mean variate :

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left(q^{-1} \Delta q\right)_{i k}^{\left(k^{\prime}\right)}-\left(q^{-1} \Delta q\right)_{k i}^{\left(k^{\prime}\right)}=0=\Delta \underline{\ln }^{\bar{\lambda}_{i}}-\Delta \underline{\ln } \overline{\bar{\lambda}}_{k}+\Delta \underline{\ln } \underline{s}_{i k}-\Delta \underline{\ln s_{k i}}+ \\
& +e_{k^{\prime} ; i k}^{\prime\left(k^{\prime}\right)} \sin 3_{i k}^{k^{\prime}}\left[\underline{\Delta r_{i k}}{ }_{i k}^{k^{\prime}}-\Delta r_{k i}-\Delta \theta_{k}\right]+  \tag{3.38}\\
& +e_{k^{\prime} ; i k}^{\prime \prime\left(k^{\prime}\right)}\left[\Delta J_{i k}^{k^{\prime}}+\Delta J_{k i}\right]
\end{align*}
$$

The next difference quantity follows from the scalar component of (3.38) :

$$
\Delta \ln \bar{\lambda}_{k}=\Delta \ln \bar{\lambda}_{i}+\Delta \ln s_{i k}-\Delta \ln \underline{s}_{k i}
$$

More important than the units of length are the "length factors" defined in (2.13) (quotients) ; the length factor of (i)- and ( $k$ )-systems is :

$$
\Delta \ln \bar{\lambda}_{k i}=\Delta \ln s_{k i}-\Delta \ln _{i k}
$$

Because $e_{k}^{\prime} ; i k$ and $e_{k ' ; i k}^{\prime \prime}$ are both $\neq 0$ and not parallel to each other,
two independent scalar equations can be deduced from the vector component of (3.38) :

1: $\quad \Delta r_{i k}^{k^{\prime}}-\Delta r_{k i}-\Delta \theta_{k}=0$
from this it follows :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta \theta_{k}=\Delta r_{i k}^{k^{\prime}}-\Delta r_{k i} \tag{3.40}
\end{equation*}
$$

with, see (3.24') :

$$
\begin{aligned}
\underline{\Delta r}_{i k}^{k^{\prime}} & =\left[\frac{-\cos r}{\sin 3 \cos 3}\right]_{i k}^{k^{\prime}} V_{i}\left\{\left(q^{-1} \Delta q\right)_{i k}^{\left(k^{\prime}\right)}\right\}-\left[\frac{\sin r}{\sin 3 \cos 3}\right]_{i k}^{k^{\prime}} V_{j}\left\{\left(q^{-1} \Delta q\right)_{i k}^{\left(k^{\prime}\right)}\right\} \\
& =R\left(\underline{\Delta r_{i k}}, \Delta 3_{i k}, \Delta \theta_{i}, \Delta \varphi_{i}, \Delta \lambda_{i k}, \Delta \varphi_{k}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

The complete elaboration of (3.32) gives the same result.
2: $\quad \Delta \Xi_{i k}^{k^{\prime}}+\Delta \Xi_{k i}=0$
with, see again (3.24') :

$$
\Delta J_{i k}^{k^{\prime}}=-\sin r_{i k}^{k^{\prime}} V_{i}\left\{\left(q^{-1} \Delta q\right)_{i k}^{\left(k^{\prime}\right)}\right\}+\cos r_{i k}^{k^{\prime}} V_{j}\left\{\left(q^{-1} \Delta q\right)_{i k}\right\}
$$

3.4 .3

The rank of $\left(q^{-4} \Delta q\right)_{i k-\left(q^{-1} \Delta q\right)} \mathrm{ki}$
A network side $P_{i} P_{k}$ can thus be used for the computation of length factors $\bar{\lambda}_{i k}$ and/or orientations $\underline{\theta}_{k}$; one then
starts from an initial unit of
length $\bar{\lambda}^{\circ}$ and an initial orien-
tation $\Theta^{\circ}$ (these need not be defined
in the same local system ;
see fig. 26)


The zero-mean variate (3.38) assumes, depending on the use of side $P_{1} P_{k}$, one of the four following forms :

I Side $P_{i} P_{k}$ is neither used for the computation of $\bar{\lambda}_{i k}$ nor for the computation of $\underline{\theta}_{i}$ from $\underline{\theta}_{k}$, or reverse. Then, the following is obtained :

$$
\begin{align*}
\left(q^{-1} \Delta q\right)_{i k}^{(r)}-\left(q^{-1} \Delta q\right)_{k i}^{(r)}= & \Delta \ln _{\ln \bar{\lambda}_{i}}-\Delta{\underline{\ln } \bar{\lambda}_{k}+\Delta \ln s_{i k}-\Delta \underline{\ln }_{k i}+} \\
& +e_{k^{\prime} ; i k}^{(r)} \sin \overline{S i n}_{i k}^{k^{\prime}}\left[\underline{\Delta r}_{i k}^{k^{\prime}}-\Delta r_{k i}-\Delta \theta_{k}\right]+ \\
& +e_{k^{\prime}, i k}^{\prime \prime(r)}\left[\underline{\Delta J_{i k}^{k^{\prime}}}+\Delta J_{k i}\right] . \tag{I}
\end{align*}
$$

II Side $P_{i} P_{k}$ is used for the computation of the length factor $\bar{\lambda}_{i k}$; than (3.39) is substituted in (3.38), which means :

$$
\begin{align*}
\left(q^{-1} \Delta q\right)_{i k}^{(r)}-\left(q^{-1} \Delta q\right)_{k i}^{(r)}= & e_{k ; i k}^{\prime(r)} \sin J_{i k}^{k^{\prime}}\left[\Delta r_{i k}^{k^{\prime}}-\Delta r_{k i}-\Delta \theta_{k}\right]+ \\
& +e_{k^{\prime} ; i k}^{\prime(r)}\left[\Delta J_{i k}^{k^{\prime}}+\Delta J_{k i}\right] \tag{II}
\end{align*}
$$

III Side $P_{i} P_{k}$ is used for the computation of $\underline{\theta}_{k}$ from $\underline{\theta}_{i}$; than (3.40) is substituted in (3.38) :

$$
\begin{align*}
\left(q^{-1} \Delta q\right)_{i k}^{(r)}-\left(q^{-1} \Delta q\right)_{k i}^{(r)} & =\Delta \ln \bar{\lambda}_{i}-\Delta \ln \bar{\lambda}_{k}+\Delta \ln s_{i k}-\Delta \ln s_{k i}+ \\
& +e_{k^{\prime} ; i k}^{\prime \prime}\left[\Delta{ }^{\prime \prime}\left[3_{i k}^{k^{\prime}}+\Delta\right]_{k i}\right] . \tag{III}
\end{align*}
$$

IV Side $P_{i} P_{k}$ is used both for the computation of $\bar{\lambda}_{i k}$ and $\underline{\theta}_{k}$; now we obtain :

$$
\left(q^{-1} \Delta q\right)_{i k}^{(r)}-\left(q^{-1} \Delta q\right)_{k i}^{(r)}=e_{k^{\prime} ; i k}^{(r)}\left[\underline{\underline{u}}_{i k}^{k^{\prime}}+\Delta \Xi_{k i}\right]
$$

rank $=1$
From Chapter 5 it will appear that ( $3.42^{\text {IV }}$ ) will occur as a condition equation in each side of a completely measured network.
3.4 .4

Networks with parallel k-vectors.
As a special case, we now consider a network, in which the k-vectors of all the local systems are parallel to each other. In (2.34) it has been demonstrated that in such a network, the orientations occur as difference quantities in the "astronomical rotation quaternions" ( $p^{-1} \Delta p$ ). This is therefore also the case in the zero-mean variate (3.38). In view of (3.15), the coefficients of $\Delta \theta_{i}$ and $\Delta r_{i k}$ in $\left.^{\left(q^{-i}-\Delta q\right.}\right)_{i k}^{\left(k^{\prime}\right)}$ are equal to each other; therefore, see (3.40) :

$$
\underline{\Delta r}_{i k}^{k^{\prime}}=\left[\underline{\Delta r}_{i k}+\Delta \underline{\theta}_{i}\right]+\cdots \cdot \text { other terms }
$$

Thus, in a network with parallel k-vectors, (3.40) passes into the simple form :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta \theta_{k}=\Delta \theta_{i}+\Delta r_{i k}+\cdots \cdots-\Delta r_{k i} \tag{3.43}
\end{equation*}
$$

## Chapter 4

SIMILARITIES AND DIFFERENCES BETWEEN THE TWO-DIMENSIONAL AND THE THREEDIMENSIONAL MODEL.

### 4.1 Introduction.

In the Polygon Theory in the Complex Plane [2], the T-quantity :

$$
\Pi_{j i k}=\ln \frac{\underline{z}_{i k}}{\underline{z}_{i j}} \quad ; \quad \Delta \pi j i k=\Delta \underline{\ln z_{i k}}-\Delta \ln \underline{z}_{i j}
$$

plays a fundamental role in the relation between the measuring procedure and the function model for the adjustment. This $\Pi_{\text {-quantity }}$ is fully invariant with respect to similarity transformations in $R_{2}$, owing to which orientations and length factors of the instrumental (local) systems do not occur in the conditions of the adjustment model, if they are composed from TT-quantities.
In the polygon theory for three-dimensional space, the Q-quantity :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\underline{Q}_{j i k}^{(i)}=\underline{q}_{i k} \underline{q}_{i j}^{-1} ; \quad \Delta \Pi_{j i k}^{(i)}=\left(q^{-1} \Delta q\right)_{i k}^{(i)}-\left(q^{-1} \Delta q\right)_{i j}^{(i)} \tag{4.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

is used for the construction of conditions. It is, however, not invariant relative to rotations :

$$
\underline{G}_{j i k}^{(r)}=\underline{p}_{r i} \underline{G}_{j i k}^{(i)} \underline{p}_{r i}^{-1} \neq \underline{Q}_{j i k}^{(i)}
$$

and, see (3.17) :

$$
\Delta \pi_{j i k}^{(r)}=p_{r i}\left[\Delta \Pi_{j i k}^{(i)}+q_{i k}^{-1}\left(p^{-1} \Delta p\right)_{r i} q_{i k}-q_{i j}^{-1}\left(p^{-1} \Delta p\right)_{r i} q_{i j}\right] p_{r i}^{-1}
$$

The "three dimensional" $\prod_{\text {Jik }}$-quantity is therefore indeed invariant, if :

$$
q_{i k}^{-1}\left(p^{-1} \Delta p\right)_{r i} q_{i k}-q_{i j}^{-1}\left(p^{-1} \Delta p\right)_{r i} q_{i j}=0
$$

so, if : either a): $q_{i j} / / q_{i k}$ ("stretched"quaternion $\varphi_{\text {) }}$
or

$$
\text { b) : }\left(p^{-1} \Delta p\right)_{r i} \perp q_{i j} \text { and }\left(p^{-1} \Delta p\right)_{r i} \perp q_{i k} \text {, }
$$ or: $\left(p^{-1} \Delta p\right)_{r i} / / e_{j i k}$

Situation b) is encountered, if the network lies entirely in one plane $\omega$, and the following applies to all rotation quaternions :

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { (suppose: } \underline{p}=\cos 1 / 2 \underline{\theta}+i a \sin 1 / 2 \underline{\theta}+j b \sin 1 / 2 \underline{\theta}+k c \sin 1 / 2 \underline{\theta} \text { ) } \\
& \left\{\begin{array}{l}
V c\{p\} \perp \omega \\
\Delta a=\Delta b=\Delta c=0
\end{array}\right.
\end{aligned}
$$

(only the angle of rotation is stochastic, the axis is not).

Then :

$$
\begin{aligned}
& Q=v \cos \bar{\alpha}+v \sin \bar{\alpha}[i a+j b+k c] \\
& \left(p^{-1} \Delta p\right)_{r i}=[i a+j b+k c] 1 / 2 \Delta \theta_{r i}
\end{aligned}
$$

The fact that the $\Delta \Pi$-quantities (4.1)
 are not generally invariant, leads to three important structural differences between the systems of condition equations in the two-dimensional model on the one hand and in the three-dimensional model on the other, to which we shall briefly refer in this introduction and analyse subsequently in sections 4.2, 4.3 and 4.4.
a)

In $R_{3}$ orientations of local systems do occur in condition equations. The orientations can be computed as functions of observation variates according to (3.30). If in a network not only terrestrial but also "astronomical" observation variates occur, it will be necessary for at least one azimuth to be measured; otherwise it will not be possible to connect the other astronomical quantities (longitudes and latitudes) with the terrestrial ones, because the rotation quaternions $p$ would not be fully defined then : see (2.27). But in section 4.2 it will be demonstrated that this initial azimuth does not furnish a contribution to the rank of the system of condition equations : in fact it creates a linear dependency between the horizontal directions $r$ at the station where this azimuth was measured. It will also become apparent that, if the $k$-unit vectors of all local systems are parallel to each other, the coefficient of $\Delta A$ (the azimuth) will become zero in all linearized condition equations. This corresponds to the existance of a linear dependency between the orientations in the adjustment model of the method of observation equations, so that the rank of the system remains unchanged (since the rank is equal to the number of observation variates minus the number of unkrowns). From section 4.5 it will become apparent that this is important in the "transition" of the $\mathrm{R}_{2}$-model to the $\mathrm{R}_{3}$-model.
b)

The $Q_{\text {jik }}$-quantities must all be transformed from their "own" local system
(i) to one common system (r). Subsequently, conditions may be established
for the adjustment model of the method of condition equations. In section 4.3 it will be shown that the adjustment corrections obtained from this -consequently, also estimators $\underline{X}^{\mathrm{i}}$ - and weight coefficients ( $\mathrm{G}^{\mathrm{ij}}$ ) of observation variates (not yet of coordinate quantities) are independent of the choice of the (r)-system, i.e. one of the (i)-systems.

$$
\underline{x}^{i ; r}=\underline{x}^{i} \quad ; \quad G^{i j ; r}=G^{i j}
$$

c)

In the complex plane S-coordinates $\underline{z}^{(r s)}$ can be computed from estimators $\underline{X}^{i}$ of observation variates by :

$$
\begin{align*}
& \underline{z}_{i k}^{(r s)}=(-1)^{n} e^{\left[\Pi_{r s .}+\cdots+\Pi_{\cdot i k}\right]}\left[z_{s}^{0}-z_{r}^{0}\right]  \tag{4.2}\\
& \text { with : } \Delta \Pi_{j i k}=\Delta \operatorname{lnv} j i k+i \Delta \alpha_{j i k}
\end{align*}
$$

after the choice of two, non-stochastic pairs of coordinates $z_{r}^{\circ}$ and $z_{s}^{\circ}$ $\left(z_{r}^{\circ}-z_{s}^{\circ} \neq 0\right)$; backgrounds of this line of thought are to be found in W. Baarda's theory of S-transformations [3].

In the analogous formula for the three dimensional model, the $Q^{(r)}$-quantities have been defined in one of the local systems, the ( $r$ )-system (see b) and they are not invariant (see a). The introduction of operationally defined coordinates, an "S-system" ( $R$ ) must therefore be made by means of a (difference) similarity transformation $\bar{X}_{\operatorname{Rr}} ; \underline{P r}_{R r}$ :

$$
\begin{align*}
& \underline{q}_{i k}^{(R)}=(-1)^{n} \underline{p}_{R r} \underline{\bar{G}}_{j i k}^{(r)} \underline{\underline{Q}}_{j j i}^{(r)} \cdots \overline{\bar{G}}_{r s}^{(r)} . \underline{p}_{R r}^{-1} \underline{q}_{r s}^{(R)}  \tag{4.3}\\
& \text { with: } \overline{\bar{Q}}^{(r)}=\bar{\varphi}^{r}\left(\cdots, \underline{x}^{i}, \ldots\right) \\
& \left.\begin{array}{l}
\underline{Q}=\underline{Q}\left(\cdots, \underline{X}^{i}, \ldots\right) \\
\underline{q}_{r s}^{(R)}=\underline{\bar{\lambda}}_{R r} \underline{\underline{P}}_{R r} \underline{\bar{q}}_{r s}^{(r)} \underline{P}_{R r}^{-1}
\end{array}\right\} \underline{X}^{i} ; \underline{\bar{\Sigma}}_{R r} \text { : estimators } \tag{4.4}
\end{align*}
$$

Notation : see page 31.
This is the "first basis equation"; it has three independent components. By adding to this one component of, for example, the vector $q_{r t}$, a system of four "basis equations" is obtained. After differentiating -see section 4.4- $\Delta$ 能 $\bar{\lambda}_{R_{r}}$ and the three independent components of $\Delta p_{R_{r}}$ can be solved from these (i.e. expressed in differences $\Delta X^{1}$ of estimators of observation variates in the vectors $q_{r s}$ and $q_{r t}$ ).

Owing to this (4.4) becomes :

$$
\underline{q}_{r s}^{(R)}=\left[q_{s}^{0}-q_{r}^{0}\right]=q_{r s}^{0}
$$

and 4.3 becomes :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\underline{q}_{i k}^{(R)}=(-1)^{n} \underline{p}_{R r} \overline{\bar{\varphi}}_{j i k}^{(r)} \underline{\bar{Q}}_{j}^{(r)} \cdots . \overline{\bar{C}_{r s}^{(r)}} \cdot \underline{\underline{p}}_{R r}^{-1}\left[q_{s}^{0}-q_{r}^{0}\right] . \tag{4.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Compare (4.2) !
When applying the adjustment method of observation equations, the transformation $\bar{\lambda}_{R r} ; \mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{Rr}}$ is represented in the observation equations by four unknowns $\underline{Y}^{\alpha}: \operatorname{Rr}^{\prime} \mathrm{P}_{\operatorname{Rr}}$

$$
\ln \overline{\bar{\lambda}}_{R r} \equiv Y^{1} ; V_{i}\left\{\underline{p}_{R r}\right\} \equiv \underline{Y}^{2} ; V_{j}\left\{\underline{p}_{R r}\right\} \equiv \underline{Y}^{3} ; \quad V_{k}\left\{\underline{p}_{R r}\right\} \equiv \underline{Y}^{4} .
$$

In section 4.5 it will be shown that the functional model for the adjustment of a two-dimensional network of closed polygons, despite the discussed structural differences a) and c) (sections 4.2 and 4.4) is a "special case" of the "three-dimensional" model ; by removing the zenith angles and $Z$-coordinates, the system of condition equations for $R_{3}$ automatically" becomes $R_{2}$, well known from [2] . By "automatic" is meant here that the other differences between the $R_{3}$-model and the $R_{2}$-model (numbers and types of quantities and condition equations) correspond directly to the algebraic properties of $R_{3}$ and $R_{2}: 3$ and 2 components respectively in the "coordinate condition"; $4+2$, respectively $2+2$ parameters in a complete similarity transformation.
This is illustrated in the list (4.53) of observation variates, unknowns and conditions

In section 3.4 it was shown how the units of length $\bar{\lambda}_{i}$ and the orientations $\theta_{i}$ of the local systems can be expressed as functions of observation variates $\underline{x}^{i}$, by solution from a series of equations (3.28). For this, however, an initial unit of length $\bar{\lambda}^{\circ}$, resp. orientation $\theta^{0}$ must be known. Let us assume, for the time being, that these are stochastic quantities, then :

$$
\begin{align*}
& (3.2 \mathrm{~g}) \rightarrow \overline{\bar{\lambda}}_{i}=\bar{\lambda}_{i}\left(\cdots, \underline{x}^{i}, \ldots, \overline{\bar{\lambda}}^{0}\right) \\
& (3.30) \rightarrow \underline{\theta}_{i}=\theta_{i}\left(\cdots, \underline{x}^{i}, \ldots, \underline{\theta}^{0}\right) \tag{a}
\end{align*}
$$

In section 3.4 it was already stated that $\bar{\lambda}^{\circ}$ and $\theta^{\circ}$ may be located in different stations ; on this occasion, we shall see that they also have quite different functions :
$\bar{\lambda}^{0}$ is a non-stochastic factor which is oniy relevant for the computation technique ; it is chosen such that the numerical values of the lengths of the sides, $\sqrt{N\{\bar{q}\}}$, are given the order of magnitude 1. (see also the scheme on page 31.

By $\theta^{\circ}$ the "astronomical" observation variates (longitudes and latitudes) are connected with the "terrestrial" horizontal directions, zenith angles, and distances. It should be determined from azimuth measurements in one of the local systems, $\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{a}}$, and is then a stochastic variate.
4.2 .1

The first unit of length $\bar{\lambda}^{\circ}$.
We consider (2.10) applied to the side $P_{r} P_{u}$ :

$$
\underline{\overline{ }}_{r} \underline{s}_{r u}=\sqrt{N\left\{\overline{\underline{q}}_{r u}\right\}}
$$

If $q_{r u}$ is a vector of average length, one achieves by choosing :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bar{\lambda}^{0}=\bar{\lambda}_{r}=\frac{1}{S_{r u}} \quad\left(f \text { on page } 31: f=s_{r u}\right) \tag{4.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

that :

$$
\sqrt{N\left\{\bar{q}_{r u}\right\}}=1 .
$$

and the lengths of all other sides of the network:

$$
\sqrt{N\left\{\bar{q}_{i k}\right\}} \approx 1
$$

## 4.2 .2

The first orientation.
According to (2.24) the orientation of a local system is the angle in the horizontal plane between astronomical north and the i-vector of the local system.

The first orientation $\theta^{\circ}$ can thus be determined by measuring an azimuth A in one of the stations, $\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{a}}$. Then $\theta^{\circ}$ is :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\underline{\theta}^{0} \equiv \underline{\theta}_{a}=\underline{A}_{a b}-r_{a b} \tag{4.8}
\end{equation*}
$$



In appendix 1 it is proved, that in each condition equation of the types $N$, $V, Z$ and $A$ (see chapter 5) the coefficient of $\Delta \theta^{\circ}$ (i.e. $\Delta \theta_{a}$ ) equals the sum of the coefficients of all horizontal directions in $P_{a}$. Using Baarda's notation [4] for a condition equation with $m$ observation variates $x^{i}$ :

$$
\Delta y^{p}=\left(u_{i}^{p}\right)\left(\underline{\Delta x^{i}}\right) \quad i=1 \ldots \ldots m
$$

and assuming that there are three directions in $\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{a}}$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \Delta r_{a 1} \equiv \Delta x^{1} \\
& \Delta r_{a 2} \equiv \Delta x^{2} \\
& \Delta r_{a 3} \equiv \Delta x^{3}
\end{aligned}
$$

the conclusion of appendix 1 reads :

$$
\begin{align*}
& \underline{y y}^{p}=u_{1}^{p} \underline{\Delta r}_{21}+u_{2}^{p} \underline{\Delta r}_{22}+u_{3}^{p} \Delta r_{a 3}+\left[u_{1}^{p}+u_{2}^{p}+u_{3}^{p}\right] \underline{\Delta \theta}_{2}+\cdots u_{j}^{p} \underline{\Delta x}_{j}^{j} \cdots \\
&(j=4 \ldots m) \tag{4.9}
\end{align*}
$$

Because $\triangle \theta_{2}$ is not an observation variate, we now substitute the difference equation of (4.8) into (4.9) ; the azimuth be measured in side $P_{a} P_{3}$ :

$$
\Delta \theta_{\mathrm{a}}=\Delta A_{a_{3}}-\Delta r_{a_{2}}
$$

and (4.9) becomes :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta y^{p}=\underbrace{u_{1}^{p} \Delta r_{a 1}+u_{2}^{p} \Delta r_{a 2}+\left[-u_{1}^{p}-u_{2}^{p}\right] \Delta r_{a 3}}_{!}+\left[u_{1}^{p}+u_{2}^{p}+u_{3}^{p}\right] \Delta A+\cdots u_{j}^{p} \underline{\Delta x}_{j}^{j} \cdots \tag{4.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

There arises a linear dependency between the directions in $P_{a}$. The number of observation variates can be reduced by one, by passing from $n$ directions to $n-1$ argles in $P_{2}$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\underline{\Delta \alpha}_{j a i}=\underline{\Delta r}_{a i}-\underline{\Delta r}_{a j} \tag{4.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

then (4.10) becomes :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta y^{p}=u_{1}^{p} \underline{\Delta \alpha}_{321}+u_{2}^{p} \underline{\Delta \alpha}_{3 a 2}+\left[u_{1}^{p}+u_{2}^{p}+u_{3}^{p}\right] \Delta A_{a 3}+\cdots+u_{j}^{p} \underline{x x}^{j} \cdots \cdots \tag{4.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

4.2 .3

The position of the first orientation in a network with parallel k-unit_ vectors.

As a special case of spatial networks with astronomically measured rotations, we now consider a network, in which all k-vectors ("first axes" of the local systems) are parallel to each other. In section 2.3 .3 it was shown that in this situation the orientations $\underline{\theta}_{i}$ in the rotation quaternions $p_{r}$ exclusively occur as difference quantities. This is, therefore, also the case in the zero-mean variates discussed in the appendix, from which the condition equations are composed : $\left(t_{i k}\right.$ and $u_{j i}$ are quaternions)

$$
\begin{align*}
& \underline{V}_{i k}^{(r)}=\left(q^{-1} \Delta q\right)_{i k}^{(r)}-\left(q^{-1} \Delta q\right)_{k i}^{(r)}=\cdots+t_{i k}\left[\Delta \theta_{k}-\Delta \theta_{i}\right]  \tag{a}\\
& W_{1}^{(r)}=\sum \Delta q_{i k}^{(r)}=\cdots \cdots \cdots \cdots+u_{i k}\left[\Delta \theta_{k}-\Delta \theta_{i}\right] \text {. (closed polygon) } \tag{b}
\end{align*}
$$

Moreover, the difference formula (3.40) for the orientation passes into the simple form (3.43) :

$$
\underline{\theta}_{k}=-\Delta r_{k i}+\Delta \theta_{i}+\Delta r_{i k}
$$

so that the differences of the orientations then read as follows :

$$
\begin{aligned}
\underline{\Delta \theta}_{1} & =\Delta \theta^{0}+\Delta r_{a 1}-\Delta r_{1 a} \\
\Delta \theta_{2} & =\Delta \theta_{1}+\Delta r_{12}-\Delta r_{21} . \\
& =\Delta \theta^{0}+\Delta r_{21}-\Delta r_{12}+\Delta r_{12}-\Delta r_{21} \\
& \vdots \\
\Delta \theta_{i} & =\Delta \theta^{0}+-+-\cdots \cdot
\end{aligned}
$$



This means that in the zero-mean variates ( $4.13^{a}$ ) and ( $4.13^{b}$ ) and therefore also in the condition equations, now the coefficient of $\Delta \theta^{\circ}$ equals zero. In view of (4.9), the coefficient of $\triangle A$ in the condition equations then also equals zero :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial y^{p}}{\partial A}=0 \tag{4.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

The azimuth must, therefore, be deleted in the condition model as observation variate ; in doing so, the rank of the system of condition equations is $b$, as in (4.11).

Also in the observation equations (of $\Delta r_{i k}$ ), the orientations now occur as difference quantities ; since they act here as "unknowns", this would lead to a linear dependence,
and result in singularity of the matrix of the normal equations.
In order to prevent this, we pass to the difference quantities $\underline{t}_{i}$; for example :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\underline{t}_{i}=\underline{\theta}_{i}-\underline{\theta}_{a} ; \quad \theta_{a} \text { is deleted as unknown. } \tag{4.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

Conclusion.
In a network with parallel "first axes", the observation variate (azimuth) is deleted in both adjustment models, see (4.14) and in the method of observation equations, moreover, one of the orientation unknowns see (4.15).

Schematically :
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4.3 Estimators and weight coefficients of observation variates are invariant.

In a spatial polygon network, the following types of observation variates are measured :

Sik : distance measures
$\underline{r}_{i k}$ : horizontal directions
Zik: zenith angles
$\bar{\lambda}_{i k}$ : astr. longitude differences
$\varphi_{i}$ : astr. latitudes
From $\underline{s}_{i k}, \underline{r}_{i k}$ en $\mathcal{J}_{i k}$ the quaternion:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\underline{\underline{q}}_{i k}^{(i)}=0+i \underline{s}_{i k} \cos \underline{r}_{i k} \sin \underline{J}_{i k}+j \underline{s}_{i k} \sin \underline{r}_{i k} \sin \underline{\underline{J}}_{i k}-k \underline{s}_{i k} \cos \underline{\underline{J}}_{i k} \tag{4.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

see (2.9) can be computed in the local system (i).
For carrying out transformations of local systems, the orientations $\theta_{i}$ are required.
Provided that a sufficient number of observation variates have been measured in the network considered, they can be computed as functions of observation
variates by (3.30) :

$$
\underline{\theta}_{i}=\theta_{i}\left(\cdots, \underline{x}^{i}, \ldots, \underline{\theta}^{0}\right) .
$$

In view of (4.8) here :

$$
\underline{\theta}^{0}=\underline{A}_{a b}-r_{a b}
$$

is also a function of observation variates $\underline{x}^{i}$, so we can put :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\underline{\theta}_{i}=\theta_{i}\left(\cdots, \underline{x}_{i}, \ldots\right) \tag{4.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then the rotations between the local systems can be expressed in the quaternions :

$$
\begin{align*}
\underline{p}_{j i} & =p_{j i}\left(\theta_{j}(\cdots, \underline{x}, \ldots), \varphi_{j}, \underline{\lambda}_{j i}, \underline{\varphi}_{i}, \theta_{i}\left(\cdots, \underline{x}^{i}, \ldots\right)\right)=  \tag{4.18}\\
& =p_{j i}\left(\cdots, \underline{x}^{i}, \ldots\right) .
\end{align*}
$$

Furthermore, from the distance measures $\mathbf{s}$, the "length factors " $\bar{\lambda}_{i k}$ can be computed via network sides in which s are measured in both directions :

$$
\begin{equation*}
(3.29),(2.13): \bar{\lambda}_{j i}=\frac{\underline{S}_{j i}}{\underline{S}_{i j}}=\bar{\lambda}_{j i}\left(\cdots, \underline{x}^{i}, .\right) \tag{4.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

We now choose one of the local systems, the (r)-system and transform all quaternions (4.16) to this system through a "chain" of rotations and length factors :

$$
\begin{align*}
& \underline{p}_{r i}=\underline{p}_{r a} \underline{p}_{a} \cdots \underline{p}_{\cdot k} \underline{p}_{k i} \\
& \underline{\bar{X}}_{r i}=\underline{\bar{X}}_{r b} \underline{\bar{X}}_{b} \cdots \underline{\bar{X}}_{\cdot j} \underline{\bar{X}}_{j i}  \tag{4.20}\\
& \underline{q}_{i k}^{(r)}=\overline{\bar{X}}_{r i} \underline{p}_{r i} q_{i k}^{(i)} \underline{p}_{r i}^{-1} .
\end{align*}
$$
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In view of (4.16), (4.18) and (4.19), this may be put as :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\underline{q}_{i k}^{(r)}=q_{i k}^{r}\left(\cdots, \underline{x}^{i}, \ldots\right) \tag{4.21}
\end{equation*}
$$

or, introducing means $\widetilde{\mathrm{X}}^{\mathrm{i}}$ of observation variates :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\widetilde{q}_{i k}^{(r)}=q_{i k}^{r}\left(\ldots, \widetilde{x}_{, \ldots}^{i}\right) \tag{4.21'}
\end{equation*}
$$

Furthermore :

$$
\begin{align*}
\overline{\bar{Q}}_{j i k}^{(r)} & =\underline{p}_{r i} \overline{\hat{Q}}_{j i k}^{(l)} \underline{Q}_{r i}^{-1}= \\
& =\underline{Q}_{j i k}^{r}\left(\cdots, \underline{x}^{i}, \cdots\right) . \tag{4.22}
\end{align*}
$$

and : $\widetilde{Q}_{j i k}^{(r)}=\mathcal{Q}_{j i k}^{r}\left(. ., \widetilde{x}^{i}, \ldots\right)$.

With the quantities (4.21') and (4.22'), being functions exclusively of observation variates, we can deduce conditions. In the form of ouaternion equations reduced to zero, with means of zero-mean variates $Y$ these conditions are :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\widetilde{Y}^{p(r)}=Y^{p}\left(\ldots, \widetilde{\varphi}_{j i k}^{(r)}, \ldots, \widetilde{q}_{\ell_{m}}^{(r)}, . .\right)=0 . \tag{4.23}
\end{equation*}
$$

By substitution of observations $\underline{x}^{i}(4.21)$ and (4.22), misclosures $\underline{y}^{p(r)}$ are obtained :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\underline{y}^{p(r)}=Y^{p}\left(\ldots, \underline{Q}_{j i k}^{(r)}, \ldots, \underline{q}_{l m}^{(r)}, \ldots\right) \tag{4.24}
\end{equation*}
$$

Differentiation leads to :

$$
\begin{align*}
\Delta y^{\rho(r)} & =\sum_{i=1}^{m} \frac{\partial S c\left\{y^{\rho(r)}\right\}}{\partial x^{i}} \Delta x^{i}+i \sum_{i=1}^{m} \frac{\partial V_{i}\left\{y^{\rho(r)} \mid\right.}{\partial x^{2}} \underline{\Delta x^{i}}+  \tag{4.25}\\
& +j \sum_{i=1}^{m} \frac{\partial V_{j}\left\{y^{P(r)}\right\}}{\partial x^{i}} \underline{\Delta x^{i}}+k \sum_{i=1}^{m} \frac{\partial V k\left\{y^{(r)} \mid\right.}{\partial x^{i}} \Delta x^{i} .
\end{align*}
$$

When choosing another local system, different from the (r)-system, e.g. the (w)-system, the series of operations (4.20) - (4.25) leads to quaternion condition equations :

$$
\underline{y}^{\rho(w)} ; \Delta \underline{y}^{\rho(w)}
$$

Now, the following applies :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\underline{y}^{p(\omega)}=\lambda_{\omega r} \underline{p}_{\omega r} \underline{y}^{\rho(r)} \underline{p}_{w r}^{-4} \tag{4.26}
\end{equation*}
$$

hence :

$$
\underline{\Delta y}^{p(w)}=\lambda_{w r} p_{w r}\left[\underline{\Delta y}^{p(r)}+y^{p(r)} \Delta \ln \underline{\lambda}_{w r}+\left(p^{-1} \Delta p\right)_{w r} y^{p(r)}-y^{p(r)}\left(p^{-1} \Delta p\right)_{w r}\right] p_{w r}^{-1}
$$

Because $y^{\rho(r)} \approx 0$, the three products of difference quantities and $\underline{y}^{p(r)}$ therein may be neglected; hence :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta y^{\rho(w)}=\lambda_{w r} P_{w r} \Delta y^{\rho(r)} P_{w r}^{-1} \tag{4.27}
\end{equation*}
$$

This means that the system of condition equations $\Delta y^{P^{(W)}}$ is linearly dependent on the system $\Delta y^{\rho(r)}$, the same dependency prevailing between the respective misclosures $(4.26)$
The result of adjustment by the method of condition equations is therefore independent of the choice of an ( $r$ )-system, apart from effects of the second order ;
$\begin{array}{ll}\text { Estimators : } & \left(\underline{X}^{i}\right)^{w}=\left(\underline{x}^{i}\right)^{r} \rightarrow\left(\underline{x}^{i}\right) \\ \text { Weight coefficients: } & \left(G^{i j}\right)^{w}=\left(G^{i j}\right)^{r} \rightarrow\left(G^{i j}\right)\end{array}$

According to section 4.3 , "invariant" estimators $\underline{X}^{i}$ and weight coefficients $G^{i j}$ are obtained from least-squares adjustment by the method of observation equations. The substitution of the estimators in the functions (4.16) (4.21) inclusive gives :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\underline{\bar{q}}_{i k}^{(r)}=q_{i k}^{r}\left(\ldots, \underline{x}^{i}, \ldots\right) \tag{4.28'}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all the sides of the network.
The three vector components of these quaternions can be considered as coordinate differences in the (r)-system, i.e. one of the local systems. The transition to an "operationally defined" coordinate system (R) -an "S-coordinate system in the terminology of [3] - is now effected using the similarity transformation :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\underline{q}_{i k}^{(R)}=\overline{\bar{\lambda}}_{R r} \underline{P}_{R r} \overline{\bar{q}}_{i k}^{(i)} \underline{P}_{R r}^{-1} \tag{4.29}
\end{equation*}
$$

The transformation (4.29) has four parameters, viz. $\bar{\lambda}_{R_{r}}$ and the three independent components of $\underline{p}_{R r}$; this is the correct number for a similarity transformation of coordinate differences in $R_{3}$.
The (R)-system, apart from the translation, can thus be operationally defined by considering four coordinate differences, or functions thereof, as non-stochastic quantities $q^{\circ}$; we adopt the choice made by Baarda in several manuscripts, about 1970 :
a) $: \underline{q}_{r s}^{(k)}=q_{r s}^{\circ}$
i.e. : all three components of vector $q_{r s}$ are non-stochastic. For $q_{r s}^{0}$ three arbitrary numbers may be chosen, provided $N\left\{q_{r s}\right\} \neq 0$.
b) : $1 / 2\left[\underline{q}_{r t}^{(R)}+e_{t s r}^{0^{-1}} \underline{q}_{r t}^{(R)} e_{t s r}^{0}\right]=1 / 2\left[q_{r t}^{0}+e_{t s t}^{0-1} q_{r t}^{0} e_{t s r}^{0}\right]$
i.e. : of the vector $q_{r t}$, the component perpendicular to the plane through $P_{r} \quad P_{s}$ and $P_{t}$ is non-stochastic.
Because : $e_{t r s}^{0} \perp q_{r t}^{0}$ we have:

$$
e_{t r s}^{0^{-1}} q_{r t}^{0}=-q_{r t}^{0} e_{t r s}^{0}
$$

and the right-hand member of $b$ ) is zero.
Therefore b) becomes :

$$
\begin{equation*}
1 / 2\left[\underline{q}_{r t}^{(R)}+e_{t s r}^{0^{-1}} \underline{q}_{r t}^{(R)} e_{t s r}^{0}\right]=0 . \tag{4.31}
\end{equation*}
$$



Remark :
Only one component of vector $q_{r t}$ is used for the definition of the (R)system ; $q_{r t}^{0}$ does not explicitely occur in (4.31), therefore. It is, however, possible to choose numbers for $q_{r t}^{0}$, allowing $e_{t r s}^{0}$ to be computed as follows :

$$
e_{t r s}^{0}=\sqrt{\frac{N\left\{q_{r t}^{0}\right\}}{N\left\{q_{r s}^{0}\right\}}} q_{r s}^{0} q_{r t}^{o^{-1}}
$$

A clearer procedure consists in choosing $e_{\text {trs }}^{0}$ itself :

$$
e_{t r s}^{0}=0+i a^{0}+j b^{0}+k c^{0}
$$

Now it becomes obvious that, in accordance with the fact that (4.31) represents one component, only one number needs to be chosen, since between a), b) and $c$ ) there are two relationships :
-first $e_{\text {trs }}^{0}$ must be perpendicular to :

$$
q_{r s}^{0}=0+i X^{0}+j Y^{0}+k z^{0}
$$

hence : $a^{0} X^{0}+b^{0} Y^{0}+c^{0} Z^{0}=0$.
-secondly, $e_{\text {trs }}^{0}$ is a unit vector, so:

$$
a^{0^{2}}+b^{0^{2}}+c^{0^{2}}=1
$$

We now substitute ( 4.29 ), applied to the vectors $q_{r s}$ and $q_{r t}$, which are measured sides of the network, in the left-hand members of (4.30) and (4.31) respectively :

$$
\begin{align*}
& \bar{\lambda}_{R r} \underline{p}_{R r} \overline{\bar{q}}_{r s}^{(r)} \underline{p}_{R r}^{-1}=q_{r s}^{0}  \tag{4.32}\\
& \overline{\bar{\lambda}}_{R r}\left[\underline{p}_{R r} \underline{\bar{q}}_{r t}^{(r)} \underline{P}_{R r}^{-1}+e_{t s r}^{0^{-1}} \underline{p}_{R r} \overline{\bar{q}}_{r t}^{(r)} \underline{P}_{R r}^{-1} e_{t s r}^{0}\right]=0
\end{align*}
$$

These quaternion equations constitute, on condition that $q_{r s}$ is not //qrt, four independent equations in scalars, the so-called "basis equations". From these the four parameters of the (stochastic) transformation $\left\{\overline{\boldsymbol{\lambda}}_{\operatorname{Rr}} ; \operatorname{PRRr}_{\mathrm{Rr}}\right\}$ can be solved, i.e. expressed in components of :

$$
\underline{\bar{q}}_{r s}^{(r)}\left(\cdots, \underline{x}^{i}, \ldots\right) \text { and } \quad \overline{\bar{q}}_{r t}^{(r)}\left(\ldots, \underline{x}^{i}, \ldots\right)
$$

Hence :

$$
\left.\begin{array}{l}
\bar{\lambda}_{R r}=\bar{\lambda}_{R r}\left(\cdots, \underline{x}^{i}, \ldots\right)  \tag{4.33}\\
\underline{P}_{R r}=p_{R r}\left(\cdots, \underline{x}^{i}, \ldots\right)
\end{array}\right\} \quad \underline{x}^{i} \text { are observation variates in } q_{r s} \text { and } q_{r t}
$$

When using the adjustment method of observation equations, the transformation $\left\{\bar{\lambda}_{R r} ; P_{R r}\right\}$ is applied in the computation of $S$-coordinates $\underline{X}^{r}$, from observation variates $\underline{X}^{\mathbf{i}}$, according to (4.5) of (4.29).

In (4.29) the following applies :

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \overline{\bar{q}}_{i k}^{(r)}=q_{i k}^{r}\left(\ldots, \underline{x}^{i}, \ldots\right): \quad \text { see }\left(4.28^{\prime}\right) . \\
& \overline{\bar{\lambda}}_{R r}=\bar{\lambda}_{R r}\left(\ldots, \underline{x}^{i}, \ldots\right): \text { see }(4.33) . \\
& \underline{p}_{R r}=P_{R r}\left(\ldots, \underline{x}^{i}, \ldots\right): \text { see }(4.33) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Let :

$$
\begin{align*}
& \underline{q}_{i k}^{(R)}=0+i \underline{x}^{r 1}+j \underline{x}^{r 2}+k \underline{x}^{r 3}=q_{i k}^{(R)}\left(\cdots, \underline{x}^{r}, \ldots\right)  \tag{4.34}\\
& \text { notation : } \underline{x}_{i k}=\underline{x}^{r 1} ; \underline{y}_{i k}=\underline{x}^{r 2} ; \underline{z}_{i k}=\underline{x}^{r 3}
\end{align*}
$$

then (4.29) becomes :

$$
\begin{equation*}
q_{i k}^{R}\left(\cdots, \underline{x}^{r}, \ldots\right)=\lambda_{R r}\left(\cdots, \underline{x}^{i}, \ldots\right) p_{R r}\left(\ldots, \underline{x}^{i}, \ldots\right) q_{i k}^{r}\left(\ldots, \underline{x}^{i}, \ldots\right)\left[p_{R r}\left(\ldots, \underline{x}^{i}, \ldots\right)\right]^{-1} . \tag{4.35}
\end{equation*}
$$

Via a difference equation of (4.35), the weight coefficients for the S-coordinates $X^{r}$ can then be computed: see (4.43)

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(G^{r \varepsilon}\right)^{R}=\left(T_{i}^{r}\right)\left(G^{i j}\right)\left(T_{j}^{s}\right)^{*} \tag{4.36}
\end{equation*}
$$

> In the method of condition equations, the transformation $\left\{\begin{array}{l}\left\{\underline{p}_{R_{r}} ; \bar{\Sigma}_{R_{r}}\right. \\ \text { is directly }\end{array}\right.$ entered in the observation equations in the form of four "unknowns" $\underline{Y}^{d}$ From (4.29) follows directly :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\overline{\bar{q}}_{i k}^{(r)}=\frac{1}{\underline{\bar{\lambda}}_{R r}} \underline{p}_{R r}^{-1} \underline{q}_{i k}^{(R)} \underline{p}_{R r} \tag{4.37}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here again, see (4.28') :

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \overline{\underline{\underline{q}}}_{i k}^{(r)}=q_{i k}^{r}\left(\ldots, \underline{x}^{i}, \ldots\right) \\
& \text { with : } \underline{x}^{i}=\underline{s}_{i k}+\underline{\varepsilon}, \underline{r}_{i k}+\underline{\varepsilon}, J_{i k}+\underline{\varepsilon}
\end{aligned}
$$

The S-coordinates $\underline{q}^{(R)}$ are now unknowns $\underline{Y}^{\alpha}$; let:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\underline{q}_{i k}^{(R)}=0+i \underline{Y}^{\alpha_{1}}+j \underline{Y}^{\alpha_{2}}+k \underline{Y}^{\alpha_{3}} \tag{4.38}
\end{equation*}
$$

Assume also :

$$
\begin{align*}
& \overline{\underline{\Sigma}}_{R r}=\underline{Y}^{1} \\
& \underline{P}_{R r}=\sqrt{1-\underline{I}_{R r}^{2}-\underline{J}_{R r}^{2}-\underline{K}_{R r}^{2}}+i \underline{I}_{R r}+j \underline{J}_{R r}+k \underline{K}_{R r}  \tag{4.39}\\
& \text { with }: \underline{I}_{R r}=\underline{Y}^{2} ; \underline{I}_{R r}=\underline{Y}^{3} ; \underline{K}_{R r}=\underline{Y}^{4}
\end{align*}
$$

it will then be possible to deduce observation equations for $\underline{s}_{i k}, \underline{r}_{i k}$ and Jik from the difference equation of (4.36), after some manipulations (see section 5.6 ) The adjustment results in least-squares corrections and weight-coefficients :

$$
\Delta Y^{\alpha} ;\left(\bar{g}^{\alpha \beta}\right) .
$$

The solution of $\Delta \ln \bar{\lambda}{ }_{R r}$ and $\Delta p_{R r}$ from the basis equations
The four numerical values for $q_{r s}^{o}$ and $e_{t r j}^{0}$ (or : $q_{r t}^{0}$ ) may be arbitrarily chosen, provided $N\left\{\mathrm{q}_{\mathrm{rs}}^{0}\right\} \neq 0$
However, we make a deliberate choice, using the observations $\underline{x}^{i}$ :

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\bar{q}_{r s}^{0}=\bar{\lambda}^{0} q_{r s}\left(\ldots, x^{i}, \ldots\right) ; \quad x^{i}=s_{r s}, r_{r s}, J_{r s} \\
\bar{q}_{r t}^{0}=\bar{\lambda}^{0} q_{r t}\left(\ldots, x^{i}, \ldots\right) ; \quad x^{i}=s_{r t}, r_{r t}, J_{r t}
\end{array}
$$

Hence :

$$
\overline{\bar{q}}_{r s}^{(r)} \approx \bar{q}_{r s}^{0} \quad ; \quad \overline{\bar{q}}_{r t}^{(r)} \approx \bar{q}_{r t}^{0}
$$

and we can choose the following approximate values for $\bar{\lambda}_{R r}$ and $\underline{P} R r^{R}$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \bar{\lambda}_{R r}^{0}=1 . \\
& p_{R r}^{0}=1+i 0+j 0+k 0
\end{aligned}
$$

We differentiate the basis equations (4.32) :

$$
\begin{gathered}
q_{r r}^{(R)} \Delta \underline{\ln }_{R r}+\Delta p_{R r} q_{r s}^{(R)}-q_{r s}^{(R)} \Delta p_{R r}+\Delta \overline{\bar{q}}_{r s}^{(r)}=0 . \\
1 / 2\left[q_{r t}^{(R)} \Delta \ln \bar{\lambda}_{R r}+\Delta p_{R r} q_{r t}^{(R)}-q_{r t}^{(R)} \Delta p_{R r}+\Delta \Delta \overline{\bar{q}}_{r t}^{(r)}\right]+1 / 2 e_{t s r}^{-1}[n] e_{t s r}=0
\end{gathered}
$$

Here $\Delta p_{R r}$ is, see (4.39) :

$$
\Delta P_{R r}=0+i \Delta I_{R r}+j \Delta \underline{U}_{R r}+k \Delta K_{R r}
$$

Premultiplication by $q_{r s}^{-1(R)}$ resp. $q_{r t}^{-1(R)}$ gives, whilst deleting the upper indices : $\left(q^{(R)}=q^{0}=q\right)$

$$
\begin{gather*}
\Delta \ln \bar{\lambda}_{R r}+q_{r s}^{-1} \Delta p_{R r} q_{r s}-\Delta p_{R r}+\left(q^{-1} \Delta \overline{\bar{g}}\right)_{r s}^{(r)}=0 . \\
1 / 2\left[\Delta \ln \bar{\lambda}_{R r}+q_{r t}^{-1} \Delta p_{R r} q_{r t}-\Delta p_{R r}+\left(q^{-1} \Delta \overline{\bar{q}}\right)_{r t}^{(r)}\right]-1 / 2 e_{t s r}^{-1}["] e_{t s r}=0 . \tag{4.40}
\end{gather*}
$$

Let. :

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\bar{q}_{r s}^{0}=0+i x+j Y+k Z & ; x^{2}+y^{2}+z^{2}=S^{2} \\
\bar{q}_{r t}^{0}=0+i x+j y+k z & ; x^{2}+y^{2}+z^{2}=s^{2} \\
e_{t s r}^{0}=0+i a+j b+k c & ; a^{2}+b^{2}+c^{2}=1 .
\end{array}
$$

then the equations (4.40) in

fig. 32 matrix notation will read :
$\left(\begin{array}{cccc}0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & b^{2}+c^{2} & -a b & -a c \\ 0 & -a b & a^{2}+c^{2} & -b c \\ 0 & -a c & -b c & a^{2}+b^{2}\end{array}\right)\left(\left(\begin{array}{l}\Delta \ln \bar{\lambda}_{R r} \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0\end{array}\right)+\frac{2}{s^{2}}\left(\begin{array}{cccc}0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -y^{2}-z^{2} & x y & x z \\ 0 & x y & -x^{2}-z^{2} & y z \\ 0 & x z & y z & -x^{2}-y^{2}\end{array}\right) \cdot\left(\begin{array}{c}0 \\ \Delta I_{R r} \\ \Delta I_{R r} \\ \Delta \underline{I}_{R r}\end{array}\right)+\left(\begin{array}{ccc}1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \frac{x z}{s^{2}} & \frac{-y}{\sqrt{x^{2}+y^{2}}} \\ 0 & \frac{y z}{s^{2}} & \frac{x}{\sqrt{x^{2}+y^{2}}} \\ 0 & \frac{-x^{2}-y^{2}}{s^{2}} & 0\end{array}\right) \cdot\left(\begin{array}{l}\Delta \operatorname{lng}_{r t} \\ \Delta r_{r t} \\ \Delta \underline{s r r t}^{r t}\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{l}0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0\end{array}\right)\right.$
$1 / 2\left[(1)-(\bar{e})(e)^{*}\right]$
$\left(4.40^{b}\right)$

Between the three vector components of $\left(4.40^{\mathrm{a}}\right)$, there is the linear dependency (3.25):

$$
\times V_{i}\left\{\left(4.40^{2}\right)\right\}+Y V_{j}\left\{\left(4.40^{2}\right)\right\}+Z V_{k}\left\{\left(4.40^{2}\right)\right\}=0
$$

The system $\left(4.40^{\mathrm{a}}\right)$ thus has the rank : 3.
From the scalar component of ( $4.40^{b}$ ) it becomes apparent that $\Delta \ln s_{r t}$ does not occur in the transformation. Between the three vector components of ( $4.40^{6}$ ) there are two linear dependencies :

$$
\begin{aligned}
& a V_{i}\left\{\left(4.40^{b}\right)\right\}+b V_{j}\left\{\left(4.40^{b}\right)\right\}+c V_{k}\left\{\left(4.40^{b}\right)\right\}=0 . \\
& x V_{i}\left\{\left(4.40^{b}\right)\right\}+y V_{j}\left\{\left(4.40^{b}\right)\right\}+z V_{k}\left\{\left(4.40^{b}\right)\right\}=0 .
\end{aligned}
$$

(the first resulting from the first matrix ; the second is analogous to the dependency (3.25)

The system ( $4.40^{\mathrm{b}}$ ) thus has the rank : 1 .
From ( $4.40^{\mathrm{a}}$ ) it follows immediately :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta{\underline{\ln } \bar{\lambda}_{R r}=-\Delta \ln s_{\mathrm{rs}} .}^{2} \tag{4.41}
\end{equation*}
$$

The three components of $\Delta p_{R_{r}}$ may be solved from two components of ( $4.40^{\mathrm{a}}$ ) and the one independent component of (4.40). Via manipulations, such as :

$$
\begin{aligned}
& S s \sin \bar{\alpha}=\frac{-Y z+Z_{y}}{a}=\frac{-Z x+X z}{b}=\frac{-X y+Y x}{c} ; \\
& S s \cos \bar{\alpha}=X x+Y y+Z z
\end{aligned}
$$

this results in :
$\Delta P_{R r}=0+i \Delta I_{R r}+j \Delta J_{R r}+k \Delta k_{R r}$
$\Delta I_{R r}=\quad \frac{(a y-b x) X}{2 S s \sin \bar{\alpha}}\left[\Delta r_{r s}-\Delta r_{r t}\right]-\frac{c X \cos \bar{\alpha}+Y \sin \bar{\alpha}}{2 \sin \bar{\alpha} \sqrt{x^{2}+Y^{2}}} \Delta J_{r s}+\frac{c s X}{2 \sin \bar{\alpha} S \sqrt{x^{2}+y^{2}}} \Delta J_{r t}$
$\Delta J_{R r}=\quad \frac{(a y-b x) Y}{2 S \sigma \sin \bar{\alpha}}\left[\Delta r_{r s}-\Delta r_{r t}\right]-\frac{c Y \cos \bar{\alpha}-x \sin \bar{\alpha}}{2 \sin \bar{\alpha} \sqrt{x^{2}+Y^{2}}} \Delta J_{r s}+\frac{c s Y}{2 \sin \bar{\alpha} S \sqrt{x^{2}+y^{2}}} \Delta J_{r t}$
$\Delta K_{R r}=-\frac{1}{2} \Delta r_{r s}+\frac{(a y-b x) Z}{2 S s \sin \bar{\alpha}}\left[\Delta r_{r s}-\Delta r_{r t}\right]-\frac{c z \cos \bar{\alpha}}{2 \sin \bar{\alpha} \sqrt{x^{2}+Y^{2}}} \Delta \xi_{r s}+\frac{c s z}{2 \sin \bar{\alpha} S \sqrt{x^{2}+y^{2}}} \Delta \Xi_{r t}$

The expressions (4.41) and (4.42) for $\Delta \ln \bar{\lambda}_{R r}$ and $\Delta p_{R r}$ can now be substituted in the difference equation of (4.35):

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta q_{i k}^{(R)}=\underline{\bar{q}}_{i k}^{(r)}+\bar{q}_{i k} \Delta \underline{\ln }_{\mathrm{R} r}+\Delta \underline{p}_{R r} \bar{q}_{i k}-\bar{q}_{i k} \Delta p_{R r} \tag{4.43}
\end{equation*}
$$

from which the weight coefficients (4.36) are computed.

The $S$-coordinates, when using the method of condition equations, read :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\underline{\bar{q}}_{i k}^{(r)}=q_{i k}^{r}\left(\ldots, \underline{x}_{i}^{i}, \ldots\right) \quad ; \quad \quad q_{r s}^{r}\left(\cdots, \underline{x}_{i}^{i}, \ldots\right) . \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

From the method of observation equations, the coordinate quantities are directly obtained ; see (4.38) :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\underline{q}_{i k}^{(R)}=0+i \underline{Y}^{\alpha_{1}}+j \underline{Y}^{\alpha_{2}}+k \underline{Y}^{\alpha_{3}} ; \quad \underline{q}_{r s}^{(R)} \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Amongst these the coordinate quantities in the computational base, $q{ }_{r s}^{(R)}$ and one component of $q_{r t}^{(R)}$ are non-stochastic. They will therefore, not have adjustment corrections, so :

$$
q_{r s}^{(R)}=\bar{q}_{r s}^{0}=q_{r s}^{r}\left(\ldots, x^{i}, \ldots\right)
$$

The coordinate quantities ( $4.44^{1}$ ) and ( $4.44^{2}$ ) constitute conformal systems; they are mutually transformed according to (4.29), (4.35). The numerical values for $\bar{\lambda}_{R r}$ and $\underline{P}_{R r}$ follow from the basis equations, in which we now substitute the coordinate quantities ( $4.44^{1}$ ) and (4.442) :

$$
\begin{aligned}
q_{r s}^{(R)} & =\bar{q}_{r s}^{r}\left(\ldots, x^{i}, \ldots\right)= \\
& =\bar{\lambda}_{R r} p_{R r} \bar{q}_{r s}^{r}\left(\ldots, x^{i}, \ldots\right) p_{R r}^{-1}
\end{aligned}
$$

From this it becomes apparent that numerical values for $\bar{\lambda}_{R r}$ and $\underline{p}_{R r}$ follow from the least-squares corrections $\varepsilon^{i}$ of observation variates in the basis equations :

$$
\begin{align*}
& \ln \bar{\lambda}_{R r}=\frac{-\underline{\varepsilon}\left[S_{r r}\right]}{S_{r r}}  \tag{4.45}\\
& \underline{p}_{R r}=1+i \Delta I_{R r}\left(\cdots, \underline{\varepsilon}^{i}, \ldots\right)+j \Delta J_{R r}\left(\cdots, \underline{\varepsilon}^{i}, \ldots\right)+k \Delta \mathcal{K}_{R r}\left(\cdots, \underline{\varepsilon}_{\cdots}^{i} \ldots\right)
\end{align*}
$$

Relationship with the general S-transformation.
In [17] M. Molenaar gives a formula for the general S-transformation for three-dimensional coordinate systems :

$$
\begin{align*}
& \Delta q_{i}^{(r s ; t)}= \Delta q_{i}^{(a)}-\frac{1}{2}\left[\varphi_{s r i} \Delta q_{r s}^{(a)}+\Delta q_{r s}^{(a)} \varphi_{s r i}^{\top}\right]+ \\
&+\left[\varphi_{s r i}-\varphi_{s r i}^{\top}\right]\left[\varphi_{s r t}-\varphi_{s r t}^{\top}\right]^{-1}[\{\underbrace{\Delta g_{r t}^{(a)}-\frac{1}{2}\left(\varphi_{s r t} \Delta q_{r s}^{(a)}+\Delta q_{r s} \varphi_{s r t}^{\top}\right)}\} \\
&\left.+\left[\varphi_{s r t}-\varphi_{s r t}^{\top}\right]^{-1}\{"\}\left[\varphi_{s r t}-\varphi_{s r t}^{\top}\right]\right]  \tag{4.46}\\
& \text { symb. notation: }(a) \\
&= \Delta q_{i}+M_{i}\left(\Delta q_{r s}^{(a)}, \Delta q_{r t}^{(a)}\right) .
\end{align*}
$$

Molenaar, as in this study, has started from the basis equations (4.32); Molenaar's (4.46) is therefore essentially the same transformation as (4.43), with :

```
(R) = (rs;t); (r) = (a).
```

The right-hand member of ( 4.46 ) is, however, composed of differences of orthogonal coordinates, the right-hand member of (4.43) of differences of observation variates, i.e. of polar coordinates, in the basis vectors $q_{r s}$ and $q_{r t}$. In order to make the formulae comparable, we pass in (4.43), i.e. (4.41) and (4.42) from polar coordinates to orthogonal coordinates as follows :

We substitute this in (4.41) and (4.42) and obtain :

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Delta I_{R r}= & \frac{-X a \cos \bar{\alpha}}{2 S^{2} \sin \bar{\alpha}} \Delta X_{r s}+\frac{Z \sin \bar{\alpha}-X b \cos \bar{\alpha}}{2 S^{2} \sin \bar{\alpha}} \Delta Y_{r s}-\frac{Y \sin \bar{\alpha}+X_{c} \cos \bar{\alpha}}{2 S^{2} \sin \bar{\alpha}} \Delta Z_{r s}+ \\
& +\frac{X a}{2 S s \sin \bar{\alpha}} \Delta x_{r t}+\frac{X b}{2 S s \sin \bar{\alpha}} \Delta y_{r t}+\frac{X c}{2 S \sin \bar{\alpha}} \Delta Z_{r t} \\
\underline{\Delta J}_{R r}= & \frac{-Y a \cos \bar{\alpha}-Z \sin \bar{\alpha}}{2 S^{2} \sin \bar{\alpha}} \Delta X_{r s}-\frac{Y b \cos \bar{\alpha}}{2 S^{2} \sin \bar{\alpha}} \Delta Y_{r s}+\frac{X \sin \bar{\alpha}-Y_{c} \cos \bar{\alpha}}{2 S^{2} \sin \bar{\alpha}} \Delta Z_{r s}+ \\
& +\frac{Y a}{2 S s \sin \bar{\alpha}} \underline{x x}_{r t}+\frac{Y b}{2 S \sin \bar{\alpha}} \Delta y_{r t}+\frac{Y_{c}}{2 S \sin \bar{\alpha}} \Delta Z_{r t} \\
\Delta K_{R r}= & \frac{Y \sin \bar{\alpha}-Z a \cos \bar{\alpha}}{2 S^{2} \sin \bar{\alpha}} \Delta X_{r s}-\frac{X \sin \bar{\alpha}+Z b \cos \bar{\alpha}}{2 S^{2} \sin \bar{\alpha}} \Delta Y_{r s}-\frac{Z c \cos \bar{\alpha}}{2 S^{2} \sin \bar{\alpha}} \Delta Z_{r s}+ \\
& +\frac{Z a}{2 S \sin \bar{\alpha}} \Delta x_{r t}+\frac{Z b}{2 S \sin \bar{\alpha}} \Delta y_{r t}+\frac{Z c}{2 S \sin \bar{\alpha}} \Delta Z_{r t} .
\end{aligned}
$$

and :

$$
\Delta \underline{\ln \bar{\lambda}_{R r}}=\frac{-X}{S^{2}} \Delta X_{r s}-\frac{Y}{S^{2}} \Delta Y_{r s}-\frac{Z}{S^{2}} \underline{\Delta Z_{r s}}
$$

After, assuming as third basis equation, in accordance with Molenaar :

$$
\Delta q_{r}^{(R)}=0
$$

we can substitute these expressions for $\Delta \underline{\ln \bar{\lambda}_{R r}}$ and $\Delta P_{R r}$ in (4.43):

$$
\Delta g_{i}^{(R)}=\Delta q_{r i}^{(R)}=\Delta \overline{\bar{q}}_{r i}^{(R)}+\bar{q}_{r i} \Delta \ln \overline{\bar{\lambda}}_{R r}+\Delta p_{R r} \bar{q}_{r i}-\bar{q}_{r i} \Delta p_{R r} .
$$

Then, it becomes apparent that :

An important difference between (4.43) and Molenaar's (4.46) is that in (4.43) the basis vectors $q_{r s}$ and $q_{r t}$ must be measured sides of the network; in $q_{r s}$ must be measured : distance measure, direction and zenith angle; in $\mathrm{q}_{\mathrm{rt}}$ : direction and zenith angle.
Contrary to this, $\mathrm{q}_{\mathrm{rs}}$ and $\mathrm{q}_{\mathrm{rt}}$ in (4.46) may be arbitrary connections between points of the network.

basisvectors (4.46)
basisvectors (4.43)
4.5 Observation variates, conditions and unknowns.

Starting from a specified vector of observation variates $\underline{x}^{1}$ this section will present a provisional consideration of the numbers of conditions and unknowns in the function model of a closed polygon with astronomically measured rotations between the "local systems". The relationship between the function model of a spatial network and that of a network in the complex plane will be described via two intermediate forms.

We specify the vector of $m$ observation variates as follows :
(one closed polygon)
2 n directions r
$2 n \quad$ distance measures $s$
$2 n \quad$ zenith angles $J$
$n \quad$ astr. differences of longitude $\lambda$
$n \quad$ astr. latitudes $\varphi$
1 azimuth A
$m=8 n+1$


## A preliminary determination of the rank of the condition model

We consider the fully measured closed polygon of $n$ points $P_{1} P_{2} \ldots P_{n}$ and choose the local system of $P_{4}$ as (r)-system.
Now the following series of computations, following the sides of the polygon, can be carried out :

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \underline{q}_{1 n}^{(1)}=q^{1}\left(\underline{s}_{i n}, \underline{r}_{1 n}, \underline{\underline{I}}_{1 n}\right) \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \underline{\underline{1}}_{1 n}^{4}, \underline{y}_{1 n}^{1 *}, \underline{z}_{1 n}^{1 *} \\
& \underline{q}_{12}^{(1)}=q^{1}\left(\underline{s}_{12}, \underline{r}_{12}, \underline{\Xi}_{12}\right) \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots . \underline{x}_{12}^{1}, \underline{y}_{12}^{1}, \underline{z}_{12}^{1} \\
& \left.\begin{array}{l}
\underline{e}^{0} \equiv \underline{\theta}_{1}=\underline{A}_{12}-\underline{r}_{12} \\
\underline{p}_{2^{\prime}}=p\left(0, \underline{\underline{\varphi}}_{2},-\lambda_{12}, \underline{\underline{q}}_{1}, \underline{\theta}_{1}\right)
\end{array}\right\} \begin{array}{l}
\underline{q}_{12}^{\left(z^{\prime}\right)}
\end{array} \\
& \theta_{2}=r_{12}-r_{21}(+\pi) \\
& \left.\underline{p}_{12}=p\left(\underline{\theta}_{1}, \underline{\varphi}_{1}, \underline{\lambda}_{12}, \underline{\varphi}_{2}, \underline{\theta}_{2}\right)\right\} \longrightarrow \underline{q}_{23}^{(1)}: \ldots \ldots \ldots \underline{x}_{23}^{1}, \underline{y}_{23}^{1}, \underline{z}_{23}^{1} \\
& \left.\begin{array}{rl}
\underline{q}_{23}^{(2)} & =q^{2}\left(\underline{s}_{23}, \underline{r}_{23}, \underline{\underline{J}}_{23}\right) \\
\underline{p}_{3^{\prime} 2} & =p\left(0, \underline{\varphi}_{3},-\underline{\lambda}_{23}, \underline{\varphi}_{2}, \underline{\theta}_{2}\right)
\end{array}\right\} \begin{array}{l}
q_{\underline{r}_{23}^{\left(3^{\prime}\right)}}^{q^{3^{\prime}}}
\end{array}
\end{aligned}
$$

Now, six conditions have come into being :

$$
\left.\begin{array}{l}
x_{12}^{1}+x_{23}^{1}+\cdots+x_{n 1}^{1}=0  \tag{4.49}\\
y_{12}^{1}+y_{23}^{1}+\cdots+y_{n 1}^{1}=0 \\
z_{12}^{1}+z_{23}^{1}+\cdots+z_{n+1}^{1}=0
\end{array}\right\}{ }^{n} \text { coordinate condition" }
$$

$$
\left.\begin{array}{l}
x_{1 n}^{*}=-x_{n+1}^{1}  \tag{4.50}\\
y_{1 n}^{*}=-y_{n 1}^{1} \\
z_{1 n}^{*}=-z_{n+1}^{1}
\end{array}\right\} \text { "polygon condition" }
$$

This notation has been adapted from Baarda's [2], and it anticipates Chapter 5. "The coordinate condition" cannot be linearly dependent on the "polygon condition", because there are more observation variates in the polygon condition than in the coordinate condition : viz. $\underline{s}_{1 n}, r_{1 n}$ and $\underline{J}_{1 n}$ 。 There remain $n$ observation variates, which have not yet been used in this computation :

```
n - 1 zenith angles (I}\mp@subsup{I}{21}{},\mp@subsup{I}{32}{}\cdots\cdots\mp@subsup{I}{n,n-1}{})
    1 difference of longitude (\mp@subsup{\lambda}{n1}{}})\mathrm{ .
```

These $n$ variates may be expressed in the form of $n$ condition equations as a function of the m-n other observation variates (this is discussed in greater detail in Chapter 5). Consequently, now $n+6$ conditions have been found by the procedure of computation alongside the polygon ; if, by way of precaution, we assume that, possibly, conditions may have been overlooked, this number is a lower limit for the rank $b$ of the condition model :

$$
\begin{equation*}
b \geqslant n+6 \tag{4.51}
\end{equation*}
$$

By analysing linear dependencies in a set of $n+12$ conditions, an upper limit, which also equals $n+6$, shall be determined in section 5.4 .

## Unknowns

In case directions and distance measures are used as observation variates, the following "unknowns" are frequently chosen in the function model in the
complex plane for adjustment according to the method of observation equations :

| $2 n-4$ <br> $n$ <br> $n$ | coordinates <br> orientations <br> length factors <br> $i$ $\bar{\lambda}_{i k}$ |
| :--- | :--- |

The number of non-stochastic coordinates (four) equal the number of parameters of a similarity transformation in $R_{2}$; this is connected with the "operational definition" of coordinate quantities. ("S-coordinates"). In analogy there are in a spatial network of $n$ points : $3 n-7$ stochastic coordinate unknowns (the (R)-system ; see section 4.4).
In $R_{2}$, the relation between the S-coordinate system ( $R$ ) and the in local systems is established by $n$ pairs of quantities :
$\Theta_{R i}$ : orientations
$\ln \bar{\lambda}_{R i}:$ logarithm of length-factors
In section 4.4 we have seen that in $R_{3}$, the relationship between the $S$-coordinate system ( $R$ ) and the first local system ( $r$ ) is established by the four variates of the "basis transformation"; see (4.39) :

$$
{\ln \bar{\lambda}_{R r} ; I_{R r} ; \underline{J}_{R r} ; \underline{K}_{R r}, ~}_{n}
$$

As in $R_{2}$, the other $n-1$ length factors $\bar{\lambda}_{r i}$ can now be defined as unknowns; with regard to the orientation unknowns there arises, however, a difference between $R_{2}$ and $R_{3}$; so as to enable all local systems to be rotated astronomically, $n$ orientations $\Theta_{i}$ are required ; moreover, $n$ astronomic latitudes and $n$ astronomical longitudes or $n-1$ astronomical longitude differences. Summarizing, in a fully measured spatial network of $n$ points, the following unknowns occur :

```
3n-7 S-coordinates
    1 "first" length-factor
        3 parameters of the basis transformation
    n - 1 other length-factors
    n orientations
    n astronomical latitudes
    n - 1 astronomical longitude differences
7n-5
```

This number agrees with the number of observation variates $m=8 n+1$ (4.48) and the provisionally determined number of conditions $b=n+6$ (4.51), since :
$8 n+1-(n+6)=7 n-5$
The transition from $R 3$ to $R 2$
We shall now describe the relationship between the three-dimensional function model and the two-dimensional model through a transition via two intermediate forms :

1. A spatial network with parallel k-unit vectors ("first axes" of local systems), as described in section 4.2
2. The same spatial network as above, where no astronomical quantities (latitudes $\underline{\varphi}_{i}$ and longitude differences $\lambda_{i k}$ ) occur, i.e. networks as used for e.g. trigonometric levelling.

In (4.53) the numbers of observation variates, unknowns and conditions are stated in columns for the general $R_{3}$ model, the two intermediate forms and the $R_{2}$ model; regarding the types of conditions, we must anticipate Chapter 5 here.


The differences * between the "general" $R$ model and the model of "parallel k-vectors" are analysed in section 4.2; the azimuth obtains coefficients $=0$ see (4.14), between the orientations there arises a dependency, see (4.15).

The differences between the second and the third model are trivial ; all "astronomical" observation variates and unknowns disappear and so does the condition "sum of longitude differences".

The differences between the third and fourth (the $R_{2}$ model) are either trivial :
a - zenith angles are not entered in $R_{2}$ as observation variates in the function model.
b - the Z -conditions arise in the $\mathrm{R}_{3}$ model through the measurement of zenith angles in both directions, therefore they do not occur in the $\mathrm{R}_{2}$ model.
or they follow directly from the algebraic properties of $R_{3}$ and $R_{2}$ :
c - three, resp. two coordinates per point.
d - seven, resp. four parameters in a similarity transformation.
e - a rotation has three parameters, resp. one.
f - the network or coordinate condition has three, resp. two components.
This shows that the $R_{2}$ model is a "special case" of the $R_{3}$ model.

Chapter 5.
THE ADJUSTMENT MODEL

### 5.1 Introduction.

In this Chapter, the theory described will be applied for the construction of a system of functional relations (conditions) of observation variates, with a view to the application of the adjustment theory, as standardized by Baarda [4] .
These functional relations apply to means of stochastic quantities.
$[4](9.1):\left(\tilde{Y}^{p}\right)=\left(Y^{p}\left(\ldots, \widetilde{X}^{i}, \ldots\right)\right)=(0)$.
"adjustment model of condition equations"
[4] (9.2): $\left(\widetilde{X}^{i}\right)=\left(x^{i}\left(\ldots, \widetilde{Y}^{\alpha}, \ldots\right)\right)=(0)$.
"adjustment model of observation equations"
Here :

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
X^{i} & : \text { observation variates, } i=1 \ldots \ldots m \\
Y^{\rho}: \text { zero-mean variates } & P=1 \ldots \ldots b \\
Y^{\alpha}: \text { unknowns } & \alpha=1 \ldots m m b
\end{array}
$$

The introduction of the stochastic observation variates $\underline{x}^{i}$ furnishes "misclosures" :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\underline{y}^{P}\right)=\left(Y^{P}\left(\ldots, \underline{x}^{i}, \ldots\right)\right) \tag{5.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

The estimators to be obtained, $\underline{X}^{i}$ and $\underline{y}^{\alpha}$, should comply with (5.1) and (5.2) :

$$
\begin{align*}
& (0)=\left(Y^{P}\left(\ldots, \underline{X}^{i}, \ldots\right)\right)  \tag{a}\\
& \left(\underline{X}^{i}\right)=\left(X^{i}\left(\ldots, \underline{Y}^{\alpha}, \ldots\right)\right) \tag{b}
\end{align*}
$$

In view of the linearization of the functional relations (5.1) and (5.2), a complete set of approximate values $X_{o}^{i}, Y_{o}^{\alpha}$ must be chosen, also complying with (5.1).

Hence :

$$
\begin{align*}
\left(Y^{\varphi}\left(\ldots, X_{0}^{i}, \ldots\right)\right) & =(0)=\left(Y_{0}^{p}\right)  \tag{a}\\
\left(X_{0}^{i}\right) & =\left(x^{i}\left(\ldots, Y_{0}^{\alpha}, \ldots\right)\right) \tag{b}
\end{align*}
$$

Now (5.3) can be linearized by expansion in a Tailor series of

$$
\left(\underline{y}^{p}-Y_{0}^{p}\right)=\left(u_{i}^{p}\right)\left(\underline{x}^{i}-x_{0}^{i}\right)
$$

or, whilst neglecting terms of the second and higher orders :

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left(\underline{\Delta y^{p}}\right)=\left(u_{i}^{p}\right)\left(\underline{\Delta x^{i}}\right)  \tag{5.6}\\
& \text { with : }\left(u_{i}^{P}\right)=\left(\frac{\partial Y^{p}}{\partial x^{r}}\right)_{x_{0}^{i}}
\end{align*}
$$

See also (17.21) in [4]
It also follows from ( $5.4^{\mathrm{b}}$ ) with ( $5.5^{\mathrm{b}}$ ) :

$$
\left(\underline{x}^{i}-X_{0}^{i}\right)=\left(a_{\alpha}^{i}\right)\left(\underline{Y}^{\alpha}-Y_{0}^{\alpha}\right)
$$

or :

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left(\underline{\Delta x^{i}}\right)=\left(a_{\alpha}^{i}\right)\left(\underline{\Delta Y^{\alpha}}\right)  \tag{5.7}\\
& \text { with: } \quad\left(a_{\alpha}^{i}\right)=\left(\frac{\partial X^{i}}{\partial Y^{\alpha}}\right)_{X_{0}^{i} ; Y_{0}^{\alpha}}
\end{align*}
$$

See also (17.23) in [4].
Relation with the Polygon theory in the complex plane.
In a manuscript by Baarda [8] , dating back as far as 1962-64, an elegant structural agreement was found between the quaternion relations elaborated there, and the relations in complex numbers, as they are known from the two-dimensional polygon theory [2]. Accordingly, the choice and the notation of the quaternion relations in section 5.2 are adapted from them. The $\Pi$-quantity plays a central part in the structural relation between the two- and three-dimensional polygon theories :


From section 5.4 it will become apparent that not only the quaternion conditions and the $\Delta \Pi$-quantities, but also the dependencies between the conditions roughly present the same structure as those in the two-dimensional theory.
In the three-dimensional theory it will, however, be necessary to introduce more types of conditions, owing to which the overall system of dependencies becomes more complicated.

In order not to obscure the subject matter unnecessarily, the sections 5.2, 5.3 and 5.4 will be restricted to the discussion of a network, consisting of one closed polygon, $\mathrm{P}_{1} \mathrm{P}_{2} \ldots \ldots \mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{n}}$, with complete measurement according to (4.48).
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Orientations $\hat{\theta}_{i}$ and length factors $\bar{\lambda}_{i k}$ are computed according to (3.29/30), via the sides $P_{a}, P_{a+1}, \ldots$ $\ldots . P_{n}$ and via $P_{a} P_{a-1} \ldots \ldots P_{1}$. This means that only on the side $P_{n} P_{1}$ the quantity :

$$
\left(q^{-1} \Delta q\right)_{i k}-\left(q^{-1} \Delta q\right)_{k i}
$$

assumes the form of $(3.42)^{I}$. On all other sides, it assumes the form $(3.42)^{\text {IV }}$, i.e. :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(q^{-1} \Delta q\right)_{i k}^{(r)}-\left(q^{-1} \Delta q\right)_{k i}^{(r)}=e_{k ; i k}^{(r)}\left[\Delta I_{i k}^{k}+\Delta \Xi_{k i}\right] . \tag{5.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

In section 5.5 an example of a case, differing from this pattern, will be discussed.

## Remark on the notation.

In the following sections, one starts tacitly (i.e. by omitting the indices $(r)$ and $O$ ) from the assumption that in all (quaternion) difference equations, the coefficients are computed according to (5.5) in one of the local (instrumental) systems, i.e. the (r)-system : thus, for example :

$$
q_{i k}=\bar{q}_{i k}^{0(r)}=\bar{\lambda}_{r i}^{0} p_{r i}^{0} q_{i k}^{0(i)} p_{r i}^{0-1} \text {. }
$$

5.2 Conditions.

We now again apply the procedure indicated in [8], viz. subsequent computation of the sides in a closed polygon with $n$ points, starting in side $\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{n}} \mathrm{P}_{1}$ :

$$
q_{n 1} ;
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
q_{12} & =-Q_{1} q_{n 1} \\
q_{23} & =(-1)^{2} Q_{2} Q_{1} q_{n-1}  \tag{5.9}\\
& \vdots \\
q_{n-1, n} & =(-1)^{n-1} Q_{n-1} Q_{n-2} \ldots Q_{2} Q_{1} q_{n-1} .
\end{align*}
$$

By the summation of these equations, a zero vector is obtained in the lefthand member :

$$
\begin{equation*}
q_{n n}=0=\left[1-Q_{1}+Q_{2} Q_{1}-\cdots+(-1)^{n-1} Q_{n-1} Q_{n-2} \cdot Q_{2} Q_{1}\right] g_{n-1} \tag{a}
\end{equation*}
$$

Through postmultiplication by $\mathrm{q}_{\mathrm{n} 1}^{-1} \quad(\neq 0)$ this becomes :

$$
\begin{equation*}
0=\left[1-C_{1}+G_{2} \mathscr{C}_{1}-\cdots+(-1)^{n-1} G_{n-1} \mathscr{S}_{n-2} \cdots G_{3} C_{2} G_{1}\right] \tag{b}
\end{equation*}
$$

The series (5.9) may be continued by :

$$
q_{n-1}=(-1)^{n} Q_{n} Q_{n-1} \ldots Q_{2} Q_{1} q_{n-1}
$$

or, after reducing to zero :

$$
\begin{equation*}
0=-q_{n 1}+(-1)^{n} Q_{n} G_{n-1} \ldots G_{2} G_{1} q_{n 1} \tag{a}
\end{equation*}
$$

or, through postmultiplication by $q_{n 1}^{-1}$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
0=-1+(-1)^{n} Q_{n} Q_{n-1} \ldots Q_{2} C_{1} . \tag{b}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus, we see the conditions known from [2] , viz. "coordinate condition" and "polygon condition" come into being, both in a form with dimension length : $\left(5.10^{\mathrm{a}}\right)$ and (5.11 ${ }^{\text {a }}$ ) resp. and in a dimensionless form (5.10 $)$ and (5.11 $)$ resp.
How to choose from these ?
The dimensionless forms would seem to deserve preference, because they were composed from exclusively dimensionless observation variates (length ratios and angles). In the difference equations of (5.10 ) and (5.11 $)$, however, the non-dimensionless factor $\Delta \mathrm{q}_{\mathrm{n} 1}$ obtains zero coefficients. This means that in the difference equation there are only dimensionless observation variates. The choice may thus be based on other reasons.

From section 5.3 it will become apparent that for the coordinate condition, the non-dimensionless shape (5.10 ${ }^{\text {a }}$ ) deserves preference. Therefore :

$$
\begin{align*}
& 0=\left[1-Q_{1}+C_{2} C_{1}-G_{3} C_{2} C_{1}+\cdots+(-1)^{n} G_{n-1} Q_{n-2} \cdot G_{2} C_{1}\right] 9_{n-1}  \tag{5.12}\\
& \text { "Coordinate condition" } N_{(n), 1,2 \ldots n-1} ; \quad \text { See: [2]: (4.2,2). }
\end{align*}
$$

As far as the polygon condition is concerned, it will become apparent, see (5.25), that in the dimensionless form ( $5.11^{\mathrm{b}}$ ), the distance ratios occur in the scalar component and all other observation variates occur in the vector components of the difference equations. Owing to this elegant nature, we consequently choose the dimensionless form for the polygon condition ; therefore :

$$
\begin{align*}
& 0=-1+(-1)^{n} G_{n} C_{n-1} C_{n-2} \ldots C_{2} C_{1} .  \tag{5.13}\\
& \text { "Polygon condition" } V_{1,2, \ldots, n} ; \text { see }[2]:(4.3 .1) .
\end{align*}
$$

Dther conditions.
From the preliminary consideration of section 4.5 it becomes apparent that, contrary to the plane polygon theory, the number of linearly independent conditions is also dependent on the number of sides of the network (this is caused by the zenith angles). Therefore, for each fully measured side, an extra condition must be established ; in principle, each of the three vector components of

$$
0=q_{i k}^{(r)}+q_{k i}^{(r)}
$$

may be used for this purpose. If, however, the network is of limited size (in comparison with the circumference of the earth), the k-unit vectors of the local systems are approximately parallel to each other and to those of the (r)-system.

The zenith angles then have small coefficients in :


$$
V i\left\{\Delta q_{i k}^{(r)}+\Delta q_{k i}^{(r)}\right\} \text { and } V j\left\{\Delta q_{i k}^{(r)}+\Delta q_{k i}^{(r)}\right\}
$$

Therefore, we choose as condition on each side with two zenith angles :

$$
\begin{align*}
& 0=V k\left\{q_{i k}^{(r)}+q_{k i}^{(r)}\right\}  \tag{5.14}\\
& " Z_{i k}-\text { condition" }
\end{align*}
$$

Between the astronomical rotations $p_{i k}$, which are composed from difference quantities (longitude differences $\lambda_{i k}$ ) and also the latitudes $\varphi_{i}$, there exists a "condition of rotation" :

$$
\begin{align*}
& 0=-1+P_{i, i+1} P_{i+1, i+2} \cdots P_{i-1, i}  \tag{5,15}\\
& \text { "Condition of rotation": } R_{i, \ldots i}
\end{align*}
$$

In section 4.1 it was shown that, in a spatial network with astronomical rotations, one azimuth must be measured so as to connect the astronomical observation variates with the "terrestrial" ones. The coefficients of this first azimuth are small in all condition equations and equal to zero, if the k-unit vectora are parallel to each other ; see (4.14).
The addition to the network of each next azimuth results in the creation of a condition, in which both azimuths have a large coefficient (i.e. approx. =1). If the first azimuth is measured on side $P_{a} P_{b}$ and a second on side $P_{i} P_{k}$, this condition can be adapted from the quaternion equation : (see fig. 37)

$$
\begin{equation*}
q_{i k ; A}=\left.(-1)\right|_{\rightarrow \text { number of } G_{-} \text {-quantities }} ^{C_{i} G_{j} \ldots G_{b} q_{a b ;} A} \tag{5.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here, in the quaternions $q_{i k ; A}$ and $q_{a b ; A}$ the azimuth takes the place of the direction, thus :

$$
\begin{aligned}
& q_{i k ; A}= q_{i k ; A}^{(r)}=\bar{\lambda}_{r i} p_{r i^{\prime}} q_{i k}^{\left(i^{\prime}\right)} p_{r i^{\prime}}^{-1} \\
& \text { with: } p_{r i^{\prime}}=p_{r i}\left(\theta_{r}, \varphi_{r}, \lambda_{r \ldots i i}, \varphi_{i}, \theta=0\right) \\
& q_{i k}^{\left(i^{\prime}\right)}=q_{i k}\left(s_{i k}, A_{i k}, J_{i k}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Now :

$$
q_{a b}^{(r)}=\bar{\lambda}_{r a} p_{r a^{\prime}} q\left(s_{a b},\left[r_{a b}+\theta_{a}\right], J_{a b}\right) p_{r a^{\prime}}^{-1}
$$

According to (4.9), $\theta_{a}$ in the condition model is, however, replaced by $A_{a b}-r_{a b}$, therefore :

$$
\begin{equation*}
q_{a b}^{(r)}=\bar{\lambda}_{r a} p_{r a^{\prime}} q\left(s_{a b}, A_{a b}, J_{a b}\right) p_{r a^{\prime}}^{-1} \equiv q_{a b ; A} . \tag{5.16"}
\end{equation*}
$$

Through premultiplication by $\mathrm{q}_{\mathrm{ik}}^{-1}$, reduction to zero and substitution of (5.16"), (5.16) passes into :

$$
\begin{align*}
& 0=-1+(-1)^{m} q_{i k ; A}^{-1} G_{j i k} Q_{. j i} \ldots Q_{a b} q_{a b}  \tag{5.17}\\
& \text { "Azimuth condition": } A_{a \ldots i} .
\end{align*}
$$

Relations between coordinate and polygon conditions.
In section 5.4 it will be shown that of the $2-, R-$ and A-conditions, only one component is independent. Regarding the N - and V -conditions the situation is different. Also in order to establish links with the polygon theory in the complex plane, in which the N - and V -conditions take a central place, we now first consider the relations (dependencies) between the N - and V -conditions.

The coordinate condition (5.12) contains $n-1$ of the $n G$ - quantities. As in the two-dimensional theory there are, consequently, in a fully measured closed polygon of $n$ points $n$ different coordinate conditions. Because quaternion algebra is non-commutative relative to multiplication, here-contrary to the two-dimensional theory-also the n polygon relations (obtainable from cyclic changing of the factors) are different !

We now introduce zero-mean variates, see (5.1) ; we use the characters N and V :

$$
\begin{align*}
& ,=V_{1 \ldots n}=-1+(-1)^{n} \varrho_{n} \zeta_{n-1} \ldots \varrho_{2} \varphi_{1} \\
& n=V_{2 \ldots 1}=-1+(-1)^{n} G_{1} G_{n} \ldots G_{3} C_{2} . \tag{5.19}
\end{align*}
$$

Now (5.19) directly supplies a relation between two "consecutive" polygon conditions :

$$
V_{2 \ldots 1}=C_{1} V_{1 \ldots n} C_{1}^{-1}
$$

Likewise, if the polygon conditions do not directly succeed each other :

$$
\begin{equation*}
V_{i \ldots i-1}=G_{i-1} C_{i-2} \ldots \varphi_{1} V_{1 \ldots n} G_{1}^{-1} \varphi_{2}^{-1} \ldots \zeta_{i-2}^{-1} \varphi_{i-1}^{-1} \tag{5.20}
\end{equation*}
$$

This means that of the $n$ polygon conditions, only one is independent. From (5.18) follow the relations :

$$
\begin{align*}
& N_{(2)}-N_{(1)}=q_{21}-(-1)^{n} \varphi_{1} \varphi_{n} \ldots \varphi_{3} \varphi_{2} q_{21} .  \tag{a}\\
& N_{(1)}-N_{(n)}=q_{1 n}-(-1)^{n} \varphi_{n} \varphi_{n-1} \ldots \varphi_{2} \varphi_{1} q_{1 n}  \tag{b}\\
& \text { inerefore also: } \\
& Q_{1}\left[N_{(1)}-N_{(n)}\right]=q_{12}-(-1)^{n} \varphi_{1} \varphi_{n} \ldots \varphi_{2} q_{12}= \\
& \left(5.21^{2}\right):=-\left[N_{(2)}-N_{(1)}\right] .
\end{align*}
$$

In consequence, there exists the following relation between each three coordinate conditions :

$$
\begin{equation*}
N_{(l)}-N_{(i-1)}=-\varrho_{i-1}\left[N_{(i-1)}-N_{(i-2)}\right] \tag{5.22}
\end{equation*}
$$

This means, that of the n coordinate conditions, only two are irdependent. From (5.21) follows :

$$
\begin{aligned}
{\left[N_{(1)}-N_{(n)}\right] q_{1 n}^{-1} } & =1-(-1)^{n} \varphi_{n} \varphi_{n-1} \cdots \cdot \varphi_{2} C_{1}= \\
(5.1 g): & =-V_{1 \ldots n}
\end{aligned}
$$

In consequence, there exists the following relation between two coordinate conditions and one polygon condition :

$$
\begin{equation*}
N_{(i)}-N_{(i-1)}=V_{i \ldots i-1} q_{i-1, i}^{-1} \tag{5.23}
\end{equation*}
$$

Conclusion :
The relations (5.20), (5.22) and (5.23) lead to the conclusion that two independent coordinate and polygon conditions can be established in a fully measured closed polygon, viz. :
either : two network conditions
or: one network condition and one polygon condition

### 5.3 Linearization of conditions.

After the introduction of approximate values, complying with (5.5), the conditions $N, V, Z, R$ and $A$ are linearized by expansion in a Taylor series ; if the approximate values are good enough, the terms of the zero and first order will suffice.
In all the difference equations, the terms $\Delta \underline{\zeta}_{i}$ will be replaced by $\Delta \Pi$, according to :

$$
(2.21): \Delta \varphi_{j i k} \rightarrow q_{i k} \Delta \pi_{j i k} q_{i j}^{-1}
$$

By substitution of stochastic observation variates, the conditions mentioned in the previous chapters are now transformed into "condition equations".

The coordinate condition equation.
We differentiate the equations (5.9) :

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Delta q_{n 1} & =\cdots \cdots \cdots \cdots \cdots \cdots \cdots \cdots \cdots \cdots \cdots \cdots \cdots q_{n 1}\left(q^{-1} \Delta q\right)_{n 1} \\
\Delta q_{12} & =-\Delta \underline{q}_{1} q_{n 1}-C \varphi_{1} \Delta q_{n 1}= \\
& =-q_{12} \frac{\Delta \pi_{1} q_{1 n}^{-1} q_{n 1}-q_{12} q_{1 n}^{-1} \Delta q_{n 1}=\cdots q_{12} \Delta \pi_{1}+q_{12}\left(q^{-1} \Delta q_{n 1}\right.}{} \\
\Delta q_{23} & =\cdots \text { likewise } \rightarrow \quad q_{23} \Delta \pi_{2}+q_{23} \Delta \pi_{1}+q_{23}\left(q^{-1} \Delta q\right)_{n 1} . \\
& \vdots \\
\Delta q_{n-1, n} & =q_{n-1, n} \frac{\Delta \Pi_{n-1}+\cdots \cdots \cdots+q_{n-1, n} \Delta \Pi_{2}+q_{n-1, n} \Delta \pi_{1}+q_{n-1, n}\left(q^{-1} \Delta q\right)_{n 1} .}{} .
\end{aligned}
$$

As after (5.9) we add these equations and thus obtain the difference equation of (5.10) / (5.12) As in (5.18/19) we use $N(n)$ as zero-mean
variate in the left hand member ; introducing observations $\Delta x^{i}$ in the right hand member, $\underline{N}_{(n)}$ becomes the "misclosure":

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta N_{(n)}=q_{n-1, n} \Delta \pi_{n-1}+\cdots \cdots \cdots \cdots+q_{2 n} \Delta \pi_{2}+q_{1 n} \Delta \pi_{1} \tag{5.24}
\end{equation*}
$$

or:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \Delta N_{(n)}=\sum_{i=1}^{n-1} q_{i n} \Delta \pi_{j i k} \\
& \text { with: } \quad \Pi_{j i k}=\Pi_{j i k}\left(\ldots, \Delta x^{i}, \ldots\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$



The difference equation of the quaternion coordinate condition is thus identical to that of the two-dimensional coordinate condition equation, see (17.1.5) in [2].

The polygon condition equation.
Differentiation of (5.13), after the introduction of the misclosure $\underline{\Delta V}_{1} \ldots n$ as $\underline{\Delta Y}^{P}$-quantity, gives :

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Delta V_{1 \ldots n}= & (-1)^{n} q_{n 1} \Delta \Pi_{n} q_{n, n-1}^{-1} \varphi_{n-1} G_{n-2} \ldots \varphi_{1}+ \\
& +(-1)^{n} \varphi_{n} q_{n-1, n} \Delta \Pi_{n-1} q_{n-1, n-2}^{-1} \varphi_{n-2} \ldots \varphi_{1}+ \\
& \vdots \\
& +(-1)^{n} \varphi_{n} \varphi_{n-1} \ldots \varphi_{3} \varphi_{2} q_{12} \Delta \pi_{1} q_{1 n}^{-1}= \\
= & (-1)^{n} q_{n 1}\left[(-1)^{n-1} \Delta \Pi_{n}+(-1)^{n-1} \Delta \pi_{n-1}+\cdots+(-1)^{n-1} \Delta \pi_{1}\right] q_{1 n}^{-1} .
\end{aligned}
$$

therefore :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta V_{1 \cdots n}=q_{n 1}\left[\Delta \pi_{1}+\Delta \Pi_{2}+\cdots \cdots+\Delta \pi_{n-1}+\Delta \pi_{n}\right] q_{n 1}^{-1} \tag{5.25}
\end{equation*}
$$

Because : $\operatorname{Sc}\left\{\underline{\Delta \pi}_{i}\right\}=\Delta \ln v_{i}$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
S c\left\{\Delta V_{1 \ldots n}\right\}=\sum_{i=1}^{n} \Delta \ln v_{i} \tag{5.25'}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here, too, the strong resemblance with the polygon condition equation in the complex plane is striking; see (17.2.2) in [2].

The Z-condition equation
The difference equation of (5.14) reads :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta Z_{i k}=V k\left\{\Delta q_{i k}+\Delta q_{k i}\right\} \tag{5.26}
\end{equation*}
$$

We expand this by the other components to :

$$
\begin{aligned}
& i \underline{\Delta X}_{i k}+j \underline{Y}_{i k}+k \underline{\Delta Z}_{i k}=\underline{\Delta} q_{i k}+\Delta q_{k i}= \\
& =q_{i k}\left[\left(q^{-1} \Delta q\right)_{i k}-\left(q^{-1} \Delta q\right)_{k i}\right] ;
\end{aligned}
$$

According to (5.8) this expression becomes on all sides except $\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{n}} \mathrm{P}_{1}$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
& =q_{i k} e_{k ; i k}^{\prime \prime}\left[\Delta \underline{J}_{i k}^{k}+\Delta J_{k i}\right]= \\
& =-\sqrt{N\left\{q_{i k}\right\}} e_{k ; i k}^{\prime}\left[\Delta J_{i k}^{k}+\Delta J_{k i}\right]= \\
& =\ell_{i k}[0+i a+j b+k c]\left[\Delta J_{i k}^{k}+\Delta J_{k i}\right] .
\end{aligned}
$$

Consequently :

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \underline{\Delta X}_{i k}=\ell_{i k} a\left[\underline{\Delta I}_{i k}^{k}+\Delta \underline{y}_{k i}\right] \text {. } \\
& \Delta Y_{i k}=\ell_{i k} b[\quad . \quad] . \quad(a, b, c) \perp q_{i k} \text {. } \\
& \underline{Z}_{i k}=\ell_{i k} \subset[\quad \because \quad] \text {. } \\
& \text { Remark: } \\
& a \approx 0 ; b \approx 0 ; c \approx-1
\end{aligned}
$$

To all sides, except $P_{n} P_{1}$, the following therefore applies :

$$
\Delta g_{i k}+\Delta g_{k i}=\left[0+i \frac{a}{c}+j \frac{b}{c}+k\right] \Delta Z i k
$$

i.e. the i- and the j-component depend on the k-component, which is the Z-condition.
By premultiplication by $\mathrm{q}_{\mathrm{ik}}^{-1}$, the left-hand member of (5.8) is obtained again :

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left(q^{-1} \Delta g\right)_{i k}-\left(q^{-1} \Delta g\right)_{k i}=\left[0+i f_{i k}+j g_{i k}+k h_{i k}\right] \Delta Z_{i k} \\
& \begin{array}{l|l}
\begin{array}{l}
\downarrow \\
\text { on all networksides } \\
\text { exept } P_{n} P_{1} .
\end{array} & f_{i k}=\frac{1}{l_{i k}}\left[-V_{j}\left\{q_{i k}\right\}+\frac{b}{c} V k\left\{q_{i k}\right\}\right] .
\end{array}  \tag{5.27}\\
& g_{i k}=\frac{1}{l_{i k}}\left[V i\left\{q_{i k}\right\}-\frac{a}{c} V_{k}\left\{q_{i k}\right\}\right] . \\
& h_{i k}=\frac{1}{l_{i k}}\left[-\frac{b}{c} V_{i}\left\{q_{i k}\right\}+\frac{a}{c} V_{j}\left\{q_{i k}\right\}\right]
\end{align*}
$$

The R-condition equation.
The difference equation of (5.15) reads :
see also (3.7)

$$
\Delta R_{i} \ldots \ldots . i=p_{i, i+1}\left(p^{-1} \Delta p\right)_{i, i+1} p_{i, i+1}^{-1}+p_{i, i+2}\left(p^{-1} \Delta p\right)_{i+1, i+2} p_{i, i+2}^{-1}+\cdots \cdots+\left(p^{-1} \Delta p\right)_{i-1, i}
$$

After rotation to the $(r)$-system :

$$
\Delta R_{i, \ldots i}^{(r)}=p_{r, i+1}\left(p^{-1} \Delta p\right)_{i, i+1} P_{r, i+1}^{-1}+p_{r, i+2}\left(p^{-1} \Delta p\right)_{i+1, i+2} p_{r, i+2}^{-1}+\cdots+p_{r i}\left(p^{-1} \Delta p\right)_{i-1, i} p_{r i}^{-1}
$$

The A-condition equation.
The difference equation of (5.17) reads :

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Delta A_{a \ldots i}= & (-1)^{m}(-1) q_{i k}^{-1} \Delta q_{i k ; A} q_{i k} \varphi_{i} \ldots Q_{b} q_{a b}+ \\
& +(-1)^{m} q_{i k}^{-1} q_{i k} \Delta \Pi_{i} q_{i j}^{-1} \varphi_{i} \ldots \varphi_{b} q_{a b}+\cdots \cdot \\
& \cdots \cdots+(-1)^{m} q_{i k}^{-1} G_{i} \ldots G_{b} \Delta q_{a b}= \\
= & (-1)^{2 m+1}\left(q^{-1} \Delta q\right)_{i k ; A}+(-1)^{2 m} \Delta \pi_{i}+\cdots+(-1)^{2 m}\left(q^{-1} \Delta q\right)_{a b} .
\end{aligned}
$$

## therefore :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta A a_{a \ldots i}=-\left(q^{-1} \Delta q\right)_{i k ; A}+\Delta \pi_{i}+\Delta \pi_{j}+\cdots \cdots \cdots+\Delta \pi_{b}+\left(q^{-1} \Delta q\right)_{a b} \tag{5.28}
\end{equation*}
$$

5.4 Dependencies/Selection of condition equations.

In this section we shall analyse the linear dependencies between the condition equations described in section 5.3, or between components of these condition equations.

As a continuation of (5.23), we start with the dependency between two coordinate condition equations and a polygon condition equation.
5.4.1

The dependency between network and polygon conditions
From (5.24) follow:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \Delta N_{(n)}=q_{1 n} \Delta \Pi_{1}+q_{2 n} \frac{\Delta \Pi_{2}}{}+\cdots+q_{n-1, n} \frac{\Delta \Pi_{n-1}}{} . \\
& \Delta N_{(1)}=r \quad q_{21} \Delta \Pi_{2}+\cdots+q_{n-1,1} \Delta \Pi_{n-1}+q_{n 1} \Delta \Pi_{n}
\end{aligned}
$$

therefore :

$$
\begin{align*}
\underline{\Delta N}(1)-\underline{\Delta N}(n) & =q_{n 1}\left[\Delta \pi_{1}+\Delta \Pi_{2}+\cdots \cdots+\Delta \pi_{n}\right]=  \tag{5.29}\\
(5.25) & =\Delta V_{1 \ldots n} q_{n 1} .
\end{align*}
$$

Note : This result is also obtained from the direct differentiation of (5.23) :

$$
\Delta N_{(1)}-\Delta N_{(n)}=\Delta V_{1 \ldots n} q_{n 1}+V_{1 \ldots n} \Delta q_{n 1}
$$

in which $V=0$
(compare [2]: (17.3.2)
5.4.2

Dependencies within the R -condition
According to the general difference formula (3.7) for an open chain of astronomical rotations with longitude differences, (5.27') can be reduced to :

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Delta R_{i \ldots i}^{(r)}=p_{r i} & {\left[p_{i i^{\prime}}\left(p^{-1} \Delta p\right)_{i i^{\prime}} p_{i i^{\prime}}^{-1}+p_{i} i^{\prime \prime}\left(p^{-1} \Delta p\right)_{i^{\prime} i^{\prime}} p_{i i^{\prime \prime}}^{-1}+\right.} \\
& -p_{i i^{\prime \prime}} k p_{i i^{\prime \prime}}^{-1} \frac{1}{2}\left[\underline{\Delta \lambda}_{i, i+1}+\Delta \underline{\lambda}_{i+1, i+2}+\cdots+\Delta \lambda_{i-1, i}\right]+ \\
& \left.+p_{i i^{\prime}}\left(p^{-1} \Delta p\right)_{i^{\prime \prime} i^{\prime}} p_{i i^{\prime}}^{-1}+\left(p^{-1} \Delta p\right)_{i^{\prime} i}\right] p_{r i}^{-1}
\end{aligned}
$$

Here the terms with orientations and latitudes of the "intermediate" systems have been deleted. (see figure 19)
Because we are faced here with a closed chain, (i, i + 1, .... i - 1), also the terms with $\Delta \theta_{i}$ and $\Delta \varphi_{\mathrm{i}}$ cancel each other in pairs : since :

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left(2.30^{5}\right): p_{i i^{\prime}}\left(p^{-1} \Delta p\right)_{i i^{\prime}} p_{i i^{\prime}}^{-1} & =-p_{i i^{\prime}} k p_{i i^{\prime}}^{-1} \frac{1}{2} \Delta \theta_{i}= \\
& =-k \frac{1}{2} \Delta \theta_{i} \\
& \left(p_{i i^{\prime}} / / k!\right) . \\
\left(2.30^{1}\right): \quad & \left(p^{-1} \Delta p\right)_{i^{\prime} i}=k \frac{1}{2} \Delta \theta_{i} .
\end{aligned}
$$

(likewise the terms with $\Delta \varphi_{i}$, i.e. $\left(p^{-1} \Delta p\right)_{i^{\prime} i}$ and $\left(p^{-1} \Delta p\right)_{i^{\prime \prime}} i^{\prime}$ cancel each other)
Therefore, in the R-conditions equation only the terms of the differences of longitude remain :

$$
\begin{align*}
& \underline{\Delta R}_{i \ldots \ldots i}=-p_{r i}{ }^{\prime \prime} k p_{r i i^{\prime \prime}}^{-1} \frac{1}{2}\left[\lambda_{i, i+1}+\cdots+\Delta \underline{\lambda}_{i-1, i}\right] \\
& \text { If : } p_{r i "}=d+i a+j b+k c, \text { this is : } \\
& \Delta R_{i} \ldots . i=-\frac{1}{2}\left[0+i 2(d b+a c)+j 2(-d a+b c)+k\left(d^{2}-a^{2}-b^{2}-c^{2}\right)\right]\left[\sum \Delta \lambda i, i+1\right] \tag{5.30}
\end{align*}
$$

Because $\operatorname{Sc}\left\{\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{i} \ldots . \mathrm{i}}\right\}=0$, this means that the scalar component of the R -condition equation has been fulfilled identically :

$$
\operatorname{Sc}\left\{\Delta R_{i \ldots i}\right\} \equiv 0
$$

and also that there are two dependencies between the three vector components :

$$
\begin{align*}
& V_{i}\left\{\underline{R}_{i} \ldots i\right\}=\frac{2(d b+a c)}{d^{2}-a^{2}-b^{2}+c^{2}} V k\left\{\Delta R_{i} \ldots i\right\}  \tag{5.31}\\
& V_{j}\left\{\Delta R_{i \ldots i}\right\}=\frac{2(-d a+b c)}{d^{2}-a^{2}-b^{2}+c^{2}} V k\left\{\Delta R_{i} \ldots i\right\}
\end{align*}
$$

Out of the four components of the R-condition, only one is non-identical and non-dependent. In a network, in which the k-vectors of the local systems are approximately parallel, $a$ and $b$ are approximately $=0$ and $d^{2}+c^{2} \approx 1$. This means that the difference quantities $\Delta \lambda$ only have large coefficients in the $k$-component of the $R$-condition equation (5.30)
5.4 .3

The dependencies between the components of the A -.and the Z -condition equations.
We split up the $\Delta \Pi$-quantities according to (2.21) into ( $q^{-1} \Delta q$ )-quantities ; Aa.....i is now used as misclosure of the A-condition equation :

If all sides of the trajectory $P_{a} P_{b} \ldots P_{j} P_{i}$ comply with (5.8), this can be rewritten by substitution of (5.27) as :

fig. 39

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta A_{a \ldots i}=-\left(q^{-1} \Delta q\right)_{i k ; A}+\left(q^{-1} \Delta q\right)_{i k}+\sum_{m, n}\left[i f_{m n}+j g_{m n}+k h_{m n}\right] \Delta Z m n . \tag{5.32}
\end{equation*}
$$

Applying (3.23) this becomes with (i') instead of (r) :
and, with (5.16) :

In these formulae, the terms with $\Delta p_{r i}$ are equal.
Remark : Contrary to (3.38), the $\left(q^{-1} \Delta q\right)$-quantities in (5.32) are pointing in the same direction ; therefore, the terms with $\Delta p_{r i}$ are annulled in the difference.

Thus, (5.32) passes into .

$$
\begin{equation*}
\underline{\Delta A_{a} \ldots i}=e_{i k}^{\prime(r)} \sin J_{i k}\left[-\Delta A_{2}+\underline{\Delta r_{i k}}+\Delta \theta_{i}\right]+\sum_{m, n}\left[i f_{m n}+j g_{m n}+k h_{m n}\right] \Delta Z_{m n} \tag{5.33}
\end{equation*}
$$

The second azimuth therefore only occurs, through the quantity $\left(q^{-1} \Delta q\right)$ ik; $A$ in the A-condition equation. From (5.33) it becomes clear that the scalar component of the A-condition equation has been fulfilled identically :
$\operatorname{Sc}\left\{\Delta A_{a \ldots i}\right\} \equiv 0$.
If $e^{\prime} \stackrel{(r)}{i k}=0+i A+j B+k C$, the three vector components of the $A$-condition equation are :

$$
\begin{aligned}
& V_{i}\left\{\underline{\Delta A}_{a \ldots i}\right\}=A \sin 3_{i k}\left[-\Delta A_{2}+\Delta r_{i k}+\Delta \theta_{i}\right]+\sum_{m, n} f_{m n} \Delta Z_{m n} \\
& V_{j}\left\{\underline{\left.\Delta A_{a} \ldots i\right\}}=B \sin J_{i k}\left[-\Delta A_{2}+\Delta r_{i k}+\Delta \theta_{i}\right]+\sum_{m, n} g_{m n} \underline{\Delta Z}_{m n}\right. \\
& V k\left\{\Delta A_{a \ldots i}\right\}=C \sin 3 i k\left[-\Delta A_{2}+\Delta r_{i k}+\Delta \theta_{i}\right]+\sum_{m, n} h_{m n} \Delta \underline{Z}_{m n} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Between these equations there are two dependencies :
( $A$ and $B \approx 0 ; C \approx-1$ )

$$
\left.\begin{array}{l}
V_{i}\left\{\Delta A_{a \ldots i}\right\}=\frac{A}{C} V k\left\{\Delta A_{a \ldots i}\right\}+\sum_{m, n}\left[f_{m n}-\frac{A}{C} h_{m n}\right] \Delta Z_{m n} .  \tag{5.34}\\
V_{j}\left\{\Delta A_{a \ldots i}\right\}=\frac{B}{C} V k\left\{\Delta A_{a \ldots i}\right\}+\sum_{m, n}\left[g_{m n}-\frac{B}{C} h_{m n}\right] \Delta Z_{m n} .
\end{array}\right\}
$$

Only one of the three components of the A-condition equation is, therefore, independent : the azimuths only have large coefficients in the $k$-component. If the network is approximately plane, then :

$$
\Delta \theta_{i} \approx \Delta A_{i}+\Delta \underline{\alpha}_{b}+\cdots+\Delta \alpha_{j}-\Delta r_{i j} .
$$

and the $h_{m n}$ are $\approx 0 ; \operatorname{Vk}\left\{e^{\prime}\right\} \approx-1$; therefore :

$$
\begin{equation*}
V k\left\{\Delta A_{a \ldots i}\right\}=-\Delta A_{2}+\Delta A_{1}+\Delta \alpha_{b}+\cdots+\Delta \sigma_{j}+\Delta \alpha_{i} \tag{5.35}
\end{equation*}
$$

### 5.4.4

The scalar component of the coordinate conditions.
We now consider the network condition $N_{(n)}$, see (5.24) ; after splitting up
the $\Delta T$-quantities, this becomes :

$$
\begin{array}{r}
\Delta N_{(n)}=q_{1 n}\left[-\left(q^{-1} \Delta q\right)_{1 n}+\left(q^{-1} \Delta q\right)_{12}\right]+q_{2 n}\left[-\left(q^{-1} \Delta q\right)_{21}+\left(q^{-1} \Delta q\right)_{23}\right]+\cdots \\
\cdots \cdot+q_{n-1, n}\left[-\left(q^{-1} \Delta q\right)_{n-1, n-2}+\left(q^{-1} \Delta q\right)_{n-1, n}\right] .
\end{array}
$$

In using $q_{2 n}=q_{21}+q_{1 n}$ etc., the following arrangement of the terms
obtained :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\underline{N}_{(n)}=\underbrace{}_{\underset{\downarrow}{ }=-\underbrace{-\Delta q_{i n}-\Delta q_{21}-\cdots-\Delta q_{n-1, n-2}+\Delta q_{n-1, n}}_{b}+\underbrace{\sum_{i=1}^{n-2} q_{i n}\left[\left(q^{-1} \Delta q\right)_{i, i n}-\left(q^{-1} \Delta q\right)_{i+1, i}\right]}_{\downarrow} .} . \tag{5.36}
\end{equation*}
$$
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Of the "a-terms", the scalar component equals zero :
a: $\operatorname{Sc}\left\{\Delta q_{i, i^{\prime}}\right\}=0$.
Of the "b-terms" the scalar part is :
$\operatorname{Sc}\left\{q_{i n}\left[\left(q^{-1} \Delta q\right)_{i, i+1}\left(q^{-1} \Delta q\right)_{i+1, i}\right]=0 \operatorname{Sc}\{[]\}-x_{i n} V_{i}\{[]\}-y_{i n} V_{j}\{[]\}-z_{i n} V k\{[]\}\right.$.
Because side $P_{n} P_{1}$ is absent from the b-terms, (5.27) applies in all the b-terms, thus :
b: $\quad \operatorname{Sc}\left\{q_{i n}\left[\left(q^{-1} \Delta q\right)_{i, i+1}-\left(q^{-1} \Delta q\right)_{i+1, i}\right]=\left[-x_{i n} f_{i, i+1}-y_{i n} g_{i, i+1}-z_{i n} h_{i, i+1}\right] \Delta Z_{i, i+1}=\right.$ Suppose $=\boldsymbol{w}_{n, i, i+1} \Delta Z_{i, i+1}$.

The scalar component of the coordinate condition equation is consequently dependent on n - 2 of the 2 - condition equations:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Sc}\left\{\Delta N_{(n)}\right\}=w_{n, 2} \underline{\Delta Z}_{12}+w_{n 23} \underline{\Delta} \underline{Z}_{23}+\cdots+w_{n, n-2, n-1} \Delta \underline{Z}_{n-2, n-1} . \tag{5.37}
\end{equation*}
$$

In the same way, the coordinate condition equation $N_{(1)}$ can be rewritten as :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\underline{\Delta N}_{(1)}=-\Delta g_{21}-\Delta q_{32}-\cdots-\Delta q_{1, n-1}+\Delta q_{n, 1}+\underbrace{\sum_{i=2}^{n-1} q_{i 1}\left[\left(q^{-1} \Delta q\right)_{i+1,1}-\left(q^{-1} \Delta q\right)_{i t, i}\right]} . \tag{5.38}
\end{equation*}
$$

Also here, side $P_{r} P_{1}$ is absent from the "b-terms" : thus (5.27) can be used again, and (5.38) becomes :


$$
\begin{equation*}
S c\left\{\Delta \underline{N}_{(1)}\right\}=w_{123} \Delta \underline{Z}_{23}+w_{134} \Delta Z_{34}+\cdots+w_{1, n-1, n} \Delta Z_{n-1, n} \tag{5.39}
\end{equation*}
$$

### 5.4.5

The k-component of the coordinate condition
Subsequently, we subtract (5.38) from (5.36) :

$$
\begin{align*}
\Delta N_{(n)}-\Delta N_{(1)}= & -\Delta q_{1 n}+\Delta q_{n-1, n}+ \\
& \underbrace{-\left[\Delta q_{n 1}-\Delta g_{n, n-1}\right]}_{\begin{array}{c}
\text { "a-terms" } \\
\text { (the other a-terms cancel each other). }
\end{array}}-\underbrace{}_{i n} q_{i=2}^{n-2}\left[\left(q^{-1} \Delta q\right)_{i, i+1}-\left(q^{-1} \Delta q\right)_{i+1, i}\right] \tag{5.40}
\end{align*}+
$$

The k-components of the "b-terms" are : see (5.27) :

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \operatorname{Vk}\left\{q_{i n}\left[\left(q^{-1} \triangle q\right)_{i, i+1}-\left(q^{-1} \Delta g\right)_{i+1, i}\right]\right\}=\left[x_{i n} g_{i, i+1}-y_{i n} f_{i, i+1}\right] \Delta Z_{i, i+1}= \\
& \text { Suppose }:=V_{n, i, i+1} \Delta Z_{i, i+1} . \\
& \operatorname{Vk}\left\{q_{i 1}\left[\left(q^{-1} \Delta q\right)_{i, i+1}-\left(q^{-1} \Delta q\right)_{i+1, i}\right]\right\}=V_{1, i, i+1} \Delta Z \\
& i, i+1
\end{aligned} .
$$

Thus, the $k$-component of $(5.40)$ becomes :

$$
\begin{gathered}
V k\left\{\Delta N_{(n)}-\Delta \underline{N}_{(1)}\right\}=-\Delta Z_{n 1}+\Delta Z_{n-1, n}+V_{n, 2} \Delta Z_{12}+\cdots+v_{n, n-1, n-2} \Delta Z_{n-1, n-2}+ \\
-V_{123} \underline{Z}_{23}-\cdots-v_{1, n-1, n} \underline{\Delta Z_{n-1, n}} .
\end{gathered}
$$

therefore :

$$
\begin{align*}
\operatorname{Vk}\left\{\underline{N}_{(n)}-\Delta N_{(1)}\right\}=- & \underline{Z}_{n 1}+V_{n 12} \underline{\Delta Z}_{12}+\left[V_{n 23}-V_{123}\right] \Delta Z_{23}+\cdots  \tag{5.41}\\
& \cdots+\left[V_{n, n-2, n-1}-V_{1, n-2, n-1}\right] \Delta Z_{n-2, n-1}+\left[1-V_{1, n-1, n}\right] \Delta Z_{n-1, n}
\end{align*}
$$

There is, consequently, a dependency between the k-components of the two coordinate condition equations and all Z-condition equations.
5.4.6

The NN and the NV-model; rank of the system.
Formula (5.29) signifies, as alrealy concluded in (5.23), that in a fully measured closed polygon, there are either two independent coordinate conditions or one coordinate and one polygon condition. Consequently we can specify two condition models :

| NN-model | number of <br> components | NV-model | number of <br> components |
| :--- | :---: | :--- | :---: |
| 2 network conditions | 8 | 1 network condition | 4 |
| n Z-conditions | $n$ | 1 polygon condition | 4 |
| 1 R-condition | 4 | $n$ | Z-condition |
|  | $n+12$ |  | $n$ |
|  |  |  | 4 |

In this table the azimuth conditions, if any, have been left out of conside_ ration : in fact, the added azimuths occur, according to (5.33), exclusively in the azimuth conditions. These are therefore, at any rate, independent of the other conditions, and therefore do not play a part in an analysis of dependencies between conditions.

In the preceding sections, the following six dependencies have been found :
(5.31): $\operatorname{Sc}\{\Delta R\} \equiv 0$
(5.31): $\operatorname{Vi}\{\Delta R\}$ and $\operatorname{Vk}\{\Delta R\}$
(5.31) : $V j\{\Delta R\}$ and $V k\{\Delta R\}$
(5.37): $S c\{\Delta N(n)\}$ and $n-2 \Delta Z^{\prime} s$
(5.39)
(5.41): $\operatorname{Vk}\left\{\Delta N_{(n)}\right\}$ and $V k\left\{\Delta N_{(1)}\right\}$ and $\Delta Z_{n 1}$
$\left.\begin{array}{l}1 \\ 2 \\ 3 \\ 4 \\ 5 \\ 6\end{array}\right\}$

Note : the latter only applies in the $N N$-model, owing to the existence of two coordinate conditions.

If, in this case too, we assume, by way of caution, that dependencies have been overlooked, this implies an upper limit of the rank of the $N N$-model :

```
\(b \leqslant n+12-6\)
    (5.42) (5.43)
```

Because, however, in (4.51), a lower limit was found :
$b \geqslant n+6$
we now arrive at the conclusion :

$$
\begin{align*}
& b=n+6  \tag{5.44}\\
& \text { Specification of observations : }(4.48) \text {. }
\end{align*}
$$

## The NV-model

The NV-model too, must contain six dependencies. The dependencies (5.43/1, $2,3,4$ ) apply to both the NN and to the NV-model. The remaining two dependencies can be derived from those of the NN-model with the aid of (5.29). We write the dependencies (5.43/5 and 6) of the NN-model, as equations with the misclosures as variables, in the form of a matrix :

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \begin{array}{l}
\left(5.43^{5}\right) \rightarrow\binom{0}{\left(5.43^{6}\right) \rightarrow}=\left[\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccc}
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & w_{123} \cdots \cdots w_{1, n-2, n-1} & w_{1, n-1, n} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & v_{n 12}\left[v_{n 23}-v_{123}\right] \cdots\left[v_{n, n-2, n-1}-v_{1, n-2, n-1}\right]\left[1-v_{1, n-1, n}\right] & -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0
\end{array}\right) . . . . ~ . ~ . ~
\end{array}
\end{aligned}
$$

> To this we add (5.29), also in the form of a matrix :
> let: $q_{n 1}=0+i x+j y+k z:$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& (\underbrace{\underline{S_{c} \underline{V_{i}} \underline{V_{j}} \underline{V k}}}_{\Delta N_{(n)}} \underbrace{\underline{S c} \underline{V_{i}} \underline{V_{j}} \quad \underline{V k}}_{\underline{\Delta N}(1)} \underbrace{\Delta Z_{12} \cdots \Delta Z_{n 1}}_{12} \underbrace{\underbrace{S_{c}}_{c} \underline{V_{i}} \underline{V_{j}} \quad \underline{V_{k}}}_{\underline{\Delta V_{1} \ldots n}})^{*}
\end{aligned}
$$

From the system of six equations thus obtained, the four components of $\Delta N(1)$ can be eliminated ; this leads to the two equations (dependencies) sought between the conditions of the NV-model :

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left(5.43^{5}\right)-\left(5.21^{1}\right) \rightarrow \\
& 0=-S c\{\underline{\underline{N}}(n)\}+w_{123} \Delta Z_{23}+\cdots \cdots+w_{1, n-1, n} \underline{\Delta Z_{n-1, n}}+x_{n 1} V i\left\{\underline{V}_{1 \ldots n}\right\}+ \\
& +y_{m 1} V_{j}\left\{\underline{\Delta}_{1 \ldots n}\right\}+z_{n 1} V k\left\{\underline{V}_{1 \ldots n}\right\} .  \tag{5.46}\\
& \left(5.43^{6}\right)+\left(5.21^{4}\right) \rightarrow \\
& 0=V_{n 12} \Delta \underline{Z}_{12}+\left[v_{n 25}-V_{123}\right] \Delta \underline{Z}_{23}+\cdots+\left[v_{n, n-2, n-4}-v_{1, n-2, n-1}\right] \Delta Z_{n-2, n-1}+ \\
& +\left[1-V_{1, n-1, n}\right] \Delta Z_{n-1, n}-\underline{\Delta Z}_{n 1}+Z_{n 1} \operatorname{Sc}\left\{\underline{\underline{V}}_{1 \ldots n}\right\}-y_{n 1} V i\left\{\Delta \underline{V}_{1 \ldots n}\right\}+x_{n 1} V_{j}\left\{\underline{V}_{1 \ldots,}\right\} \tag{5.47}
\end{align*}
$$

The overall system of dependencies within the NV-model thus consists of (5.43/1, 2, 3, 4) and (5.46), (5.47). Here, the $1, j$ and $k$-components of the coordinate condition do not occur, whereas all four components of the polygon condition are present. This implies that the coordinate condition rather takes a position of its own. This substantiates for spatial networks Baarda's conclusion (see section 4.5 of [2] ) that the coordinate condition "is the most fundamental condition".
5.4.7

Selection of conditions.
From the condition models $N N$ and NV, six conditions must be eliminated. As a general criterion for this choice it applies that the matrix of weight coefficients of the remaining misclosures, ( $g^{\rho \tau}$ ) in the terminology of
[4], must be as orthogonal as possible. From this requirement follows that those misclosures that have the largest coefficients in the dependency equations $(5.31,5.37,5.39,5.41$ and 5.47 ) are those to be considered above all for elimination, because otherwise, the diagonal elements of ( $g^{\rho \tau}$ ) would become very small.

As far as the R-condition is concerned, these are :
$V_{i}\left\{\underline{\Delta R}_{i \ldots i}\right\}$
$V_{j}\left\{\underline{\Delta R}_{i \ldots i}\right\}$

Moreover Sc $\left\{\Delta \mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{i}} . . \mathrm{i}\right\}$ is deleted.
The Z -conditions have been introduced on account of the reciprocal measurement of zenith angles on the network sides ; the number of 2-conditions is therefore equal to the number of sides and it also reoccurs in the rank of the overall condition model. In principle, the elimination of one (or more) of the Z -conditions is possible, but this would lead to a less balanced structure of the condition model. Consequently, we can also eliminate from the NN -model :
see (5.37): Sc $\{\Delta \underline{N}(n)\}$
see (5.39): $\operatorname{Sc}\left\{\Delta \mathrm{N}_{(1)}\right\}$
see (5.41): $\operatorname{Vk}\{\Delta \underline{N}(n)\}$ or $V k\left\{\Delta N_{(1)}\right\}$
Thus, the specification of the $N N$-model becomes :


In addition to three components of $\Delta R$, in principle Sc $\left\{\Delta N_{(n)}\right\}$ and each of the four components of $\Delta V$ can be eliminated from the $\overline{N V}$ condition model. However, $\operatorname{Sc}\{\Delta V\}$ and $V k \widehat{\Delta V}\}$ have small coefficients in (5.47) and (5.46) respectively and are thus less suitable for being eliminated. Therefore, we eliminate $: \operatorname{Sc}\left\{\Delta N_{(n)}\right\}, \operatorname{Vi}\{\Delta V\}$ and $V_{j}\{\Delta V\}=$


This leads to complete agreement with the NV-model of the two-dimensional polygon theory :

- the coordinate condition there, is composed of two, and here of three "vector" components,
- the polygon condition in the two-dimensional model reads :

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \operatorname{Re}\{\underline{\Delta V}\}=\sum^{n} \underline{\Delta \ln V_{j i k}} \\
& \operatorname{Im}\{\underline{\Delta V}\}=i \sum^{n}\left[\underline{\Delta r_{i k}}-\Delta r_{i j}\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

and, in the three-dimensional model :

$$
\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{Sc}\{\underline{V}]=\sum^{n} \Delta \ln V_{j i k} \\
V k\{\Delta V\}=\sum^{n}\left[a_{1} \Delta r_{i k}-a_{2} \Delta r_{i j}+\right.\text { terms with small coefficients....] } \\
a_{1} \approx 1 \\
a_{2} \approx 1
\end{aligned}
$$

(the R- and Z-conditions do not occur in the two-dimensional theory).

### 5.5 Modification of the starting points.

5.5.1

Deviation from (5.8)
In the preceeding sections, we have started from the fact that (5.27) applies, viz. that one side $P_{1} P_{n}$ is not used for the computation of orientations and length factors and that, moreover, those coordinate conditions are chosen, in which the $\mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{Q}}$-quantities (or: $\Delta \pi$-quantities) of $P_{n}$ and $P_{1}$ are absent. What are the consequences for the system dependencies, if we depart from this ? The number of possibilities amounts to $n^{3}(n-1)$, ie. even in a triangle there are as many as 54 !
location of $N(a): n$
location of $N(b): n-1$
location of $\theta^{\circ}$ : $n$
location of $\bar{\lambda}^{0}$ : $n$
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We should, therefore, restrict ourselves to the discussion of one single example :
Assume : the side $P_{n} P_{1}$ is used for the computation of the orientations. This means that one of the other sides, $P_{1} P_{m}$, does not comply with $(3.42)^{\text {II }}$, but with $(3.42)^{\text {III }}$ :

$$
\left(q^{-1} \Delta q\right)_{l m}-\left(q^{-1} \Delta q\right)_{m l}=\Delta{\underline{\ln } \bar{\lambda}_{l}}_{l}+\Delta \underline{l n} l_{m}-\Delta \ln _{m}-\Delta \overline{\ln }_{m l}+e_{m^{\prime} ; l_{m}}^{\prime \prime}\left[\Delta \underline{I}_{l_{m}}^{m^{\prime}}+\Delta J_{m l}\right] .
$$

However, now :

$$
\Delta \underline{\ln }_{l}=\Delta \underline{\ln }_{m}+\left[\Delta \underline{\ln }^{m, m+1},-\Delta \ln \underline{s}_{m+1, m}\right]+\cdots+\left[\Delta \underline{\ln } \underline{l}_{1,1, l}-\Delta \underline{\ln }_{l, l-1}\right] .
$$

So :

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left(q^{-1} \Delta q\right)_{l_{m}}-\left(q^{-1} \Delta q\right)_{m l} & =S c\{\Delta V\}+e_{m^{\prime} ; l m}^{\prime \prime}\left[\Delta \underline{I}_{l_{m}}^{m^{\prime}}+\Delta \Xi_{m l}\right]= \\
& =S c\{\Delta V\}+\left[0+i f_{l m}+j g_{l_{m}}+k h_{l_{m}}\right] \Delta Z_{l_{m}}
\end{aligned}
$$

The vector components of $\left[\left(q^{-1} \Delta q\right)_{l_{m}}-\left(q^{-1} \Delta q\right)_{m l}\right]$ thus remain unmodified, but the scalar component was $=0$ and now becomes : Sc $\{\Delta V\}$. With this, the dependency relations can now be adapted.

In the NN model :
(5.37) and (5.39) remain unchanged, since there only the vector components of $\left(q^{-4} \Delta q\right)_{i k}-\left(q^{-1} \Delta q\right)_{k i}$ occur ; however, (5.41) changes now :

$$
\begin{aligned}
& V k\left\{q \ell_{n}\left[\left(q^{-1} \Delta q\right)_{\ell_{m}}-\left(q^{-1} \Delta q\right)_{m \ell}\right]\right\}=z_{\ell_{n}} \operatorname{Sc}\{\Delta V\}+V_{n \ell_{m}} \Delta \underline{Z_{l}} \\
& V k\left\{q_{\ell_{1}}\left[\left(q^{-1} \Delta q\right)_{\ell_{m}}-\left(q^{-1} \Delta q\right)_{m l}\right]\right\}=z_{\ell_{1}} \operatorname{Sc}\{\underline{\Delta V}\}+V_{1 \ell_{m}} \Delta Z_{\ell_{m}}
\end{aligned}
$$

Consequently (5.41) becomes :

$$
\begin{equation*}
V k\left\{\Delta N_{(n)}-\Delta N_{(1)}\right\}=\left[z_{l_{n}}-z_{l_{1}}\right] S c\{\underline{\Delta V}\}+\{\text { the terms as stated in (5.41) }\} \tag{5.50}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here we must replace $S c\{\Delta V\}$, which does not occur in the NN-model, by means of (5.29) by :

$$
\begin{aligned}
S_{c}\left\{\underline{\Delta V}_{1 \ldots n}\right\} & =\operatorname{Sc}\left\{\left[\underline{\Delta N}(n)-\Delta N_{(1)}\right] q_{n 1}^{-1}\right\}= \\
& =\frac{-x_{n 1}}{l_{n 1}^{2}} V_{i}\{\underline{\Delta N}(n)-\underline{\Delta N}(1)\}-\frac{y_{n 1}}{l_{n 1}^{2}} V_{j}\left\{\Delta N_{(n)}-\frac{\left.\Delta N_{(1)}\right\}-\frac{z_{n 1}}{l_{n 1}^{2}} V k\left\{\underline{\Delta} N_{(n)}-\underline{\Delta N}(1)\right\}}{} .\right.
\end{aligned}
$$

in the NV-model: because (5.41) passes into (5.50), (5.47) passes into:

$$
0=\{\text { the terms stated in }(5.47)\}+\left[z_{l_{n}}-z_{l_{1}}\right] S c\left\{\Delta V_{1 \ldots n}\right\}
$$

5.5 .2

Other types of observation variates

- Pseudo distances.

From (5.25') it becomes apparent that, as in the polygon theory in the complex plane, the scalar component of the $V$-condition has been fulfilled identically; So:

Pseudo distances $\rightarrow$ Sc $\{\Delta V\} \equiv 0$

- Astronomical longitudes.

From (5.30) it becomes apparent that the same applies to the R-condition if, instead of astronomical differences of longitude, longitudes are measured:
astronomical longitudes $\rightarrow \Delta R \equiv 0$
Astronomical differences of latitude
When differences of latitude $\varphi$ Qik are measured this does not lead to the creation of an additional condition, as already shown by (5.30). A condition of differences of "latitude"
$\Sigma_{\underline{\varphi}_{i k}}=0$
would only arise, if all the differences of longitude $\lambda_{i k}=0$
5.3 .3

More loops.
We apply the specification of observation variates (4.48) to a network, composed from more than one loop, which have all been fully measured.
So as to determine the number of observation variates, $m$, the number of sides $z$ must be introduced in addition to the number of points $n$. (4.48) then passes into:


According to (4.52), the number of unknowns, m - b only remains dependent on the number of points $n$.

In polygon networks there is a relation between the numbers of :

```
points : n
sides : z
loops : k
```

since, by extending a network by one loop, with p new points, the number of sides is increased by $p+1$ :
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> n points
$z$ sides

$$
\left.\begin{array}{l}
n_{k+1}=n_{k}+p  \tag{5.53}\\
z_{k+1}=z_{k}+p+1
\end{array}\right\} \rightarrow(z-n)_{k+1}=(z-n)_{k}+1
$$

In a network consisting of one loop, the number of sides is equal to the number of points, therefore : $(z-n)_{1}=0$, therefore, with (5.53):

$$
(z-n)_{2}=1 ; \quad(z-n)_{3}=2 ; \text { etc. }
$$

or:

$$
\begin{equation*}
(z-n)_{k}=k-1 \tag{5.54}
\end{equation*}
$$

or : $\quad n=z-k+1$
Herewith (5.51) becomes :

$$
\begin{aligned}
& m=8 z-k+2 \\
& (5.52): \\
& m-b=7 z-7 k+2 \rightarrow b=m-7 z+7 k-2 ;
\end{aligned}
$$

hence : $b=z+6 k$
(this agrees with (5.44) for one loop : $k=1, z \rightarrow n$ ).
The condition models NN and NV comprise $z$ pieces of $Z$-conditions. (they occur per side and not per point !) In view of (5.54) each loop contains 6 pieces of the other types of conditions, also in a polygon network consisting of more than one loop.

### 5.6 The adjustment model of observation equations.

## 5.6 .1 <br> Unknowns

In (5.44) was demonstrated that the rank of the condition model is :

$$
\mathrm{b}=\mathrm{n}+6
$$

in a closed polygon with $n$ points, when there are $8 n+1$ observation variates according to (4.48).

This means that there are $7 \mathrm{n}-5$ unknowns $Y^{o r}$ :

| $Y^{\alpha} ; \alpha=1 \cdots \cdot 7^{n-5}$ |
| :--- |
| "unknowns", see (4.52). |

The coordinates are $3 n-7$ S-coordinates in the ( $R$ )-system, in accordance with (4.35). They may be introduced in observation equations by means of the quaternions :

$$
\begin{align*}
& \tilde{q}_{i k}^{(R)}=0+i\left[\widetilde{X}_{k}^{R}-\widetilde{X}_{i}^{R}\right]+j\left[\widetilde{Y}_{k}^{R}-\widetilde{Y}_{i}^{R}\right]+k\left[\widetilde{Z}_{k}^{R}-\widetilde{Z}_{i}^{R}\right] .  \tag{5.59}\\
& \widetilde{X}_{i}^{R}, \widetilde{Y}_{i}^{R}, \tilde{Z}_{i}^{R}: 3 n-7 \text { "S-coordinates" (means). }
\end{align*}
$$

The astronomical latitudes $\varphi_{i}$ and differences in longitude $\lambda_{i k}$ are both observation variate and unknown. Together with the observation unknowns $\theta_{i}$, they constitute rotation quaternions $p_{r i}$ :

$$
\left.\begin{array}{rl}
\widetilde{p}_{r i}=p_{r i}\left(\widetilde{\theta}_{r}, \widetilde{\varphi}_{r}, \widetilde{\lambda}_{r \ldots i}, \widetilde{\varphi}_{i}, \widetilde{\Theta}_{i}\right) \\
& n \text { orientation unknowns }  \tag{5.60}\\
& n \text { latitude unknowns } \\
& n-1 \text { longitude unknowns }
\end{array}\right\} 3 n-1
$$

The relation with the (R)-system is established by the basis transformation $\mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{Rr}}$; see (4.39) :

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \widetilde{\bar{X}}_{R r} \\
& \widetilde{\mathrm{P}}_{R r}=\widetilde{S}_{R r}+i \widetilde{\mathrm{I}}_{R r}+j \widetilde{J}_{R r}+k \widetilde{K}_{R r} .
\end{aligned}
$$

According to (1.32), here one component depends on the three others ; let :

$$
\begin{align*}
& \widetilde{P}_{R r}=\sqrt{1-\widetilde{I}_{R r}^{2}-\widetilde{J}_{R r}^{2}-\widetilde{K}_{R r}^{2}}+i \widetilde{I}_{R r}+j \widetilde{J}_{R r}+k \widetilde{K}_{R r} .  \tag{5.61}\\
& \widetilde{X}_{R r}, \widetilde{I}_{R r}, \widetilde{J}_{R r}, \widetilde{k}_{R r}: 4 \text { unknowns (means) }
\end{align*}
$$

The length factors $\bar{\lambda}_{i k}$ are quotients of the instrumental length units, see (2.13) :

$$
\begin{align*}
& \bar{\lambda}_{i k}=\frac{\bar{\lambda}_{k}}{\lambda_{i}}=\frac{\text { length unit in } P_{k}}{\text { length unit in } P_{i}}  \tag{5.62}\\
& \widetilde{\bar{\lambda}}_{i k}: n-1 \text { unknowns. (means) }
\end{align*}
$$

According to $\{5.59$ ), (5.60), (5.61) and (5.62) there is therefore a total number of :

| $(5.5)$ | $3 n-7$ |
| :--- | ---: |
| $(5.60)$ | $3 n-1$ |
| $(5.61)$ | 4 |
| $(5.62)$ | $\frac{n-1}{7 n-5}$ unknowns |

### 5.6.2

Observation equations.
Distance measures, directions and zenith angles occur jointly per measured side of a network in the vector quaternion :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tilde{q}_{i k}^{(i)}=0+i \widetilde{s}_{i k} \cos \tilde{r}_{i k} \sin \tilde{\zeta}_{i k}+j \widetilde{s}_{i k} \sin \tilde{T}_{i k} \sin \tilde{J}_{i k}-k \widetilde{s}_{i k} \cos \tilde{J}_{i k} . \tag{5.63}
\end{equation*}
$$

For each network side we can now establish an equation in which the observation variates $\underline{X}^{i}$ and the unknowns $\underline{Y}^{\alpha}$ occur together :
or, bringing all factors with $\tilde{\mathrm{Y}}^{\alpha}$-quantities in the right-hand member :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tilde{q}_{i k}^{(i)}=\frac{1}{\widetilde{\lambda}_{R r} \widetilde{\lambda}_{r i}} \tilde{p}_{r i}^{-1} \tilde{p}_{R r}^{-1} \tilde{q}_{i k}^{(R)} \widetilde{p}_{R r} \tilde{p}_{r i} \tag{5.64}
\end{equation*}
$$

The $i, j$ and $k$-components of the left-hand member are functions of the three observation variates $s_{i k}, r_{i k}, J_{i k} ;$ see (5.63). In order to obtain observation equations for these observation variates, we solve these as follows :

$$
\begin{align*}
\ln s_{i k} & =\ln \sqrt{V_{i} V_{i}+V_{j} V_{j}+V_{k} V_{k}} \\
r_{i k} & =\arctan \frac{V_{j}}{V_{i}}+n \pi ; n=0\left|V_{i}>0 ; n=1\right| V_{i}<0  \tag{5.65}\\
J_{i k} & =\operatorname{arccot} \frac{-V_{k}}{\sqrt{V_{i} V_{i}+V_{j} V_{j}}} .
\end{align*}
$$

According to (5.5) approximate values $X_{o}^{\mathbf{i}}$ can now be computed from approximate values $Y_{0}^{\alpha}$ via (5.64) and (5.65).
Observation equations (5.7) are obtained by differentiating (5.64) and (5.65).
(5.65) gives, after substitution of polar coordinates in the coefficients and whilst adding $\operatorname{Sc}\left\{\underline{\Delta q}_{i k}\right\}=0:$ (in the left hand member $\underline{s}_{i k}=\underline{s}_{i k}+\underline{\varepsilon}$, etc.)

The difference equation of (5.64) reads :

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \Delta q_{i k}^{(i)}=-q_{i k}^{(i)}\left[\Delta \underline{\left.\ln \bar{\lambda}_{R r}+\Delta \ln \bar{\lambda}_{r i}\right]}-\left[p_{r i}^{-1}\left(p^{-1} \Delta p\right)_{R r} p_{r i}+\left(p^{-1} \Delta p\right)_{r i}^{(i)}\right] q_{i k}^{(i)}+\right. \\
&+q_{i k}^{(i)}[ \\
&+\frac{1}{\lambda_{R r} \lambda_{r i}} p_{r i}^{-1} p_{R r}^{-1} \Delta g_{i k}^{(R)} p_{R r} p_{r i} .
\end{aligned}
$$

In isomorphic matrices this reads :

$$
\begin{aligned}
& +\frac{1}{\bar{\lambda}_{R r} \bar{\lambda}_{r i}}\left(P_{r i}\right)^{-1}\left(P_{R r}\right)^{-1}\left(\overrightarrow{\Delta g}_{i k}^{(R)}\right) \text {. } \\
& \text { Here : }
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left(-q_{i k}^{(i)}\right)=\left(\begin{array}{lll}
0 \\
-s_{i k} \cos r_{i k} & \sin 3_{i k} \\
-s_{i k} \sin r_{i k} & \sin 3_{i k} \\
s_{i k} \cos J_{i k}
\end{array}\right) \\
& \left(q_{i k}^{(i)}\right)-\left(\overline{q_{i k}^{(i)}}\right)=s_{i k}\left(\begin{array}{llll}
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 2 \cos J_{i k} & 2 \sin r_{i k} \sin J_{i k} \\
0 & -2 \cos J_{i k} & 0 & -2 \cos r_{i k} \sin J_{i k} \\
0 & -2 \sin r_{i k} \sin J_{i k} & 2 \cos r_{i k} \sin 3_{i k} & 0
\end{array}\right) \tag{5.67}
\end{align*}
$$

(5.61) furnishes :

$$
\left(\overrightarrow{P^{-1} \Delta P}\right)_{R r}=\underbrace{\left(\begin{array}{lll}
0 & 0 & 0  \tag{5.68}\\
S_{R r}^{2} \\
S_{R r}^{2}+I_{R r}^{2} & S_{R r} k_{R r}+I_{R r} J_{R r} & -S_{R r} J_{R r}+I_{R r} k_{R r} \\
-S_{R r} K_{R r}+I_{R r} J_{R r} & S_{R r}^{2}+J_{R r}^{2} & S_{R r} I_{R r}+J_{R r} k_{R r} \\
S_{R r} J_{R r}+I_{R r} K_{R r} & -S_{R r} I_{R r}+J_{R r} K_{R r} & S_{R r}^{2}+K_{R r}^{2}
\end{array}\right)}_{\text {Suppose }:=\left(T_{R r}\right) .}\left(\begin{array}{l}
\Delta I_{R r} \\
\Delta J_{R r} \\
\Delta k_{R r}
\end{array}\right)
$$

The substitution of (5.67), add (5.68) in the right-hand member of (5.66) now gives the observation equations :

$$
\begin{aligned}
& +\frac{1}{\bar{\lambda}_{R r} \bar{\lambda}_{r i} S_{i k}}\left(\begin{array}{llll}
0 & \cos r \sin J & \sin r \sin J & -\cos J \\
0 & \frac{-\sin r}{\sin 3} & \frac{\cos r}{\sin 3} & 0 \\
0 & \cos r \cos 3 & \sin r \cos J & \sin J
\end{array}\right)_{i k}\left(P_{r i}\right)^{-1}\left(P_{R r}\right)^{-1}\left(\begin{array}{l}
0 \\
\Delta X_{k}^{R}-\underline{\Delta X}_{i}^{R} \\
\Delta Y_{k}^{R}-\underline{\Delta Y}_{i}^{R} \\
\Delta Z_{k}^{R}-\frac{\Delta Z_{i}^{R}}{\theta_{i}}
\end{array}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

This is the most general form of observation equations for distancs measures, directions and zenith angles.

Furthermore : $\bar{\lambda}_{R r} \bar{\lambda}_{r i} \underline{S}_{i k}$ is the length of the side $P_{i} P_{k}$ in the R-system, to be designated by :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\ell_{i k}=\bar{\lambda}_{k r} \bar{\lambda}_{r i} s_{i k} \tag{5.70}
\end{equation*}
$$

We shall now work out (5.69) for the case in which the unit vectors of the (R)-system are parallel to those of the (r)-system. thus for approximate values :

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left.\begin{array}{l}
S_{R r}^{0}=1 . \\
I_{R r}^{0}=J_{R r}^{0}=k_{R r}^{0}=0 .
\end{array}\right\} \rightarrow \begin{array}{l}
i^{R} / / i^{R} \\
j^{R} / / j^{R} \\
k^{R} / / k^{R}
\end{array} \\
& \bar{\lambda}_{R r}^{0}=1 \quad \rightarrow \quad \text { length unit }(R)=\text { length unit }(r) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Then (5.68) becomes :

$$
\underset{(p-1 p}{\rightarrow-\Delta p})_{R r}=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
0 & 0 & 0  \tag{5.71}\\
1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 1 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 1
\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{l}
\Delta I_{R r} \\
\Delta J_{R r} \\
\Delta K_{R r}
\end{array}\right)=\left(\begin{array}{c}
0 \\
\Delta I_{R r} \\
\Delta J_{R r} \\
\Delta K_{R r}
\end{array}\right)
$$

and :

$$
\left(P_{R r}\right)=\left(\begin{array}{llll}
1 & 0 & 0 & 0  \tag{5.71}\\
0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0
\end{array}\right)
$$

Assume further :

$$
\left(P_{r i}\right)^{-1}=\left(\begin{array}{llll}
1 & 0 & 0 & 0  \tag{5.72}\\
0 & A & B & C \\
0 & D & E & F \\
0 & G & H & I
\end{array}\right) \quad \begin{aligned}
& \text { Symbolic notation for (1.72); } \\
& \text { A,B,C,D,E,F,G,H,I constitute } \\
& \text { an orthogonal matrix }
\end{aligned}
$$

According to (3.7), the terms with $\left(p^{-1} \Delta p\right)_{r i}$ can be expressed in differences $\Delta \theta_{r}, \Delta \varphi_{r}, \Delta \ln \lambda r_{\cdots i}, \Delta \varphi_{i}$ and $\Delta \theta_{i}$.
Substitution of (5.70), (5.71), (5.72) and (3.7) in (5.69) now gives the observation equations :

$$
\begin{align*}
& \Delta \underline{\ln }_{i k}=-\Delta \underline{\ln }_{\underline{\lambda}} \overline{r r}-\Delta{\underline{\ln } \bar{\lambda}_{r i}+} \\
& +\frac{1}{\ell_{i k}}\left[\cos r_{i k} \sin 3_{i k} A+\sin r_{i k} \sin 3_{i k} D-\cos J_{i k} G\right] \Delta x_{i k}+  \tag{5.73}\\
& +\frac{1}{\ell_{i k}}\left[\quad B \quad " \quad E \quad H \quad \Delta Y_{i k}+\right. \\
& +\frac{1}{\ell_{i k}}[\quad C \quad, \quad F \quad, \quad I] \underline{\Delta Z}_{i k} \text {. } \\
& \Delta r_{i k}=2\left[\frac{-\cos r \cos 3}{\sin 5} A-\frac{\sin r \cos J}{\sin J} D-G\right] \Delta I I_{R r}+ \\
& +2[\because B-\quad, \quad E-H] \Delta J_{R r}+ \\
& +2[\quad C-\quad \cdots \quad F-I] \Delta k_{\pi r}+ \\
& +\left[-\cos A_{i k} \frac{\cos J}{\sin J}\left(\sin \varphi_{r} \cos \varphi_{i}-\cos \lambda_{r i} \cos \varphi_{r} \sin \varphi_{i}\right)+\sin A_{i k} \frac{\cos J}{\sin J} \sin \lambda_{r i} \cos \varphi_{r}+\right. \\
& \left.+\cos \lambda_{r i} \cos \varphi_{r} \cos \varphi_{i}+\sin \varphi_{r} \sin \varphi_{i}\right] \Delta \theta_{r}+ \\
& +\left[-\sin A_{i k} \frac{\cos J}{\sin J} \cos \lambda_{r i}+\cos A_{i k} \frac{\cos J}{\sin J} \sin \lambda_{r i} \sin \varphi_{i}+\sin \lambda_{r i} \cos \varphi_{i}\right] \Delta \varphi_{r}+ \\
& +\left[-\cos A_{i k} \frac{\cos J}{\sin J} \cos \varphi_{i}+\sin \varphi_{i}\right] \underline{\Delta \lambda_{r \ldots i}}+\sin A_{i k} \frac{\cos J}{\sin J} \Delta \varphi_{i}-\underline{\Delta \theta_{i}}+ \\
& +\frac{1}{l_{i k}}\left[\frac{-\sin r}{\sin 3} A+\frac{\cos r}{\sin J} D\right] \Delta X_{i k}+\frac{1}{l_{i k}}\left[\frac{-\sin r}{\sin j} B+\frac{\cos r}{\sin j} E\right] \Delta Y_{i k}+ \\
& +\frac{1}{l_{i k}}\left[\frac{-\sin r}{\sin 3} C+\frac{\cos r}{\sin 3} F\right] \Delta Z_{i k} \tag{5.74}
\end{align*}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \underline{\Delta I}_{i k}=2[-\sin r A+\cos r D] \Delta I \underline{R r}_{r}+2[-\sin r B+\cos r E] \Delta \underline{J}_{\pi r}+2[-\sin r C+\cos r F] \Delta \underline{k}_{R r} \\
& +\left[-\sin A_{i k}\left(\sin \varphi_{r} \cos \varphi_{i}-\cos \lambda_{r i} \cos \varphi_{r} \sin \varphi_{i}\right)-\cos A_{i k} \sin \lambda_{r i} \cos \varphi_{r}\right] \Delta \theta_{r}+ \\
& +\left[\cos A_{i k} \cos \lambda_{r i}+\sin A_{i k} \sin \lambda_{r i} \sin \varphi_{i}\right] \Delta \varphi_{r}+ \\
& -\sin A_{i k} \cos \varphi_{i} \cdot \Delta \lambda_{r i}-\cos A_{i k} \Delta \varphi_{i}+ \\
& +\frac{1}{l_{i k}}[\cos r \cos J A+\sin r \cos J D+\sin J G] \Delta \underline{x}_{i k}+ \\
& +\frac{1}{\ell_{i k}}[" B+" E \quad H] \Delta Y_{i k}+
\end{aligned}
$$

## Observation equations for astronomical observation variates

Astronomical latitudes occur both as observation variate and as unknown. The observation equations are :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta \varphi_{i}+\underline{\varepsilon}=\Delta \varphi_{i}^{\alpha} \tag{5.76}
\end{equation*}
$$

Of all astronomical longitudes (unknowns) one may be chosen, $\lambda_{0}$, because longitudes only occur as difference quantities. The observation equations are :

$$
\begin{align*}
\Delta \lambda_{i k}+\varepsilon & =\Delta \lambda_{k}-\Delta \lambda_{i} \\
\text { or: } & =\underline{\Delta \lambda}_{k}  \tag{5.77}\\
\text { or: } & \left(\text { if } \lambda_{0}=\lambda_{i}\right) . \\
=-\lambda_{i} & \left(\text { if } \lambda_{0}=\lambda_{k}\right) .
\end{align*}
$$

An observation equation for the azimuth is obtained from (4.8) :

$$
\Delta A_{a b}=\Delta r_{a b}+\Delta \theta_{a}
$$

We now substitute the observation equation for $\Delta r a b$, see (5.74) :

$$
\underline{\Delta r}_{a b}=\cdots \cdots \cdot-\underline{\Delta \theta}_{a}+\cdots \cdots \cdots \cdots \cdot
$$

hence :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta A_{a b}=\left[\text { the observation equation of } \Delta r_{a b} \text {, the term }-\underline{\theta}_{a} \text { excluded }\right] \tag{5.78}
\end{equation*}
$$

5.6.3

Networks with parallel k-vectors.
Subsequently we work out (5.69) for a network with parallel k-unit vectors, as described in section 4.2.3. This means :

$$
p_{r i}=\cos / 2\left[\theta_{i}-\theta_{r}\right]+k \sin 1 /\left[\theta_{i}-\theta_{r}\right] .
$$

## then :

$$
\left(P_{r i}\right)^{-1}=\left(\begin{array}{cccc}
1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & \cos \left[\theta_{i}-\theta_{r}\right] & \sin \left[\theta_{i}-\theta_{r}\right] & 0 \\
0 & -\sin \left[\theta_{i}-\theta_{r}\right] & \cos \left[\theta_{i}-\theta_{r}\right] & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 1
\end{array}\right)
$$

Thus in the formulae (5.72) etc.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& A=\cos \left[\theta_{i}-\theta_{r}\right] ; \quad E=A \\
& B=\sin \left[\theta_{i}-\theta_{r}\right] ; \quad D=-B \\
& C=F=6=H=0 \\
& L=1 .
\end{aligned}
$$

Furthermore ; see fig 44 :

$$
\begin{aligned}
\cos r A-\sin r B & =\cos \left[r_{i k}+\theta_{i}-\theta_{r}\right]= \\
& =\cos \left[A_{i k}-\theta_{r}\right]= \\
(5.71): \theta_{R}=\theta_{r} \cdots \cdots & =\cos \left[A_{i k}-\theta_{R}\right]=\cos \psi_{i k}
\end{aligned}
$$

and $: \cos r B-\sin r A=\sin \psi_{i k}$.
in which $\Psi_{i k}$ is the "argument" relative to the i-unit vector of the (R)system.
With this, the observation equations become :

$$
\begin{array}{r}
\Delta \underline{\ln }_{i k}=-\Delta \underline{\ln }_{R r}-\Delta \ln \bar{\lambda}_{r i}+\frac{\sin J_{i k}}{l_{i k}} \cos \psi_{i k} \Delta X_{i k}+\frac{\sin J_{i k} \sin \psi_{i k} \Delta Y_{i k}+}{l_{i k}}+ \\
-\frac{\cos J_{i k}}{l_{i k}} \underline{\Delta}_{i k} . \tag{5.79}
\end{array}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
\underline{\Delta r}{ }_{i k}= & -2 \frac{\cos J_{i k}}{\sin J_{i k}} \cos \psi_{i k} \frac{\Delta I_{R r}}{}-2 \frac{\cos J_{i k}}{\sin J_{i k}} \sin \psi_{i k} \underline{J}_{R r}-2 \Delta \underline{k}_{R r}+ \\
& +\frac{\Delta \theta_{r}}{}-\underline{\Delta \theta_{i}}+\sin A_{i k} \frac{\cos J_{i k}}{\sin J_{i k}}\left[\varphi_{i}-\Delta \varphi_{r}\right]+ \\
& +\left[-\cos A_{i k} \frac{\cos J_{i k}}{\sin J_{i k}} \cos \varphi_{i}+\sin \varphi_{i}\right] \Delta \lambda_{r \ldots i}+ \\
& -\frac{\sin \psi_{i k}}{\ell_{i k} \sin J_{i k}} \frac{\Delta X_{i k}}{}+\frac{\cos \psi_{i k}}{\ell_{i k} \sin J_{i k}} \Delta Y_{i k} . \tag{5.80}
\end{align*}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& \Delta \underline{J}_{i k}=-2 \sin \psi_{i k} \underline{I}_{R r}+2 \cos \psi_{i k} \underline{J}_{R r}+ \\
& -\cos A_{i k}\left[\underline{\Delta \varphi_{i}}-\Delta \varphi_{r}\right]-\sin A_{i k} \cos \varphi_{i} \Delta \lambda_{r i}+ \\
& +\frac{1}{l_{i k}} \cos J_{i k} \cos \psi_{i k} \Delta X_{i k}+\frac{1}{l_{i k}} \cos J_{i k} \sin \psi_{i k} \Delta Y_{i k}+\frac{1}{l_{i k}} \sin J_{i k} \Delta Z_{i k} \tag{5.81}
\end{align*}
$$

Remark :
In these observation equations all k-vectors are parallel !

## Appendix

========

## 1. Coefficients of $\Delta \theta_{a}$ and $\Delta r a .$. in orientations $\Delta \theta_{i}$.

The first orientation is :

$$
\underline{\theta}^{0}=\underline{\theta}_{\alpha} .
$$

Other orientations are computed via the sides of the network, as a function of observation variates ; see (3.30) :

$$
\underline{\theta}_{b}=\arctan \frac{v_{j}\left\{p_{b^{\prime} a}^{\prime} q_{a b}^{\left(b^{\prime}\right)} \sum_{b^{\prime} a}^{-1}\right\}}{v_{i}\left\{p_{b^{\prime} a} q_{a b}^{\left(b_{a}^{\prime}\right)} \underline{b}_{b^{\prime} a}^{-1}\right\}}-\underline{r} \quad(+\pi) .
$$

See (3.40) :

$$
\Delta \theta_{b}=\left[\frac{-\cos r}{\sin 3 \cos J}\right]_{a b}^{b^{\prime}} V_{i}\left\{\left(q^{-1} \Delta q\right)_{a b}^{\left(b^{\prime}\right)}\right\}-\left[\frac{\sin r}{\sin 5 \cos J}\right]_{a b}^{b^{\prime}} V_{j}\left\{\left(q^{-1} \Delta q\right)_{a b}^{\left(b^{\prime}\right)}\right\}-\underline{\Delta r}_{b a}
$$

in which, see (3.11) :


$$
V\left\{\left(q^{-1} \Delta q\right)_{a b}^{\left(b^{\prime}\right)}\right\}=q_{a b}^{-\left(b^{\prime}\right)}\left(p^{-1} \Delta p\right)_{b^{\prime} a}^{\left(b^{\prime}\right)} q_{a b}^{\left(b^{\prime}\right)}-\left(p^{-1} \Delta p\right)_{b^{\prime} a}^{\left(b^{\prime}\right)}+p_{b^{\prime} a}\left(q^{-1} \Delta q\right)_{a b}^{(a)} p_{b^{\prime} a}^{-1}
$$

In (3.15) it is shown, that in this formula the coefficients of $\Delta \theta_{a}$ and $\Delta r_{a b}$ are equal. This means, that also in $\underline{\theta}_{b}$ these difference quantities have equal coefficients ; suppose :

$$
\underline{\theta}_{b}=t_{b a}\left[\underline{\Delta \theta}_{a}+\underline{\Delta r}_{a b}\right]+t_{b a}^{\prime} \Delta \varphi_{a}+t_{b a}^{\prime \prime} \Delta \lambda_{a b}+t_{b a}^{\prime \prime \prime} \Delta \varphi_{b}+t_{b a}^{\prime \prime \prime \prime} \Delta \underline{\Xi}_{a b}-\underline{\Delta r}_{b a}
$$

Via the next networkside, $P_{b} P_{c}$, we obtain in a similar way :

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Delta \theta_{c} & =t_{c b}\left[\Delta \theta_{b}+\underline{\Delta r}_{b c}\right]+t_{c b}^{\prime} \Delta \varphi_{b}+\cdots \cdots \text { other terms } \cdots \cdots \\
& =t_{c b} t_{b a}\left[\underline{\Delta \theta}_{a}+\underline{\Delta r}_{a b}\right]+t_{c b} \underline{\Delta r}_{b c}+\cdots \text { other terms } \cdots
\end{aligned}
$$

and via $P_{a} P_{d}$ :

$$
\Delta \theta_{d}=t_{d a}\left[\underline{\Delta \theta}_{a}+\underline{\Delta r}_{a d}\right]+\cdots \text { other terms } \cdots \cdots
$$

## 2. Coefficients of $\Delta \theta_{a}$ and $\Delta r_{a}$. in zero-mean variate $\underline{v}_{i k}^{(r)}$.

We consider the zero-mean variate :

$$
\underline{V}_{i k}^{(r)}=V_{c}\left\{\left(q^{-1} \Delta q\right)_{i k}^{(r)}-\left(q^{-1} \Delta q\right)_{k i}^{(r)}\right\}
$$

There will occur three orientations in $\underline{v}_{i k}^{(r)}$ :

$$
\underline{\Delta \theta}_{r}, \underline{\Delta \theta}_{i}, \underline{\Delta \theta}_{k}
$$

Suppose : (symbolic notation)

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left(q^{-1} \Delta q\right)_{i k}^{(r)}=\Delta \ln \bar{\lambda} \\
r i
\end{aligned}+\Delta \underline{\ln }_{i k}+v_{i k}^{1} \underline{\Delta \theta}_{r}+v_{i k}^{2} \Delta \varphi_{r}+v_{i k}^{3} \underline{\Delta \lambda_{r \ldots i}}+\begin{aligned}
& \\
&+v_{i k}^{4} \underline{\varphi}_{i}+v_{i k}^{5}\left[\Delta \theta_{i}+\Delta \underline{r}_{i k}\right]+v_{i k}^{6} \underline{\Delta I}_{i k}
\end{aligned}
$$

There has to be made a distinction between several different situations :
I $\quad P_{i}$ is not $P_{a}$ and $P_{k}$ is $\operatorname{not} P_{a}$;

$$
\theta_{r}, \theta_{i} \text { and } \theta_{k} \text { via } r_{a b}
$$

Now $\underline{V}_{i k}^{(r)}$ becomes :


$$
\begin{aligned}
& \underline{v}_{i k}^{(r)}=v_{i k}^{1} \Delta \theta_{r}+v_{i k}^{5} \Delta \theta_{i}+v_{i i}^{1} \Delta \theta_{r}+v_{k i}^{5} \Delta \theta_{k}+\cdots \text { other terms } \cdots= \\
&=\left[v_{i k}^{1}+v_{k i}^{1}\right] t_{r b} t_{b a}\left[\Delta \theta_{a}+\Delta r_{a b}\right]+v_{i k}^{5} t_{i r} t_{r b} t_{b a}\left[\underline{\Delta \theta}_{a}+\Delta r_{a b}\right]+ \\
&+v_{k i}^{5} t_{k b} t_{b a}\left[\theta_{a}+\Delta r_{a b}\right]+\cdots
\end{aligned}
$$

II Idem, but : $\theta_{k}$ via $P_{c}, P_{d}$.

Now $\underline{V}_{i k}^{(r)}$ becomes :

$$
\begin{array}{r}
\underline{v}_{i k}^{(r)}=\left[\left(v_{i k}^{1}+v_{k i}^{1}\right) t_{r b} t_{b a}\right. \\
\left.+v_{i k}^{s} t_{i r} t_{r b} t_{b a}\right]\left[\Delta \theta_{a}+\Delta r_{a b}\right]+P P_{c} \rightarrow \\
\\
+v_{k i}^{\delta} t_{k \alpha} t_{d c} t_{c a}\left[\Delta \theta_{a}+\Delta r_{a c}\right]+\cdots \text { other terms } \cdots
\end{array}
$$

III $\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{i}} \equiv \mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{a}} ; \mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{k}} \equiv \mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{b}}$

Now $\underline{v}_{i k}^{(r)} \equiv \underline{v}_{\mathrm{ab}}^{(r)}$ becomes :


$$
\begin{aligned}
v_{a b}^{(r)} & =v_{a b}^{1} \underline{\Delta \theta}_{r}+v_{a b}^{s}\left[\Delta_{a}+\underline{\Delta r}_{a b}\right]+v_{b a}^{1} \underline{\theta}_{r}+v_{b a}^{s} \Delta_{b}+\cdots= \\
& =\left[\left(v_{a b}^{1}+v_{b a}^{1}\right) t_{r b} t_{b a}+v_{a b}^{5}+v_{b a}^{5} t_{b a}\right]\left[\Delta_{a}+\underline{\Delta r}_{a b}\right]+\cdots \text { other terms } \cdots
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\text { IV } P_{i} \equiv P_{a} ; \quad P_{k} \equiv P_{c}
$$

$$
\text { Now } \underline{v}_{\mathrm{ik}}^{(r)} \Longrightarrow \underline{\mathrm{v}}_{\mathrm{ac}}^{(r)} \text { becomes : }
$$



$$
\begin{aligned}
\underline{v}_{a c}^{(r)} & =v_{a c}^{1} \Delta \theta_{r}+v_{a c}^{s}\left[\Delta \theta_{a}+\underline{\Delta r}_{a c}\right]+v_{c a}^{1} \Delta_{r}+v_{c a}^{5} \underline{\theta \theta}_{c}+\cdots \cdots= \\
& =\left[v_{a c}^{1}+v_{c a}^{1}\right] t_{r b} t_{b a}\left[\underline{\theta}_{a}+\Delta r_{a b}\right]+\left[v_{a c}^{5}+v_{c a}^{5} t_{c a}\right]\left[\underline{\theta}_{a}+\underline{\Delta r}_{a c}\right]+
\end{aligned}
$$

Conclusion :
 and $P_{r}$, the coefficient of $\Delta \theta_{a}$ is equal to the sum of ${ }^{-i k}$ the coefficients ${ }^{\text {a }}$ of all $\Gamma^{\prime}$ directions in $\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{a}}$, occurring in $\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{ik}}^{(r)}$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
\underline{V}_{i k}^{(r)} & =u_{b} \Delta r_{a b}+0 \Delta r_{a c}+0 \Delta r_{a e}+u_{b} \Delta \theta_{a}+\cdots \text { other terms } \ldots \\
& \text { or: } \\
& =u_{b} \Delta r_{a b}+u_{c} \Delta r_{a c}+0 \Delta r_{a e}+\left[u_{b}+u_{c}\right] \Delta \theta_{a}+\cdots \text { other terms } \ldots \\
& \text { or: } \\
& =u_{b} \Delta r_{a b}+u_{c} \Delta r_{a c}+u_{e} \Delta r_{a e}+\left[u_{b}+u_{c}+u_{e}\right] \Delta \theta_{a}+\cdots \text { other terms } \ldots
\end{aligned}
$$

## 3. The coefficients of $\Delta \theta_{a}$ and $\Delta r_{a}$.. in a closed polygon.

We now consider the closed polygon $\mathrm{P}_{1} \mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{P}_{3} \mathrm{P}_{4} \mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{n}}$, with the zero-mean variate :

$$
\underline{w}_{1}^{(r)}=\Delta g_{12}^{(r)}+\Delta \underline{q}_{23}^{(r)}+\Delta q_{34}^{(r)}+\Delta q_{4 n}^{(r)}+\Delta q_{n 1}^{(r)}
$$

Introduction of a symbolic notation for $\Delta q_{i k}^{(r)}$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Delta g_{i k}^{(r)}=q_{i k}^{(r)}\left[\Delta \underline{\ln }_{r i}+\Delta \ln s_{i k}\right] & +w_{i k}^{1} \underline{\Delta \theta}_{r}+w_{i k}^{2} \Delta \varphi_{r}+w_{i k}^{3} \Delta \lambda_{r} \ldots i \\
& +w_{i k}^{4} \underline{\Delta \varphi}_{i}+w_{i k}^{5}\left[\Delta \theta_{i}+\Delta r_{i k}\right]+w_{i k}^{6} \underline{\Delta}_{i k} .
\end{aligned}
$$



In the network shown in figure, with $P_{r} \equiv P_{3}$, the coefficients of $\Delta \theta_{a}$ and $\Delta r_{a 1}, \Delta r_{a 4}$ become :

$$
\underline{w}_{1 \ldots n}^{(r)}=\left[\begin{array}{l}
w_{12}^{1} t_{34} t_{4 a}+ \\
+w_{23}^{1} t_{34} t_{4 a}+w_{23}^{5} t_{23} t_{34} t_{4 a}+ \\
+w_{34}^{1} t_{34} t_{4 a}+w_{34}^{5} t_{34} t_{4 a}+ \\
+w_{4 n}^{1} t_{34} t_{4 a}+w_{4 n}^{5} t_{4 a}+ \\
+w_{n 1}^{1} t_{34} t_{4 a}
\end{array}\right]\left[\Delta \underline{\theta}_{a}+\underline{\Delta r}_{a 4}\right]+\left[\begin{array}{l}
w_{12}^{5} t_{1 a}+ \\
\\
+w_{n 1}^{5} t_{n 1} t_{1 a}
\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{l}
\left.\Delta \theta_{a}+\Delta r_{a 1}\right]+\ldots \ldots .
\end{array}\right.
$$

For this zero-mean variate the same conclusion may be drawn :

$$
\underline{w}_{1}^{(r)}=u_{1} \underline{\Delta} \underline{r a}_{1}+u_{n} \underline{\Delta r_{a 4}}+\left[u_{1}+u_{4}\right] \underline{\Delta \theta}_{a}+\ldots \text { other terms } \ldots \ldots
$$

## 4. The condition equations.

We will now prove, that all condition equations mentioned in chapter 5 are composed from zero-mean variates $\underline{V}_{-\mathrm{ik}}^{(r)}$ and $\underline{W}_{-1}^{(r)}$..n :

From (5.25) it becomes apparent that, by splitting up the $\Delta \Pi$-quantities, the polygon condition reads :

$$
\begin{aligned}
\underline{\Delta V}_{1 \ldots n}^{(r)} & =q_{n 1} \sum\left[\left(q^{-1} \Delta q\right)_{i k}^{(r)}-\left(q^{-1} \Delta q\right)_{k i}^{(r)}\right]= \\
& =q_{n 1} \sum \underline{V}_{i k}^{(r)}
\end{aligned}
$$

## Network condition :

From (5.36) it becomes apparent that this also applies to the so-called "b-terms" of the coordinate condition ; in the "a-terms", however, the terms $\Delta q_{n-1, n}$ must be replaced by $-\Delta q_{n, n-1}$ in order to reach similarity between the a-terms and $W_{1 \ldots n}$;
the a-terms of (5.36):

$$
\begin{aligned}
& -\Delta q_{1 n}-\Delta q_{21}-\cdots-\Delta q_{n-1, n-2}+\Delta q_{n-1, n}= \\
& =-\sum_{\text {polygon }} \Delta q_{i k}+\Delta q_{n-1, n}+\Delta q_{n, n-1}= \\
& =-\underline{w}_{1 \ldots n}^{(r)}+q_{n-1, n}\left[\left(q^{-1} \Delta q\right)_{n-1, n}-\left(q^{-1} \Delta q\right)_{n, n-1}\right]= \\
& =-\underline{w}_{1 \ldots n}^{(r)}+q_{n-1, n} \underline{V}_{n-1, n}^{(r)}
\end{aligned}
$$

therefore also the a-terms of the coordinate condition are composed of zero quantities of the types $\underline{V}$ and $W$.

## Z-condition :

(5.26):

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Delta \underline{Z}_{i k}^{(r)} & =V k\left\{\Delta q_{i k}^{(r)}+\Delta g_{k i}^{(r)}\right\}= \\
& =V k\left\{q_{i k}\left[\left(q^{-1} \Delta q\right)_{i k}^{(r)}-\left(q^{-1} \Delta q\right)_{k i}^{(r)}\right]\right\}= \\
& =V k\left\{q_{i k} \underline{V}_{i k}^{(r)}\right\} .
\end{aligned}
$$

A-condition :
From (5.39) it becomes apparent that the differences $\Delta \theta_{a}, \Delta r_{a i}$ do occur in the $A$-condition via :

1- the $\Delta Z_{i k}$ - quantities ; these are of the type $\underline{V}_{i k}^{r}$.
2- the orientation $\Delta \theta_{i}$; the latter is :

$$
\underline{\Delta \theta}_{i}=t_{i \ldots a}\left[\underline{\Delta \theta}_{a}+\underline{\Delta r}_{a} .\right]+\cdots . \text { other terms } . . .
$$

R-condition :
In the R-condition neither directions in $P_{a}$, nor $\triangle \theta_{a}$ occur, because (5.27') fulfills 3.1.2.1.

## Conclusion :

All types of condition equations are composed of zero-mean variates of the types $V_{i k}$ and $W_{1} \ldots . . n$; therefore the coefficient of $\Delta \theta_{a}$ equals the sum of the coefficients of all directions in $P_{a}$, occurring in that condition equation :

$$
\begin{array}{r}
\Delta y^{\rho}=u_{1}^{\rho} \underline{\Delta r}_{a_{1}}+u_{2}^{p} \Delta r_{a 2}+\cdots+u_{n}^{p} \Delta_{a_{n}}+\left[u_{1}^{\rho}+u_{2}^{\rho}+\cdots+u_{n}^{p}\right] \Delta \theta_{a}+ \\
\\
+\cdots \text { all other terms. }
\end{array}
$$

Remark : $u_{1}^{\rho} \ldots u_{n}^{\rho}$ can be $=0$, but at least one of them $\neq 0$ in every condition equation $N, V, Z$ and $A$.

1 Alberda, J.E.

2 Baarda, W.

3 Baarda, W.

4 Baarda, W.
5 Baarda, W.

6 Baarda, W.

7 Baarda, W.

8 Baarda, W.
9 Baarda, W.

10 Bahnert, G.

11 Boerjan, R.R.
12 Elmiger, A. and Wunderlin, N .

13 Glissmann, T. and Williams, D.

14 Brand, L.
15 Hotine, M.

16 Meerdink, E.F.

17 Molenaar, M.

18 Quee, H.

Vertical angles; Deviations of the vertical and adjustment. Netherlands Geodetic Commission Vol.1, nr. 1. 1961

Polygontheory in the complex plane. Lecture notes of the geodetic institute TH-Delft 1966-1969

S-transformations and criterionmatrices. Netherlands Geodetic Commission Vol. 5, nr. 1. 1973

Vereffeningstheorie
Statistical concepts in geodesy. Netherlands Geodetic Commission Vol. 2, nr. 4. 1967

A testing procedure for use in geodetic networks. Netherlands Geodetic Commission Vol. 2, nr. 5. 1968

A personal report on activities in special study group No. 1.14 - Travaux de l'AIG, 24 Paris

Manuscripts 1960 - 1962
De levende aarde (diesrede THD 9/1/'76) NGT 1976/2 Mathematical Models (OEEPE publ. off. No. 1) Mathematical Geodesy in relation to the Netherlands Geodetic Commission (Delft, 1979)

Refraktionseinflüsse auf trigonometrisches Nivellement (Vermessungstechnik 29/3)

Voordracht N.G.L.-Congres - NGT/Geodesia 1981/12
Dreidimensionale Berechnung von geodätischen Netzen (FIG-Congres 1981)

Ein koinzidenzverfahren zur Messung von refraktionsfreien Richtungen; ZfV 103/5

Vector and Tensor Analysis. New York 1947
Mathematical Geodesy. Essa Monograph 2, Washington D.C. 1969

Het mathematische model van triangulaties op bol en ellipsoide. T.H. Delft 1961

A further inquiry into the theory of S-transformations and criterion matrices. Delft 1981

Afstudeerscriptie 1971

19 Quee, H.
On the stochasticity of alignment functions for the guidance of automated track maintenance machines. Proceedings of the FIG-Commission 5 symposium, Aalborg 1982

20 Quee, H.

21 Ramsayer, K.
Een kriteriumtheorie voor boogkorrekties. NGT 1978/4/5

Several pubiications on the testnet Stuttgart ZfV 104/1 (1979); ZfV 105/10 (1980)

22 Torge, W. and Dreidimensionale Ausgleichung des Testnetzes Wenzel, H.G.

Westharz. DGK Reine B Heft 32, 1978
23 Vermat, E. Driehoek en viervlak; afstudeerscriptie 1970
24 Grafarend, E.W. and Vectors, quaternions and spinors - a discussion of Schaffrin, B. Algebras underlying threedimensional Geodesy. Forty years of thought I, Delft 1982


[^0]:    In Chapter 1 the algebraic apparatus is described : arithmetic procedures; the geometrical interpretation; rotations, difference equations and isomorphic matrices.
    In Chapter 2 the introduction of terrestrial and geodetic-astronomical

